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**Endgame visions**

**Geneva, 21 March 2032:** As we all know, the work of tobacco control today is focused on reducing the prevalence and harm from non-smoked tobacco products, caring for ex-smokers with cigarette-caused diseases with long latencies and stamping out cigarette smuggling where it still arises. But many of our readers are old enough to have spent most of their tobacco control careers fighting cigarettes. They were facing over five million tobacco-related deaths in 2012, and the prospect of a billion such deaths this century, with cigarettes by far the main culprit. Many assumed that cigarettes would always be with us: indeed, the original Framework Convention on Tobacco Control focused on imposing warnings, prohibiting advertisements, raising taxes and protecting non-smokers from ‘secondhand smoke’ (what people used to be exposed to in the presence of combusted tobacco products). Indeed, they pursued every promising idea short of getting rid of cigarettes and other burned tobacco products. That just seemed a bridge too far.

So what happened to make smoked tobacco products ‘history’ and thereby improve the health and life expectancy of millions of people around the world? The story will be familiar to most readers, but it is worth reviewing, especially for younger tobacco control workers who find it hard to believe that the sale of cigarettes was ever permitted.

The story begins with the fact that in the first dozen years of this century, the general public was ahead of the tobacco control ‘mainstream’ in realising that cigarettes had to go. Polls in the USA, Great Britain, New Zealand and elsewhere produced almost identical results: over 40% of the public thought cigarettes should be banned, almost as many as thought the contrary. Some polls, as in Mauritius, showed even stronger support, and other burned tobacco products. That just seemed a bridge too far.

Of course, designing viable and effective policies is a matter partly of logic but mostly of trial and error. The cap reduction proved too complex administratively. Bhutan banned the sale of tobacco products in 2004 - but almost no one noticed! New Zealand went for a ban in 2020, which went so smoothly that many countries followed suit with much shorter lead times. Those who had been worried that a country adopting a ban would need, like New Zealand, to have ocean on all sides were reassured when the smoking rate dropped below 10% even before the ban took effect: it turns out that so long as cessation assistance, including a variety of non-combusted nicotine delivery devices, is available, along with regular tax increases and a focused media campaign leading up to the ban, there is little residual demand for smuggled cigarettes.

Singapore went ahead with a ban on sales to anyone born in the 21st century. This was adopted in 2012 near the time the World Conference on Tobacco or Health was held there. There is obviously no peer pressure for young people to smoke, and the few remaining older smokers get the pity they deserve. A few other countries jumped on the 1999 cut-off date; later arrivals have used dates early in the first decade.

The US FDA, operating under explicit statutory authority to reduce but not eliminate nicotine in any tobacco product, decided in 2013 to reduce nicotine levels in smoked products to non-addictive levels. The tobacco industry tried arguing in court that ‘the essence of a cigarette is its ability to induce and sustain nicotine addiction’ but ran into trouble both with its earlier vehement denials of addictiveness as well as with the fact that the policy of suppressing other addictive drugs had been frequently affirmed and had withstood constitutional challenges. Once the tobacco and pharmaceutical industries turned their full attention to making non-smoked products for addicted smokers, the threat that the rule would be repealed receded. With the huge US market smoke-free, many other countries simply adopted the FDA rule as their own. And the FDA is now completing the process of phasing out nicotine from the most toxic non-smoked products currently on the market.

of cigarettes that could be sold in a particular country. Others advocated picking a date to end sales of cigarettes and other smoking tobacco and sticking to it (backed up by other policies to ease the transition). Still others suggested picking an age cohort (say, anyone born after 1999) and making it illegal to sell cigarettes to them. And some said, ‘just remove the nicotine from the cigarettes, and let the smokers finally make a genuinely free choice.'
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Endgame visions

What the paper adds

Looking back 20 years from 2032, we find that many proposals for phasing out smoked tobacco products under discussion in 2012 were actually implemented, with the result that tobacco smoking was largely extinguished by 2032.

Like the eradication or amelioration of many common infectious diseases in the 20th century, the elimination of smoking seemed well-nigh impossible in prospect; in retrospect, it is the great public health achievement thus far of the 21st century.
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