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Objective: To examine time trends in the portrayal of smoking in a national collection of portraiture and to compare this with the similar trends for television and film.

Methods: A compact disc produced by the National Portrait Gallery in London, UK, was systematically searched for artworks produced in the years 1950 to 1999. A ‘‘smoking portrayal’’ in an artwork was defined as having a cigarette, cigar or pipe in the mouth or hand of a named individual.

Results: Out of 1063 artworks included in the analysis, 53 portrayed smoking by identifiable individuals (5.0%). The rate of portrayal was highest in the 1950s (10%) and 1960s (11%) and then declined sharply thereafter (p value for trend < 0.00001). Smoking virtually disappeared from portraiture in the 1990s (at 0.6%). The median age of the smokers portrayed was significantly higher in the 1970 to 1999 period when compared to the 1950 to 1969 period.

Conclusions: The decline of smoking in this collection of portraiture is consistent with the decline in smoking in the UK over these decades, but contrasts with trends for increasing smoking portrayal described elsewhere for film and television.

RESULTS

A total of 1954 artworks were examined in the 1950 to 1999 period and 98 portrayed smoking by identifiable individuals (5.0%). When individuals with multiple portraits in the dataset were counted only once per year, there were 53 portraits that portrayed smoking out of a total of 1061 artworks (that is, also 5.0%).

The rate of portrayal of smoking was highest in the 1950s and 1960s and declined in subsequent decades (table 1). The overall downward trend in smoking portrayal was highly significant for all artworks (p < 0.00001) and was also significant when considering just photographs and drawings. Smoking virtually disappeared from this collection of portraiture in the 1990s (at under 1% of artworks). The median age of the individuals portrayed smoking was 49 years for men and 46.5 years for women. The median age of the smokers in the earlier period (1950–1969) was younger than in the later decades (1970–1999) (46 years versus 58 years respectively; p = 0.015).

For the whole time period, smoking portrayals were relatively higher in portraits of men than for women (odds ratio 2.8, 95% confidence interval 1.0 to 10.6). For the individuals who were portrayed smoking, the occupations were: writers (33%, n = 16), painters (18%, n = 9), other artists, including of the performing arts (20%, n = 10), politicians (8%, n = 4), and others (20%, n = 10) (for example, business people and journalists). In contrast, there were no portrayals of smoking among: scientists, engineers, mathematicians, astronomers, inventors, and ‘‘physicians & surgeons’’. Cigarettes were the dominant form of tobacco product (64% of portrayals (34/53)), followed by pipes (26%), and cigars (9%). Only 8% of smoking portrayals showed actual smoke (in two photographs and two drawings—4/53 portraits).
DISCUSSION

The analysis found a sharp decline in the portrayal of smoking in a national collection of portraiture in the period 1950 to 1999. Possible reasons for this decline may include: (1) a reduction in smoking prevalence by people who were likely to have their portrait in this collection; (2) a change in the acceptability of including smoking portrayal in portraits of smokers (a decision by artists and/or their subjects); and (3) a change in the acceptability of portraiture showing smoking, by those who determine which artworks are selected for the collection of the National Portrait Gallery. The latter reason seems unlikely, although some institutions have been sensitive to issues of smoking portrayal (for example, regarding images of Roosevelt and an image of the artist Jackson Pollock on a US stamp).

The decline in the social acceptability of smoking is a plausible influence on smoking portrayal in portraiture. Since the 1960s there has been increased public awareness in the UK of the hazards posed by smoking and most people now report being aware of the health risks associated with secondhand smoke exposure. There has been the growth of non-governmental organisations that promote smoke-free policies (such as Action on Smoking and Health—ASH) and many organisations have instituted smoke-free area policies. British politicians have also felt able to intensify various tobacco control measures over the decades (including restrictions on advertising in various settings, health warnings on cigarette packets, increases in tobacco taxation, and even television advertising campaigns). Finally, smoking has become a marker of low occupational class, with smoking prevalence in the UK in 2001 being 33% among adults living in “routine or manual” households compared to 19% among those in the “managerial and professional” households.

Comparison with actual smoking trends

As the portrayal of smoking in portraiture has declined, so has tobacco use and smoking prevalence in the UK in recent decades. Tobacco consumption per person appeared to peak in the UK around 1960 and has declined since. However, the peaks in consumption per adult actually vary substantially by sex and age group. For example, the peak cigarette consumption for men and women aged 45–49 years was in the periods 1946 to 1950 and 1976 to 1980 respectively. Also, given that people likely to be in the portrait collection tend to be from the occupational groups associated with high social class, the trends for this class may be particularly relevant. In the late 1940s and early to mid 1950s, smoking was more common among the higher social classes in Britain. Then between 1958 and 1971, smoking among men in social class I declined from 54% to 37%. Steep declines in smoking prevalence were also seen for both professional men and professional women for the 1972 to 1988 period.

Comparison with trends in film and television

We could not identify any trend data that related specifically to smoking portrayals in films or television programmes produced in the UK. Nevertheless, some trend data are available for US films and television programmes—which dominate the global market in the English speaking world. For animated children’s films, the largest study to date identified a significant reduction in the portrayal of tobacco use (for between 1937 and 2000). For other US films, studies covering the periods 1988 to 1997 and 1985 to 1995 reported no evidence for declines in tobacco portrayal. However, the study of popular US films which has covered the longest time period, has reported that the portrayal of tobacco declined from the 1960s to a low point in the 1980s and began to increase again in the 1990s. An updated analysis of this dataset has reported that tobacco use in year 2000 movies exceeded the level of those in the 1960s.

Table 1: Portrayal of smoking in portraiture in the collection of the National Portrait Gallery, London, 1950 to 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Photographs</th>
<th>Drawings</th>
<th>Portraits</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Smoking (% smoking)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950–59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960–69</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970–79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980–89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison with actual smoking trends

As the portrayal of smoking in portraiture has declined, so has tobacco use and smoking prevalence in the UK in recent decades. Tobacco consumption per person appeared to peak in the UK around 1960 and has declined since. However, the peaks in consumption per adult actually vary substantially by sex and age group. For example, the peak cigarette consumption for men and women aged 45–49 years was in the periods 1946 to 1950 and 1976 to 1980 respectively. Also, given that people likely to be in the portrait collection tend to be from the occupational groups associated with high social class, the trends for this class may be particularly relevant. In the late 1940s and early to mid 1950s, smoking was more common among the higher social classes in Britain. Then between 1958 and 1971, smoking among men in social class I declined from 54% to 37%. Steep declines in smoking prevalence were also seen for both professional men and professional women for the 1972 to 1988 period.

Comparison with trends in film and television

We could not identify any trend data that related specifically to smoking portrayals in films or television programmes produced in the UK. Nevertheless, some trend data are available for US films and television programmes—which dominate the global market in the English speaking world. For animated children’s films, the largest study to date identified a significant reduction in the portrayal of tobacco use (for between 1937 and 2000). For other US films, studies covering the periods 1988 to 1997 and 1985 to 1995 reported no evidence for declines in tobacco portrayal. However, the study of popular US films which has covered the longest time period, has reported that the portrayal of tobacco declined from the 1960s to a low point in the 1980s and began to increase again in the 1990s. An updated analysis of this dataset has reported that tobacco use in year 2000 movies exceeded the level of those in the 1960s.
A decline in tobacco use on US prime time television for both “situation comedies” and “dramas” has been reported for the period 1950 to 1982. However, a study done in 1984 suggested that the rate of tobacco portrayal was increasing again. Similarly, a study in 1993 reported a rise in tobacco use on US prime time television.

The decline of smoking in portraiture in this dataset is therefore consistent with the declining trend of smoking in children’s animated films. It contrasts, however, with the evidence for recent rises in the rate of tobacco use in popular US films and television comedies and dramas. One possible reason for this difference is that portraiture is less likely to be influenced by tobacco companies than is the film and television industry.

The tobacco industry has used tobacco product placement, has placed favourable articles relating to product use by actors in national print media, and has provided free cigarettes to actors on a regular basis. While the tobacco industry claims to have ended the practice of product placement, one study found no change in the prevalence of tobacco brand appearance in films after the industry’s voluntary ban on product placement.

Many other factors could also account for the differences between the trends for the selected portraits and for films and television. For example, a study involving interviews with film industry workers found that most attributed the rise in tobacco use in film to “an increase in independent films and the popularity of gritty images.”

**Future research**

Further trend and qualitative studies on the portrayal of smoking in various formats and in different media are needed to provide more context around the crucial public health issue of tobacco use. For instance, while there has been some discussion on coverage of smoking in the news, except for two studies found, little is known about the visual depiction of smoking in news coverage—print, television, and electronic web based. One potential outcome of such research is that with better information, tobacco control advocates would be more able to engage in constructive dialogue with the news, advertising, film, and television industries. Such dialogue could, for example, promote “a norm to include tobacco depiction only when the scene would suffer substantially without it.”
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