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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Despite the well documented link between high rates of smoking and schizophrenia, there have been no longitudinal studies that have looked at rates of smoking and associated factors over time. This prospective study examined the longitudinal rates of smoking in a schizophrenia clinic sample over a decade.

Methods: Longitudinal survey research was conducted in a well established community-based psychiatric rehabilitation program in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, providing long-term intensive case management and rehabilitation skills training. Stable community outpatients diagnosed with schizophrenia were surveyed initially in 1995 (n = 102) and then resurveyed 11 years later in 2006 (n = 76). The main outcome measure was self-report of smoking status.

Results: Smoking rates dropped significantly over time, with evidence that the number of “quitters” tripled over the past decade and the number of “everyday” smokers decreased by almost a third from 63.2% down to 43.3% (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: The findings from the present study suggest that it is possible to obtain reduced smoking prevalence over time in a selected schizophrenia outpatient sample, though further research is required to better understand the factors related to quitting smoking in individuals with schizophrenia.

The rates of smoking over the past decade have declined in national population-based samples in Western countries, but the trends in rates of smoking over time among severe mental illness populations have not been studied to date, even though people with psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia have been considered especially vulnerable. For example, in the Canadian province of Ontario, where the current research was conducted, the prevalence of smoking has decreased in the general population from 28.2% in 1995 to 20.7% in 2005. By contrast, the prevalence of smoking in individuals with schizophrenia was also thought to subsequently help reduce the high smoking rates in people with schizophrenia. Reduced rates of smoking in schizophrenia have been identified when patients are switched from first generation antipsychotics to clozapine, but more research is needed to clearly delineate the underlying mechanisms related to the association between smoking and schizophrenia. There has been a proliferation of research on the determinants of smoking cessation and the efficacy of drugs. The first generation drug treatments for schizophrenia were generally found to be effective in reducing positive symptoms, but less effective in reducing negative symptoms associated with schizophrenia and, thus, researchers hypothesised that individuals with schizophrenia were smoking to reduce the side-effects of these drugs. With the advent of the second generation antipsychotics, such as clozapine, risperidone and olanzapine, in reducing negative symptoms associated with schizophrenia was also thought to subsequently help reduce the high smoking rates in people with schizophrenia.
of smoking cessation programs that target biological and psychological aspects of smoking behaviour using general population samples. Research that has examined the efficacy of these programs in populations with schizophrenia has generally found that the “quit” rates are comparably low across psychiatric and non-psychiatric populations. It is still unclear whether specialised programs are required to address the unique needs of individuals with schizophrenia. The site of the present study, the Hamilton Program for Schizophrenia (HPS), has developed and incorporated the manualised “Smokebusters” curriculum into its rehabilitation work for more than 15 years, making the group-based behaviourally-oriented strategies available to interested outpatients; this program incorporates the stages of change model as well as information from current research on smoking and mental illness.

This study addressed the gaps noted in the literature by conducting a survey investigation of smoking habits using naturalistic longitudinal data from a clinic sample of outpatients with persistent residual symptoms of schizophrenia. The main purpose was to investigate whether there is evidence of changes in long-term tobacco use outcomes for outpatients with schizophrenia. We hypothesised that there would be a decline in the rates of smoking over time (more than a decade) in a schizophrenia clinic sample, that might mirror the declining rates in the general population (coinciding with societal smoking restrictions), and sought further to examine what factors differentiated “everyday” smokers who quit and those who did not quit. Specifically, we were interested in examining whether a “switch” from first generation to second generation antipsychotic medications, as well as participation in a specialised group-based smoking management program, might be associated with reduced rates of smoking.

METHODS

Participants and settings
The sample consisted of outpatients from HPS, a community-based psychiatric rehabilitation program. The program follows an intensive case management model in which each outpatient has an assigned case manager with whom they interact on a frequent basis over many years of support and are comfortable and reliable in self-reporting information. The first wave of the survey was conducted between August 1995 and October 1995. Potential participants were told that they would be completing a self-report survey that was designed to obtain information regarding smoking habits, their stage of readiness to change their smoking behaviour, and their experience of factors that influence their smoking behaviours. Participants gave informed written consent and were assured that the information provided was confidential. The surveys were conducted through a combination of telephone and face-to-face interviews. The final sample in 1995 included 102 outpatients including those who had never smoked, those who smoked in the past but quit and those who currently smoke.

The evaluation study protocol for the second wave of the survey in 2006 was the same as the first wave and was reviewed and approved by the Research Committee of HPS project and the Community Social Vocational Rehabilitation (CSVVR) Schizophrenia Board. All of the individuals who participated in the 1995 survey were contacted in April 2006 and asked to participate in a follow-up survey. Upon giving informed consent and receiving assurance about confidentiality, participants completed the second wave of the survey from May 2006 to June 2006. In 2006, 76 (74.5%) participants completed the survey from the initial sample of 102 participants from the 1995 sample. The following reasons were indicated for the 26 (25.5%) participants who did not complete the 2006 survey: 14 participants were no longer in the program (13.7%), 5 participants had died (4.9%; three of these were never smokers, while two smoked every day), 5 participants could not be reached (4.9%), 1 participant had moved away (1.0%) and 1 participant was physically unable to complete the survey (1.0%).

Procedures and measures
In order to examine long-term outcomes, the survey was administered to outpatients in 1995 (time 1) and then re-administered to the same outpatients 11 years later in 2006 (time 2). The questions and the wording were identical for both surveys in order to maintain consistency. Questions on smoking habits were based on those outlined by Health Canada. The participant’s current smoking status was based on self-report only. Specifically, participants were asked to indicate their smoking status at the “present time” (everyday, occasionally, used to smoke but quit and not at all/never have). The questionnaire asked only about smoking and not other forms of tobacco use. The 2006 survey had additional questions regarding the “Smokebusters” program that is offered at HPS (number of times attended and level of helpfulness of the program).

Data analysis
For the analyses, we used SPSS version 15.0. Data on all variables were available for all participants. Responses from 2006 were compared with responses from 1995 to check for consistency in responding. There were two participants who were excluded from the current analysis due to discrepancies found in the reported data. Specifically, these two participants reported smoking in 1995, but reported “never smoking” in the 2006 survey. A χ² analysis was used to examine whether there were differences in smoking status depending on the year of participation. Specifically, this test was used to ensure that the participants who did not complete the second wave of the survey were also more likely to be current smokers. Descriptive analyses were used to examine sample characteristics in both waves of the survey including, gender, age, living situation and number of years at HPS. All further statistical analyses were conducted using only data from participants who completed both waves of the survey (n = 76). In order to examine whether smoking status and antipsychotic medications independently changed from 1995 to 2006, the data were analysed using the McNemar–Bowker repeated measure χ² test. Analysis by χ² was used to determine if a change from first generation to second generation antipsychotic medications could explain the difference between participants who were smokers in 1995 and quit in 2006 and those who were still smoking in 2006. Independent sample Student t tests were used to examine whether there was a difference in level of attendance and helpfulness of the “Smokebusters” program for participants who had quit and those who were still smoking in 2006.

RESULTS

The prevalence rates of smoking for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006) for participants who completed both waves of the survey are presented in Table 1. In 1995, 63.2% of participants were current smokers, 21.1% had never smoked and 15.8% had quit smoking. In 2006, 43.4% of these participants were current smokers, 19.7% had never smoked and 36.8% had quit smoking.
The main finding was that, as predicted, smoking status (never smoked, quit smoking, everyday smoking) changed significantly over time, $\chi^2 (2, n = 76) = 15.22, p < 0.001$, with dramatic evidence that the number of ‘‘quitters’’ had tripled over the past decade and thus, the number of ‘‘everyday’’ smokers had decreased by almost a third. The descriptive characteristics for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006) are presented in table 2.

The prevalence rates of type of antipsychotic medication for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006) for participants who completed both waves of the survey are presented in table 3. Specifically, in 1995, 46.1% of participants were prescribed first generation antipsychotics, while 35.5% of participants were treated with second generation antipsychotics, and 18.4% of participants were using both types of antipsychotics. In 2006, only 9.2% of participants were being prescribed first generation antipsychotics, while 86.8% of participants were being treated with second generation antipsychotics, and 4.0% of participants were on both types of antipsychotics. In addition, a significant change from first generation to second generation antipsychotic medications was found over time, $\chi^2 (5, n = 76) = 58.55, p < 0.001$, demonstrating the increased psychiatric treatment using second generation antipsychotic medications in this sample in 2006.

In order to determine if a change in antipsychotic medications explained the difference between participants who were smokers in 1995 and quit in 2006 and those who were still smoking in 2006, a two-by-two $\chi^2$ table was calculated to see whether differences existed based on a change in the type of medication or no change in the type of psychiatric medication participants were on over time. This analysis did not include participants who were prescribed both first and second generation antipsychotic medications. There were no differences in whether individuals changed or did not change their medication based on whether they quit smoking or were still smoking in 2006, $\chi^2 (1) = 0.615$, not significant (table 4). These findings, taken together, demonstrate that a ‘‘switch’’ to a different antipsychotic medication by itself does not seem to be associated with a capacity to stop smoking.

Data were also analysed to determine if differences existed in whether participants who were smokers in 1995 and who quit by 2006 were more likely to have attended the “Smokebusters” program and to have found it more helpful than those who were still smoking in 2006. The findings failed to indicate a significant association between quit rates and formal attendance in the group-based smoking management program, $(t (46) = 0.97$, not significant), however, subjective ratings of high perceived helpfulness of the “Smokebusters” program were most strongly associated with successful “quitters” $(r (28) = 0.26, p < 0.05)$. Specifically, 34 participants reported that they had attended the “Smokebusters” program. Of these respondents, 55.9% reported that it was very helpful, 41.2% reported that it was somewhat helpful and 2.9% reported that “Smokebusters” was not helpful.

Prior to analysing the results discussed above, the baseline data of the entire sample was examined to ensure that the loss of participants from the first wave of the study did not result in an attrition bias. Specifically, a $\chi^2$ analysis was conducted to examine whether participants who did not complete the second survey in 2006 were more likely to be smokers in the first wave of the survey in 1995 compared to participants who completed both waves of the survey. There were no significant differences in smoking status reported in 1995 (never smoked, quit smoking, everyday smoking) between participants who only completed the 1995 survey and participants who completed both surveys ($\chi^2 (2, n = 102) = 5.75$, not significant).

**DISCUSSION**

This longitudinal study found that the overall rates of smoking in a community-based psychiatric rehabilitation clinic declined significantly when examining a period of more than a decade, with evidence that the number of “quitters” has tripled and the number of “everyday” smokers decreased by almost a third. There are a few cross-sectional studies that have examined smoking cessation rates among smokers with schizophrenia and these results indicate a rather “pessimistic” view that smokers with schizophrenia are less likely to quit smoking than the general population and when compared to individuals with other severe mental illness. By contrast, the current findings suggest a more “optimistic” perspective that individuals with schizophrenia are in fact able to quit and indicates the necessity of examining smoking prevalence over time in schizophrenia populations in order to better understand the quit rates in this population.

A key parallel was found between the declining schizophrenia sample smoking rates over time and the declining rates of smoking in the general community. The main finding of a 31% decline in the number of daily smokers in the schizophrenia sample (from 63.2% to 43.4%) is roughly comparable to the 27% decline witnessed in the general population (from 28.2% to 20.7%) during the study period. Such parallel rates may imply, for schizophrenia outpatients, living in the community as they do, that the general societal tightening effects and restrictions on smoking that occurred in this past decade may have had some important impacts on them as well, including the impact of increased smoking costs and reduced visibility and availability. What is less clear is whether there are specific influences

---

**Table 1** Prevalence rates grid of smoking for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Quit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Sample characteristics for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70 (68.6)</td>
<td>52 (68.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32 (31.4)</td>
<td>24 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (1995)</td>
<td>35.00 (6.62)</td>
<td>20–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (2006)</td>
<td>46.15 (6.72)</td>
<td>31–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living situation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental home</td>
<td>6 (5.9)</td>
<td>4 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding home</td>
<td>18 (17.6)</td>
<td>9 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported housing</td>
<td>16 (15.7)</td>
<td>19 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent living</td>
<td>59 (57.8)</td>
<td>42 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at HPS (1995), years</td>
<td>7.74 (4.99)</td>
<td>0.03–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at HPS (2006), years</td>
<td>18.66 (5.44)</td>
<td>10–33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPS, Hamilton Program for Schizophrenia.
or schizophrenia-related factors that might have contributed to the reduced rates over time.

Our study followed outpatients over a decade and found that many outpatients had been switched from first generation antipsychotic medication to predominantly second generation antipsychotic medication. Nevertheless, we failed to identify a specific association between a “switch” in medication regime by itself and change in smoking status, though the small sample size may limit the generalisability of these non-significant findings. Future longitudinal research should examine how first and second generation antipsychotic medications may differentially impact smoking behaviour in larger schizophrenia populations.

Lastly, we found that smokers who had quit by the time of the second survey reported that they perceived the “Smokebusters” program as particularly helpful compared with those who remained smokers, even though there were no significant differences regarding attendance levels of the “Smokebusters” program. This finding may be biased, since the perceived helpfulness of the “Smokebusters” program was not assessed in the first survey. Perceptions of benefit are important to highlight, however, since they demonstrate that people with schizophrenia are able to articulate and recognise the level of helpfulness of different programs. The results suggest that it is not the number of times people get help, but rather the perceived helpfulness of the programs they attend that differentiates people who quit and those who continue smoking. Further research examining what aspects of the “Smokebusters” program are most helpful is an important question for future research endeavours, particularly in light of the vulnerability of this population,²⁰ and not to assume that individuals with severe mental illness are unable to change behaviours.

There are a number of methodological limitations in our community-based survey research. There was no control group and the sample size was small; this may have resulted in insufficient power to detect certain effects. In addition, there are limitations that exist with using survey data such as, self-report biases and missing data though the retention rate over time (74.5%) was good. Lastly, the sample of participants examined in our study was derived from an intensive case management clinic in which the outpatients are closely monitored (generally weekly or more) and therefore, this sample may not generalise to other settings with less frequent follow-up.

Our findings confirm that the rates of smoking in this schizophrenia population can actually decline over time. We examined whether the drop in prevalence rates could be explained by either medication regime or having access to a long-term dedicated smoking intervention, but neither factor was found to explain changes in smoking status. This suggests that there is no single factor to explain the quit rates, but rather it is more complicated and most likely there are a number of factors involved including the effects of societal restrictions. Further research is required to better understand the factors that may influence these long-term outcomes.

### Table 3 Prevalence rates grid of type of antipsychotic medication for time 1 (1995) and time 2 (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>2006 First generation</th>
<th>2006 Second generation</th>
<th>Both</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>First generation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second generation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Crosstabulations of current smoking status by change in medication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current smoking status</th>
<th>No change in medication</th>
<th>Change in medication</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected count</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected count</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What this paper adds

- Numerous cross-sectional studies have identified that individuals with schizophrenia have high rates of smoking, yet to date there have been no published longitudinal investigations of smoking rates over time.
- Over a decade ago, we surveyed a sample of stable community-based psychiatric rehabilitation clinic outpatients and replicated existing findings in the literature that smoking rates among patients with schizophrenia are more than double the rates found in the general population. The current prospective research followed-up the earlier survey more than a decade later and interviewed the same patients about their smoking status.
- Remarkably, smoking rates declined by almost a third and the number of “quitters” had tripled.
- These results challenge the rather “pessimistic” view that smokers with schizophrenia are unable to quit smoking and indicate the necessity of examining smoking prevalence over time in order to better understand the quit rates in this special needs population.
- More research is needed to help understand factors that help contribute to smoking rate decline.
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