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Estimates suggest that environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure may affect over 40% of children in the USA. ETS exposure is a known health risk, especially for young children. Previous studies have shown that reported ETS exposure can be reduced with counselling. However, no study has demonstrated reduced ETS exposure for low income and minority families, nor have beneficial effects been demonstrated by objective biological measures. Since low income and racial/ethnic minority populations may be at higher risk of exposure as well as at higher risk of inadequate medical/preventive services, testing means of reducing ETS exposure among these populations is critical from a public health and social justice perspective. The purpose of this trial was to test a revised in-person and telephone counselling program among low income, multiracial/ethnic families and to assess outcomes based on objective cotinine as well as parent reported exposure measures.

Methods
Smoking mothers and their babies (n = 108 families) were recruited from San Diego sites providing benefits through the federally funded Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). Screening forms were distributed to all adult clients during a nine month period. Of 1147 mothers who reported that they smoked and had children under the age of 4 years, research assistants were able to screen 832 by telephone. Of these, 162 (19.5%) qualified and were invited to participate in the study. Only 17.9% refused, and the first 108 who signed informed consents were enrolled. Eligibility criteria included English or Spanish language ability, mothers’ reported smoking rate of a minimum of two cigarettes per day with a mean duration of three months, with a mean duration across sessions of 12.6 to 28 minutes. Counseling session 1 was held at mothers’ homes immediately following the baseline interview and random assignment. Sessions 3 and 7 were planned to occur in-person at WIC sites (to coincide with mothers’ regularly scheduled appointments when possible), with the remaining sessions delivered via telephone. Only 11 mothers (20.8%) had two sessions at WIC and 10 mothers (18.9%) had one. Counselors met 42 mothers (79.2%) at their homes for at least one in-person session, because of transportation, childcare, and other scheduling difficulties. Formal smoking cessation counseling was not provided, although parents who expressed an interest in cessation were encouraged to contact community resources for assistance. Counselors received 20 hours of training, including role playing, and participated in weekly case review meetings.

Reported ETS exposure, supported by environmental nicotine monitoring (including bogus pipeline procedures) and babies’ urine cotinine assays, were obtained before intervention, at three months post-test, and six (interview only) and 12 months follow up. Babies’ urine samples were obtained by placing cotton rolls in babies’ diapers, or with a standard urine collection cup for toilet trained babies. Our pilot research has shown that cotton rolls do not alter the concentration of cotinine detected. Mothers who reported seven day smoking cessation at any measure provided saliva samples for cotinine analysis. Test–retest reliability estimates for reported ETS exposure from mothers and for all sources of ETS exposure were high (r = 0.91 and 0.88) and significant (p < 0.05). Validity correlations for urine cotinine and environmental nicotine with reported ETS exposure resulted in coefficients that ranged from 0.35 to 0.75, and reached significance (p < 0.05) across groups and measures. Further details regarding the reliability and validity of measures have been previously published. Separate outcome analyses for reported and biological indicators of ETS exposure will be computed using generalised estimating equations to estimate the degree of differential change.

Preliminary results
Mothers’ mean (SD) age was 28.7 (6.7) years. About half (47.2%) were non-Latino white, 27.8% were Latino, 21.3% were African Ameri-
can, and 3.7% were mixed or other race. Less than high school education was reported by 38.9%, 25.0% reported high school graduation or general equivalency degree, 25.9% some college, 2.8% college graduation, and 7.4% trade school or vocational training. Most mothers (88.0%) were not employed, and 46.3% did not have a husband or other partner living in the home at the baseline interview. Babies’ mean (SD) age was 14.2 (6.9) months, and about half (52.8%) were female. χ² and analysis of variance (ANOVA) analyses showed no baseline differences between experimental and control groups in mothers’ or babies’ age or sex, mothers’ ethnicity, education, employment, single-parent status, saliva cotinine concentrations, reported smoking rate or lifetime quit attempts, or babies’ ETS exposure (based on parent reports or babies’ cotinine).

High rates of cohort retention and intervention fidelity were achieved. Forty nine of the 53 (92.5%) women assigned to the experimental group completed all seven counselling sessions. One mother skipped her last session because of scheduling difficulties. One moved and could not be located after her third session, and two refused to continue their participation in the study during counselling. Postintervention, seven mothers were lost, one was incarcerated, and one refused to continue. Final 12 month interview measures were obtained from 88.9% (n = 96) of mothers and babies’ urine samples were obtained from 87.0% (n = 94).

Reports of seven day smoking cessation were biochemically verified (saliva cotinine concentration < 30 ng/ml) for six mothers in the experimental group and four mothers in the control group at one or more measurement points. This difference did not reach significance (p > 0.05).

Results indicated that mothers enjoyed the counselling program and appreciated the assistance. Over half (59.6%) felt that the advice they received was “very helpful”, and all but one of the remaining 19 women felt that it was “somewhat helpful”. Almost all (97.9%) stated that they would recommend this program to a friend, and all mothers felt that WIC should offer this service to families with children exposed to ETS.

Outcome analyses are in progress. If therapeutic effects are observed, these results will add to the literature confirming counselling as a means of reducing children’s ETS exposure.

Discussion

This is one of the few controlled trials of ETS exposure reduction to include a low income and mixed racial/ethnic population, and an objective biological measure of ETS exposure. The low refusal rate and successful program delivery confirmed the feasibility of providing such a service to the WIC population. The findings suggest that families find ETS reduction counselling an aid in their efforts to protect their children from tobacco smoke. Initial reliability and validity estimates of ETS exposure confirm the usefulness of reported measures for future application services. Initial results suggest that counselling limited to children’s ETS exposure does not result in smoking cessation, which indicates that cessation services should be considered in addition to exposure reduction services. Final confirmation of ETS exposure reduction from counselling awaits completion of analyses of both reported and cotinine measures. If results confirm the efficacy of counselling, future studies will be needed to determine possible reductions in morbidity and mortality, and to determine possible costs and cost savings from effective reduction in ETS exposure and related morbidity/medical care services.

Finally, incorporation of tobacco control services in the national WIC program deserves further policy consideration.
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