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ABSTRACT

Objective To summarise published empirical research on culturally targeted anti-tobacco media messages for Indigenous or First Nations people and examine the evidence for the effectiveness of targeted and non-targeted campaigns.

Methods Studies were sought describing mass media and new media interventions for tobacco control or smoking cessation in Indigenous or First Nations populations. Studies of any design were included reporting outcomes of media-based interventions including: cognitions, awareness, recall, intention to quit and quit rates. Then, 2 reviewers independently applied inclusion criteria, which were met by 21 (5.8%) of the studies found. One author extracted data with crosschecking by a second. Both independently assessed papers using Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN; quantitative studies) and Daly et al (qualitative studies).

Results A total of 21 studies were found (4 level 1 randomised controlled trials (RCTs), 11 level 2 studies and 6 qualitative studies) and combined with narrative synthesis. Eight evaluated anti-tobacco TV or radio campaigns; two assessed US websites; three New Zealand studies examined mobile phone interventions; five evaluated print media; three evaluated a CD-ROM, a video and an edutainment intervention.

Conclusions Although Indigenous people had good recall of generic anti-tobacco messages, culturally targeted messages were preferred. New Zealand Maori may be less responsive to holistic targeted campaigns, despite their additional benefits, compared to generic fear campaigns. Culturally targeted internet or mobile phone messages appear to be as effective in American Indians and Maori as generic messages in the general population. There is little research comparing the effect of culturally targeted versus generic messages with similar message content in Indigenous people.

INTRODUCTION

The preamble to the WHO’s Framework Convention on Tobacco Control contains statements of deep concern about the high levels of smoking in Indigenous peoples across the globe.¹ Western countries have experienced a decrease in prevalence of smoking in the general population, but little improvement among the Indigenous or First Nations populations embedded within them. In the general Australian population, for example, smoking prevalence dropped from 34% in 1980,² to 16.6% in 2007.³ Data available for Indigenous Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations suggests a small decrease from 53% in 2004,⁴ to 50% nationally in 2005.⁵ Rates were comparable in 2008 for American Indians (49%),⁶ and New Zealand (NZ) Maori (45%),⁷ with levels also remaining static.⁸ ⁹

Traditional mass media anti-tobacco campaigns communicate through television, radio, newspapers, billboards, posters, leaflets or booklets, with the intention of discouraging uptake, encouraging smokers to quit and maintaining abstinence in nonsmokers.¹⁰ More recently, new media have been incorporated into anti-tobacco campaigns and interventions and are the subject of recent research: these include digital formats with interactive technology such as internet, mobile phone, video and CD-ROMs.¹¹ Media campaigns are considered an important component of tobacco control.¹² Given the lack of change in smoking prevalence in Indigenous populations in Western nation states, compared with the general population in these countries, it seems that anti-tobacco media messages, as one of several influential drivers of cessation, may not yet be reaching their potential.¹³ Other important factors influencing Indigenous smoking cessation such as sociocultural factors, consistent implementation of tobacco control policies in Indigenous and remote communities, and access to treatment, while relevant, are beyond the scope of this review.

Targeted marketing is used in the advertising industry including tobacco advertising.¹¹ Targeting is also employed for high-risk population groups with specific health needs or risk factors. The Ottawa charter recommends that health messages should be sensitive and respectful of the cultural needs of diverse populations.¹² There is no agreed definition of cultural targeting. Kreuter and Skinner propose that targeting involves: ‘the development of a single intervention approach for a defined population subgroup that takes into account characteristics shared by the subgroup’s members’.¹³

Most research on anti-tobacco media interventions has been in general populations of high-income countries.¹⁴ ¹⁵ Whether anti-tobacco strategies need to be targeted for minority or disadvantaged subgroups based on divisions such as ethnicity, language or age is debated.¹⁶ Bala et al, in a Cochrane review of mass media interventions for smoking cessation in adults, found no consistent relationship between campaign effectiveness and ethnicity.¹⁷ Other evidence suggests anti-tobacco messages
should be culturally relevant, linguistically clear and reinforced by prominent community members.\textsuperscript{15}

The focus of this review is Indigenous populations of Western nation states, who experience similar disadvantages and high smoking prevalence.\textsuperscript{16} Little is known about the optimum delivery of salient anti-tobacco health promotion messages to these Indigenous populations,\textsuperscript{17, 18} whether culturally-targeted media messages are needed or, indeed, if tobacco treatment and prevention needs to be targeted at all.\textsuperscript{19}

This review examines the available literature for evidence about the impact of anti-tobacco messages in Indigenous and First Nations populations in Australia, New Zealand, USA and Canada. This includes Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders, Maori from New Zealand, American Indians, Alaska Natives, First Nations and Inuit from Canada. Studies in Pacific Islanders living in the US state of Hawaii are included. The review is deliberately broad to include motivational and interventional anti-tobacco messages delivered through traditional mass media and new media platforms.

**Objectives**

Our aims were (a) to systematically review and summarise the literature describing attitudes and key responses to culturally targeted anti-tobacco messages and (b) identify any differences in effect according to whether the messages were addressed to the target population or aimed at the general population. The evidence was assessed regarding impacts of media-based interventions in the target populations such as cognitions, awareness, recall, intentions to quit and quit rates.

**METHODS**

**Data sources**

The following databases were searched from their earliest date through to October 2011: Medline, CINAHL, Embase, Psych INFO and Australian databases via Informit (see online appendix 1). Searches used truncated keywords and/or subject headings related to (tobacco or nicotine or smoking) combined with (Indigenous populations or Oceanic Ancestry Group or Aborigine or Torres Strait Islander or Maori or Inuit or First Nations or American Indian or Alaska Natives or Pacific Islanders) and further combined with (communication media or mass media or social marketing or advertising or health promotion or health education or internet or mobile phone or arts or arts therapy). Art was included as a search term as it is often used in health promotion for Indigenous populations.\textsuperscript{20, 21} Additionally, hand searches of reference lists of included papers and other literature on Indigenous smoking known to authors supplemented the electronic search.

The selection criteria were: full, peer-reviewed papers of original research on media-based anti-tobacco messages using any study design that included Indigenous populations embedded within Australia, New Zealand, USA and Canada, including Australian Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islanders, NZ Maori, American Indians, Alaska Natives, Pacific Islanders, First Nations or Inuit. Papers were not included if no measurement of outcome or impact was reported, such as knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, message recall, intention to quit or smoke, or quit rates.

Two reviewers (GSG and TW) independently applied the criteria then reached consensus. GSG (a medical practitioner) prescreened publications for relevance. Then GSG and TW (a clinical psychologist) independently screened titles, available abstracts and then full papers to determine eligibility. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Of the 489 studies found, after removing 124 duplicates, 21 (5.8\%) met the inclusion criteria. (See supplementary figure 1.)

**Data extraction**

One author (GSG) extracted data, with crosschecking by a second (TW). Information recorded included: aim of the study, geographical region, participant demographics, recruitment methods, methods of data collection and analysis, and summary of results.

Methodological quality for quantitative aspects of studies was assessed for hierarchy of evidence and risk of bias using checklists from Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) 50.\textsuperscript{22} With no similar guidelines for assessing study quality in qualitative research,\textsuperscript{23} we chose an evidence-for-practice theory by Daly et al\textsuperscript{24} to categorise qualitative and mixed studies into: (1) generalisable studies; (2) conceptual studies; (3) descriptive studies and (4) single case studies.

**Data synthesis**

Data synthesis made use of Popay et al’s guidelines for narrative synthesis.\textsuperscript{25} No statistical meta-analysis was performed due to the diversity of study designs and approaches included in this review. For preliminary synthesis, studies were divided into five groups: television or radio campaigns, print media, internet studies, mobile (cell) phone studies and other media. As study approaches were diverse, these were categorised into four basic approaches depending upon whether the variables tested were generic messages, targeted messages or comparisons of both.

**RESULTS**

Following Popay et al’s guidelines,\textsuperscript{25} results are organised first to summarise the basic features of the studies. A preliminary synthesis of findings is then presented describing the key findings for each type of media studied: initially, traditional mass media and then new media. Outcome measures, study designs, quality of studies and approaches are then presented. This is followed by a narrative synthesis, which collates information from across the studies. We then examine the responses to culturally targeted anti-tobacco messages for Indigenous smokers and draw comparisons between targeted and generic messages.

In all, 21 papers reporting on 20 studies met the inclusion criteria. Supplementary table 1 contains full details of each study including aim, location, recruitment, participants, methods and analysis, intervention, key findings and relevant comments.

Table 2 summarises the included studies in terms of the type of media used, type of study and the studied population.

The nine quantitative studies were comprised of: four randomised controlled trials (RCTs),\textsuperscript{26–29} a database analysis,\textsuperscript{30} two post-intervention surveys,\textsuperscript{31, 32} and two before and after (BAS) studies.\textsuperscript{33, 34} The remaining 12 studies were mixed-methods or qualitative studies,\textsuperscript{35–46} including 4 with a BAS design.\textsuperscript{35–38} No peer-reviewed studies were found describing Canadian First Nations or Inuit. All studies were in community settings covering a range of urban, rural and remote locations. Seven of the studies described the impact of media interventions among youth,\textsuperscript{27, 29, 32, 36, 37, 41, 42} and two addressed women,\textsuperscript{38, 39} with one of these aimed at pregnant women.\textsuperscript{38} Two studies included health staff or health professionals.\textsuperscript{40, 41}

**Mass media**

**Television or radio advertisements**

Of the 21 papers, 8 evaluated the impact of anti-tobacco television or radio advertisements on attitudes, beliefs, smoking
intentions or behaviour. Three New Zealand studies examined the effect of the collaboratively developed, ‘it’s about whanau’ (IAW) television campaign, targeting Maori smokers. The first study used a cross-sectional New Zealand-wide survey and Quitline data from two waves: the Quitline monitoring data showed that the proportion of Maori callers to the Quitline increased from 20% to 25% post campaign. The advertisements were rated highly believable (73%) and relevant (67%) and over 50% of Maori survey respondents said the advertisements influenced them to quit. A second study used a focus group of Maori women to elicit their views on a range of campaigns.31 35 40 46 Three studies reported high levels of recall (85%) of the National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians (5 studies) 

Table 1 Summary of media type and populations of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians (5 studies)</th>
<th>New Zealand Maori (7 studies)</th>
<th>US Pacific Islander and Native Hawaiians (1 study)</th>
<th>American Indian or American Indian + Alaska Native (7* studies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV and/or radio advertisements</td>
<td>4 TV/Radio studies (1 mixed, 1 mixed BAS, 1 survey, 1 qualitative)</td>
<td>3 TV studies (1 database, 1 BAS, 1 qualitative)</td>
<td>1 TV/Radio study (survey)</td>
<td>2 internet studies (1 RCT, 1 qualitative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 print media studies (4 papers: 1 RCT, 2 qualitative, 1 mixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phone</td>
<td>3 Mobile phone studies (2 RCT, 1 mixed BAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>1 print media study (BAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other media:</td>
<td>1 CD-ROM intervention (mixed BAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 edutainment study (mixed BAS)</td>
<td>1 Video intervention (mixed BAS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two papers reported the same study.

BAS, before and after study; RCT, randomised controlled trial.

Print media

The print media studies showed varied effects. Improved pack health warnings (PHW) resulted in similar significant increases in recognition of the Quitline number (p<0.001), pre/post campaign, for Maori (25.1% increase) and the general New Zealand population (24.1%). Two US papers assessed the feasibility of adapting the ‘Second Wind’ program for pan-tribal populations. Participants representing 17 different tribal groups suggested improving Native design elements, including a Native worldview, oral history, family content and traditional tobacco use.44 45 A three-part systematic strategy was used to assess the ‘All Nations Breath of Life’ educational brochures for scientific accuracy, readability and cultural appropriateness for American Indian and Alaska Native smokers. The authors propose this strategy as a way to enhance the cultural suitability of print materials, prior to conducting a clinical trial.46 Anti-tobacco messages embedded into a mailed-out Native art calendar for American Indians and Alaska Natives did not increase the uptake of smoking cessation advice or nicotine patch prescription (as determined by an audit of the patient’s record), compared with a control calendar without messages. No impact on quit rates was observed (6.5% vs 7%, p=0.33).28

New media

Internet

Two studies, which included American Indians and Alaska Native participants, reported a positive impact from US internet websites.47 48 American Indians formed a small percentage of US participants (2% n=7) using a website featuring video clips, in a RCT with a wait-list control.47 On logistical regression there were no significant interactions between ethnicity and condition therefore self-reported quit rates were reported as one group (treatment group 12.3% vs control 5% at 90 days, OR 2.66). In a two-phase action research pilot project American Indian and Alaska Native participants suggested modifications to the generic SmokingZine website to make it more ‘Native’.41 Researchers then compared use of the generic website with the culturally adapted website for American Indian/Alaska Native youth. Usability scores were moderately in favour for the culturally adapted version compared to the non-modified site. Changes in smoking behaviour were not assessed.
Mobile phone
Three New Zealand studies reported mixed outcomes from mobile phone interventions that used text,27 and video messages.29 42 Culturally-adapted text messages were as effective for Maori as generic messages were for non-Maori in the short term, in a four group RCT with controls receiving follow-up reminder texts only. There were no significant differences between Maori and non-Maori in self-reported quit rates (26.1% for Maori in the treatment group vs 11.2% Maori in control group at 6 weeks, RR: 2.34, 95% CI 1.44 to 3.79), and results were similar for non-Maori (RR: 2.16, 95% CI 1.72 to 2.71). This indicates that when Maori are given culturally targeted interventions their quit rates can equal those of the general population.27 A collaboratively developed multimedia mobile phone intervention was successfully piloted producing a 53% self-reported quit rate at a multicultural college, which included Maori.42 However when a RCT tested its effectiveness, there was no significant difference in continuous abstinence (intention-to-treat) at 6 months between the smoking cessation video intervention and controls (26.4%) and a general health video message control (27.6%), (p=0.8).29 No data about the ethnic group of the quitters was provided in the latter two studies, even though Maori were a target group.

Other media
Three media studies falling outside the above categories had varying effects: one incorporated a CD-ROM, another used an education-entertainment format and a third used a DVD. An Aboriginal Australian community based program employed a CD-ROM, which was well received and used by participating youth, however there were no changes in self-reported smoking behaviours.27 An education-entertainment multimedia drama performance improved knowledge about addiction (p=0.021) and decreased future intentions to smoke (p=0.041) in Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Hawaii, USA.26 In contrast, a study with the intervention group using a culturally-targeted video, counselling and brochures, and the control group receiving brief interventions and brochures only, had poor levels of acceptance and did not change smoking behaviour in pregnant Islanders in Hawaii, USA.36 In contrast, a study with the intervention group using a culturally-targeted video, counselling and brochures, and the control group receiving brief interventions and brochures only, had poor levels of acceptance and did not change smoking behaviour in pregnant Islanders in Hawaii, USA.36

Outcome measures
Table 2 demonstrates the diversity of outcome measures. A total of 12 studies measured cultural suitability10–41 43–45 and/or relevance.26 29 31 33 43–46 Only three studies measured believability,26 31 46 which is one of the hallmarks of a salient message. Usability was confined mostly to those delivery systems, such as mobile phone and internet, which demanded interactivity on a technical basis.26 29 41 42 44 45 Awareness and recall of the messages or campaign was a common feature.31–36 38 40, a standard approach is to ask for unprompted then prompted recall,11 however the type of recall in some studies was unspecified.26 46
One study had a sophisticated measure of perceived effectiveness and confirmed recall.32 The assessment of smoking attitudes and behaviour varied across the studies and included measuring attitudes to quitting,33 46 intentions to quit or smoke,26 31 32 38 39 quit attempts,29 31 38 levels of consumption,27 32 42 perceptions about being influenced to quit by the message (including talking to others about quitting),31 33 35 calls to a Quitline,30 33 receipt of cessation advice and quit rates.26 27 29 31 35 38 41 42
Standardised reporting of smoking abstinence, which is considered best practice, was missing from most of the studies.47 48 Only three studies described how they assessed self-reported quit status: consumption over the last 7 days,26 29 38 while three studies biochemically validated abstinence27 29 38 with one using the Russell Standard for continuous abstinence.29

Study designs and quality of evidence
Table 3 summarises the studies and their level of evidence (SIGN and Daly et al). Due to the small number of studies in this field, we did not exclude studies on the basis of their quality ranking, but rather gave greater weight to the higher-level studies. Four quantitative studies were rated as level 1+ or 1++ (SIGN),23 which indicates they are higher quality and have a lower risk of bias.21–24 One further cohort study was considered 2+, therefore of good quality for its type.46 Of the 15 quantitative studies, 10 received a minus score for SIGN ranking, that is the studies were considered to have a high risk of bias.
Nine papers containing qualitative elements were rated using Daly et al’s hierarchy of evidence for practice.24 Two mixed-methods studies reported insufficient qualitative details to be assessed. Only two papers were rated as category 2, that is as conceptual,38 44 and therefore potentially transferable to other populations,26 while none were ranked in the top category. Seven other papers rated as category 3 were therefore deemed descriptive.35 39–43 45

Study approaches
There was a wide variety of research approaches making comparisons between non-equivalent population groups and interventions difficult. Figure 1 illustrates the study approaches, which can be categorised into four conceptual groups as follows:
1. Effect of generic media on Indigenous people ± comparison with general population.10 31 32 34 35 40 45
2. Generic versus targeted for Indigenous people (in this case the interventions had non-equivalent content).30
3. Generic for general population versus targeted for Indigenous population.29 27 29 42
4. Effect of targeted campaigns for Indigenous or measures of cultural suitability.40 25 38 39 41 43–45

NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS
Responses to culturally targeted anti-tobacco messages
The qualitative studies revealed a preference for culturally targeted messages. This held true across the populations studied. US participants from pan-tribal Nations recommended that resources should become more inclusive of other Nations’ views by: use of appropriately diverse cultural design elements; depicting a broader range of tribal customs; and increasing education about ceremonial tobacco use.44 45 American Native youth preferred using a website with a more ‘Native’ look and advised how to adapt a generic site by incorporating Native design features.43 In Australia, health and welfare staff, working with Indigenous communities, favoured culturally appropriate messages and suggested that modifications were required for an Indigenous audience.40 Stewart et al tested one Indigenous targeted TV advertisement that was rated significantly higher, by Indigenous compared to non-Indigenous smokers, for message acceptance and personalised effectiveness.46 Indigenous viewers related more to the advertisement and were more likely to discuss it than non-Indigenous viewers. NZ Maori similarly call for more culturally relevant advertisements,49 and point out that mainstream graphic advertisements showing body parts, are inappropriate or tapu.59 The culturally targeted IAW
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<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
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<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
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<td>x</td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
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<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit attempts</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported quit rate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated quit rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation advice</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to Quitline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific accuracy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readability score</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference (lead author, year)</td>
<td>Study and specificity</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>SIGN rankings</td>
<td>Qualitative rankings (Daly et al)</td>
<td>Summary of evidence relevant to review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, 2005&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV media campaign, Maori specific</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori</td>
<td>Quantitative database</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>More calls to Quitline after generic graphic advert compared to holistic Maori advert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grigg, 2008&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV media campaign, Maori specific</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori</td>
<td>Quantitative BAS</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Increased recall of adverts and calls by Maori to Quitline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandez, 2009&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV media campaign, Maori specific</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori</td>
<td>Qualitative focus groups</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Positive feedback to IAW campaign, compared with generic graphic TV adverts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivers, 2009&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV campaign and community interventions, Mostly generic</td>
<td>Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders</td>
<td>Mixed methods BAS pre/post-intervention surveys</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>Insufficient information to assess</td>
<td>High recall of adverts. Exposure to any of the various interventions did not influence cessation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boye, 2010&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV and radio media campaign, generic</td>
<td>Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders</td>
<td>Quantitative survey interviews</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>High recall of adverts. In all, 25% attempted to quit, 1.5% successful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston, 2010&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV campaign and other interventions, generic</td>
<td>Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders</td>
<td>Qualitative study semistructured interviews</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Best recall of graphic imagery in TV adverts. Health staff believe adverts need to be culturally targeted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart, 2011&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV advertisements, mostly generic</td>
<td>Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders</td>
<td>Mixed methodology questionnaire and discussion</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Strong graphic adverts and first person narratives rated highly by Indigenous smokers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogeltanz-Holm, 2009&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TV and radio media campaign, generic</td>
<td>American Indian (youth)</td>
<td>Quantitative study structured interviews</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Confirmed recall and perceived effectiveness highest to 'Artery' advert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daley, 2008&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt; and Choi, 2008&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Program curriculum and printed resources, American Indian specific</td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>Qualitative focus groups</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>II Daley, III Choi</td>
<td>Modifications provided for the 'Second Wind' smoking cessation program to improve pan-tribal cultural suitability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daley, 2009&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Health promotional pamphlets, American Indian specific</td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>Mixed methodology: expert opinion, readability scores and focus group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Scientific and cultural content, and readability scores appropriate: minor changes advocated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doorenbos, 2011&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Native calendar, American Indian/Alaska Native specific</td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>Single-blind randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Calendar with health messages did not increase smoking cessation related outcomes compared to calendar without messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, 2010&lt;sup&gt;36&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pack health warnings, generic</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori</td>
<td>Quantitative BAS pre/post telephone surveys</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Significant increase in Quitline number recognition from improved PHWs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swartz, 2006&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Internet website, targeted content</td>
<td>American Indian subset</td>
<td>Non-blinded randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No significant difference in cessation rates between ethnic groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taualii, 2010&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Internet website, American Indian specific</td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native (youth)</td>
<td>Qualitative focus groups</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Favourable response to targeted website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bramley, 2009&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mobile phone text messages, targeted for Maori, genetic for others</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori and non-Maori (youth)</td>
<td>Single-blind randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>1++</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No significant difference in response between Maori and non-Maori</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitaker, 2009&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mobile phone video messages, targeted choice</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori subset (youth)</td>
<td>Mixed methodology questionnaire</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Favourable attitudes to content. High self-reported quit rate; not stratified to population group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitaker, 2011&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mobile phone video messages, targeted choice</td>
<td>New Zealand Maori subset (youth)</td>
<td>Single-blind randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No significant difference in cessation rates between intervention and control; results not reported by ethnic group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston, 1998&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CD-ROM and other community interventions, Indigenous specific</td>
<td>Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders (youth)</td>
<td>Mixed methodology BAS questionnaires and informal feedback</td>
<td>2–</td>
<td>Insufficient information to assess</td>
<td>No change in smoking behaviour; increased knowledge, CD favourably viewed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
Table 3

Summary of evidence relevant to review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Study and speciality</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Qualitative rankings (Daly et al)</th>
<th>SIGN rankings</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patten, 2010</td>
<td>Mixed methodology, BAS</td>
<td>Education-entertainment drama, targeted everyone as smokeless tobacco</td>
<td>Mixed methodology, pre-post intervention</td>
<td>Insufficient information to assess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ineffective intervention and control groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Native pregnant women</td>
<td>Mixed methodology, BAS</td>
<td>Video and educational materials</td>
<td>Baseline study, randomised control</td>
<td>Qualitative rankings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increased quit rates as exemplified by Iver et al’s study, and discussed by Johnston and Thomas, and Vogeltanz-Holm et al in the US. Stewart et al found that Indigenous and non-Indigenous viewers shown a broad range of advertisements in an experimental study rated those containing strong graphic imagery or personal narratives as effective for a range of measures including being more likely to quit. Where there was a significant difference, ratings by Indigenous viewers were always higher for graphic and narrative advertisements than those given by the non-Indigenous viewers. A study on new FHWs found no significant differences in improved recognition of generic tobacco messages between the New Zealand general population and Maori. It can be argued that wholly graphic non-targeted messages such as ‘Artery’ and FHWs may be culturally neutral. Indigenous viewers commented that advertisements such as ‘Bubblewrap’ and ‘Alive’ targeted everyone as they depicted body parts only. Conversely the showing of body parts can render messages tapu for Maori. Several studies compared culturally targeted content for the Indigenous population with generic (non-targeted) content for the rest of the population. In these cases there is evidence from two level 1 studies using culturally targeted content.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Schema of included studies illustrating conceptual groups 1–4.

Campaign increased calls to Quitline and recall of tobacco advertisements in Maori. Although graphic advertisements stimulated more calls by Maori to the NZ Quitline than the IAW campaign, less robust qualitative data suggests tacit value in the culturally targeted campaign. Emotional engagement and identification is considered a key feature of nicotine dependence, and also to successful implementation of entertainment-education. Mitschke et al’s study of a culturally targeted tobacco prevention drama for Asian and Pacific Islander and Native youth in Hawaii was the only study in this review that specifically measured the level of emotional engagement with the characters and identification with the messages. Analyses demonstrated a significant difference in knowledge after the drama and significant improvements in intention to avoid smoking.
demonstrating the approaches were equally effective on quit rates in the short term (6–12 weeks) when comparing responses of the Indigenous samples to the general population samples.26 27 The New Zealand text messaging study, which deliberately over-recruited Maori to eliminate health inequality bias (21% Maori participants compared with 14.7% Maori in the New Zealand population), had equivalent Maori to non-Maori self-reported quit rates.51 In contrast, the US study of an automated website with video content had only a small percentage of American Indian participants (2% n=7), however it found no significant interactions between ethnicity for any of the ethnic subgroups, including American Indians, and treatment or control condition on logistical regression.26 When a generic threat campaign was measured head-to-head with a targeted holistic Maori campaign, in regard to calls to the Quitline, it appeared the generic threat campaign was more effective.50

DISCUSSION

Should anti-tobacco media messages be culturally targeted for Indigenous people?

Despite qualitative evidence that Indigenous populations prefer culturally targeted messages, there is early evidence of effective recall or recognition of generic messages in the Indigenous cohorts. There is also preliminary evidence that culturally targeted messages can be as effective in Indigenous populations in the short term, as generic (non-targeted) messages are for the general population. Market research supports the view that resources that depict Indigenous faces will be more engaging to an Indigenous audience,17 except perhaps for youth who relate more to the dominant youth culture.31 Indigenous people may also be wary of negative stereotyping in the media.32 Mounting culturally targeted mass media campaigns for Indigenous smokers, through mass media, may demand a substantial financial investment, for a return that is still as yet uncertain.

This review revealed disparity in the sophistication of media studies in different countries for Indigenous tobacco control. New Zealand has the most comprehensive culturally targeted mass media campaign for its Indigenous people and has trialled mobile phones to deliver anti-tobacco messages to Maori with varying success.29 30 33 Although Australia’s National Tobacco Campaign included some targeted TV and radio advertisements,34 Australia has only recently invested in a national culturally targeted mass media campaign.53

Internet-based programs for Indigenous populations and drama appear to be promising interventions, but were only evident in the US. There were several US studies on print media, but no evidence so far that videos for pregnant Alaska Native women, or mailed out print media for American Indians are effective. Notable was a lack of peer-reviewed papers on interventions in Canadian First Nations and Inuit. Those Western countries that have Indigenous citizens can learn from each other’s exemplars and trial different targeted approaches to attempt to drive down smoking prevalence.

In light of the importance of transportation and self-referencing for influencing intentions to quit smoking, the case for culturally targeted anti-tobacco media interventions is compelling although methodologically challenging.11 54 Effectiveness of interventions is not the sole consideration when implementing interventions in Indigenous populations, particularly in the early, formative stages. Promoting community ownership, self-determination and acceptability are important aims.55 Emotional engagement and identification is also plausibly higher if the targeted community has been involved in formative research, whichever the media used.56 57 These elements can be accomplished through community-based participatory research (CBPR),58 however higher levels of evidence, afforded by randomised controlled trials, may be unobtainable in community based health promotion programs.59

The importance of going beyond tests of cultural appropriateness, acceptability and feasibility to establish effectiveness is illustrated. Some culturally targeted media interventions: the art calendar for American Indians/Alaska Natives,38 the video for pregnant Alaska Native women38 and the New Zealand video mobile phone intervention,27 were no more effective than the control intervention despite being collaboratively developed. The latter two studies were hampered with recruitment issues, with few Indigenous people being ready to quit. The degree to which interventions are culturally sensitive may potentially influence effectiveness. Superficial strategies (such as matching language and themes) may increase receptivity to the message, whereas deeper strategies (targeting sociocultural beliefs influencing health behaviour) convey salience.60 An evidence-based method to ensure cultural suitability, readability and accuracy of tobacco control messages is fundamental. The protocol suggested by Daley et al could have a worthy application to resource development for print media.43 Researchers in New Zealand are commended for their avoidance of cultural bias by a using a boosted sample of Maori participants,27 29 33 and conducting and reporting research within a Maori framework.27 38 39

Mass media campaigns can be drivers for prompting quit attempts. While many smokers are able to quit unassisted,61 the success of individual quit attempts are significantly improved through use of behavioural support and medications.52 62 It is documented that mass media campaigns are more effective when supported by comprehensive programs, which may include improved access to treatment.11 Little is yet known about the natural history of quitting for an Indigenous smoker. In the interim, steps need to be taken to ensure that Indigenous populations are not disadvantaged by inequities in access to media broadcasting,64 IT technology65 and effective treatments, should they wish or need to use them.66

This review found an absence of a common taxonomy in Indigenous studies to describe media-based interventions. It also found precise outcome measures are not used routinely, for example for measuring perceived effectiveness, recall and quit rates. This reduces the ability to transfer findings and conduct meta-analyses.

Conclusions

There is a well established evidence base on the effectiveness of anti-tobacco mass media campaigns in the general population.10 11 14 67 Yet Indigenous people are one of several disadvantaged groups for whom there is a shortage of robust evidence for either generic or culturally targeted anti-tobacco messages.60

Media based research in Indigenous people is diffuse and hindered by a diversity of study approaches and lack of agreed outcome measures. There is limited evidence supporting the need for culturally targeted messages. Preference for culturally targeted messages by Indigenous peoples is acknowledged. Where culturally targeted messages have been trialled campaigns have been shown to be effective in terms of change of knowledge, attitude and behaviour.68

Developing messages that are of personal relevance to Indigenous smokers and testing this concept through a well constructed, culturally appropriate campaign, preferably would include longitudinal data to assess whether recall and intentions to quit translate into long-term abstinence. Comparisons
What this paper adds

- Indigenous populations have a high prevalence of tobacco smoking, which is not decreasing in line with that of the general population.
- Despite well established evidence for anti-tobacco mass media campaigns for the general population, there is limited evidence for the use of anti-tobacco messages for Indigenous people.
- This review brings together empirical evidence for culturally targeted and generic anti-tobacco messages for Indigenous populations in North America, New Zealand and Australia.
- Although Indigenous smokers recall generic anti-tobacco messages, this review also supports the need for culturally targeted messages. Targeted messages for Indigenous smokers are shown here to effectively change knowledge, attitudes and smoking behaviour, and persist as a preferred option.
- This paper highlights the need for a well-constructed trial comparing generic versus targeted messages with similar content for Indigenous smokers.

between targeted and non-targeted campaigns further need to test equivalent genres in order to lay down a proof of principle.
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