

REVIEW

Tobacco smoking using a waterpipe: a re-emerging strain in a global epidemic

W Maziak, K D Ward, R A Afifi Soweid, T Eissenberg

Tobacco Control 2004;13:327–333. doi: 10.1136/tc.2004.008169

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Thomas Eissenberg, Virginia Commonwealth University and Syrian Center for Tobacco Studies, 1112 East Clay Street, Suite B-08, Box 980205, Richmond, VA 23298, USA; teissenb@vcu.edu

Received 9 March 2004
Accepted 25 June 2004

Context: The global tobacco epidemic may kill 10 million people annually in the next 20–30 years, with 70% of these deaths occurring in developing countries. Current research, treatment, and policy efforts focus on cigarettes, while many people in developing regions (Asia, Indian subcontinent, Eastern Mediterranean) smoke tobacco using waterpipes. Waterpipes are increasing in popularity, and more must be learned about them so that we can understand their effects on public health, curtail their spread, and help their users quit.

Objective: To conduct a comprehensive review regarding global waterpipe use, in order to identify current knowledge, guide scientific research, and promote public policy.

Data sources: A Medline search using as keywords "waterpipe", "narghile", "arghile", "shisha", "hookah", "goza", "hubble bubble" and variant spellings (for example, "hooka"; "hukka") was conducted. Resources compiled recently by members of GLOBALink were used.

Study selection: Every identified published study related to waterpipe use was included.

Data synthesis: Research regarding waterpipe epidemiology and health effects is limited; no published studies address treatment efforts. Waterpipe use is increasing globally, particularly in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, where perceptions regarding health effects and traditional values may facilitate use among women and children. Waterpipe smoke contains harmful constituents and there is preliminary evidence linking waterpipe smoking to a variety of life threatening conditions, including pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, and pregnancy related complications.

Conclusions: More scientific documentation and careful analysis is required before the spread of waterpipe use and its health effects can be understood, and empirically guided treatment and public policy strategies can be implemented.

Globally, 4.9 million deaths each year are attributed to tobacco use, and this annual toll may increase to 10 million within the next 20–30 years.¹ Of these deaths, 70% are likely to occur in developing countries,¹ making tobacco use a global epidemic.^{1–2} Unfortunately, despite the fact that developing countries bear the brunt of this epidemic, most research and treatment efforts address developed nations. Moreover, research tends to focus on tobacco use methods that are prevalent in developed countries (that is, cigarettes, smokeless tobacco) and often does not consider methods common in developing countries, such as the waterpipe. This emphasis was apparent during the recent 12th World Conference on Tobacco or Health (Helsinki, February 2003), where delegates noted the dearth of waterpipe research, despite the fact that as many as 100 million people use waterpipes daily.³

This review's purpose is to describe the waterpipe as a tobacco use method that may be re-emerging as a virulent strain in the tobacco epidemic. The review focuses on research related to waterpipe epidemiology, health effects, and public policy. Past experience teaches that ignoring these aspects of tobacco use products can lead to a "public health disaster" that may have been preventable.^{4–5}

METHODS

Waterpipe: terminology, description, and current popularity

Waterpipe terminology can depend upon region, and includes names such as "shisha", "boory", or "goza" (Egypt, Saudi Arabia;^{6–8}), "narghile", "nargile", or "arghile" (Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria^{9–11}), "hookah" (Africa and Indian subcontinent;^{12–13}), and "hubble bubble" (many regions—for exam-

ple, see Shafagoj and Mohammed¹⁴). Besides terminology, there is also regional variation in shape, size, appearance, and tobacco smoked.^{3–7} Here, the term "waterpipe" is used to refer to tobacco use methods in which smoke passes through water.

Generally, waterpipes consist of head, body, water bowl, and hose (fig 1). The most common type of tobacco used in the waterpipe is called Maassel,^{10–11} which is sweetened and flavoured (for example, apple, mint, cappuccino). Other forms of tobacco may contain less sweeteners/flavours and are called Ajami, Tumbâk or Jurâk.^{6–11–15}

Waterpipe use is centuries old, and its origins are obscure.³ It is used to smoke tobacco in regions of China,^{16–17} India,^{18–20} and Pakistan,^{12–21} and is often associated with the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR^{7–8–10–11–14–22}). However, as recently as 1980, its popularity had been declining.^{14–23} Unfortunately, today in the EMR, boys and girls are using waterpipes, which they view as fashionable.^{9–10–24} Indeed, waterpipes are now commonplace in Arab societies, and many restaurants and cafés in the EMR serve them.^{3–25–28}

Global health organisations are ill equipped to face this potential health hazard, because little is known about patterns of waterpipe use and health effects. Gaining this knowledge may be challenging: instruments specific to waterpipe do not exist, and many of the tools used for cigarette smoking may not apply to this method.¹⁰ A comprehensive and critical literature review regarding waterpipe use thus serves two purposes: it identifies the current knowledge base while highlighting future growth areas that can guide method development, scientific research, and public policy.




Figure 1 Primary waterpipe components. Tobacco is placed in the head and often covered with perforated aluminium foil; burning charcoal is placed on top of the foil. Water half fills the bowl, submerging a tube through which smoke enters, but not the hose-connected tube through which smoke leaves. Thus, an inhalation at one end of the hose produces a vacuum in the air filled space of the water bowl, causing smoke to pass through the water (producing bubbles and the onomatopoeic moniker “hubble bubble”), into the hose-connected tube, and to the smoker. Disposable plastic mouthpieces can be added, to limit the spread of disease. The size of the waterpipe, number of hoses, and other features can differ widely.

Search strategy and selection criteria

To identify published work, we searched *Medline* using these keywords: “waterpipe”, “narghile”, “arghile”, “shisha”, “hookah”, “goza”, “hubble bubble”. We also used variant spellings (for example, “hooka”, “hukkah”, “hukka”). In addition, we used resources compiled with the assistance of GLOBALink, the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. A recent review that focused on Egyptian waterpipe research⁷ was of particular value for its summaries of studies reported in Egyptian journals that are not indexed in Medline and that are otherwise not readily available.

RESULTS

Results yielded a total of 64 published papers, “in press” manuscripts, or abstracts. The regional distribution of this research highlights the global nature of waterpipe use: the majority of work is from the middle east (Egypt 24, Israel 2, Jordan 2, Kuwait 1, Lebanon 10, Saudi Arabia 3, Syria 5, Tunisia 1, Turkey 2, Yemen 1), though contributions also come from Asia (China 1, India 5, and Pakistan 3), Europe (Austria 1, France 1), Australia (1), and North America (USA 1). This work is discussed below, in terms of waterpipe epidemiology, health effects, and public policy.

Epidemiology

Epidemiological research methods are a valuable tool in understanding current trends in the global tobacco use epidemic. For a developing issue, such as waterpipe use, they can help establish incidence and prevalence rates, identify changes in prevalence over time, and reveal how beliefs and attitudes influence waterpipe use patterns. Few published studies address these topics with respect to waterpipe use.

Incidence and prevalence of waterpipe use

Although data on the spread of waterpipe use are scarce for the EMR, available information paints a worrisome picture. A national survey in Kuwait shows that 57% of men and 69% of women had used waterpipe at least once.²⁹ Waterpipe use is also common in Egypt, where 22% of 6762 men from two rural villages reported current or past use.³⁰ In this study and others,²⁵ most users reported starting waterpipe use after their 19th birthday. However, recent data from the EMR show that substantial numbers of adolescents and young adults are now smoking waterpipes. In Syria, for example, about half of university students report having ever used a waterpipe, and about a quarter of males currently use it.¹⁰ The picture is similar in Lebanon, where, of 1964 Beirut university students, 30.6% of men and 23.4% of women reported current, weekly waterpipe use in 2001.²⁴ Rates of waterpipe use are high among high school age students. Across several EMR countries, about 10–18% of 13–15 year olds use tobacco products other than cigarettes, most likely waterpipe.^{31–32} In a sample of 635 Egyptian children (mean age 15.5 years; 211 girls), 19% reported ever using waterpipe, with a clear influence of sex: 26% of boys and 5% of girls reported waterpipe use.³³ In Israel, 22% of children 12–18 years of age reported using waterpipe at least every weekend.⁹ In this sample of 388, waterpipe use was three times more likely than cigarette smoking, and as common for boys as for girls. Additionally, a study of Arab American adolescents found that 26.6% of those sampled use waterpipe, emphasising the global nature of this method, at least among Arabs.³⁴

Changes in prevalence of waterpipe use over time

Understanding changes in waterpipe use over time is challenging, because surveys conducted before 1990 rarely addressed this tobacco use method. To our knowledge, this issue has been examined empirically in only one population: Lebanese university students. A survey of students from several Beirut universities in 2001 reported 21.1% current waterpipe use,²⁴ while a survey conducted in 2002 at the American University of Beirut reported 28.3% current users.³⁵ A similar increase was observed for individuals reporting that they had ever used waterpipe: 43% of entering students reported ever use in 2002,³⁵ compared to 30% four years previous.³⁶ Thus, among this population, the prevalence of waterpipe use may be increasing quickly.

Another approach to measuring changes over time is to compare the time period of initiation of waterpipe use and cigarette smoking across several birth cohorts. As fig 2 shows, there is a clear age related pattern for cigarette smoking initiation in Aleppo, Syria, with older smokers initiating cigarette use in earlier decades. In contrast, across all age groups, most waterpipe initiation occurred during the 1990s, implicating this decade as the beginning of today’s waterpipe epidemic, at least in this Syrian city. Interestingly, Maassel was introduced in the 1990s, and this sweetened and flavoured tobacco may play a significant role in waterpipe initiation. In addition to flavour, Maassel simplifies the process of waterpipe preparation: there is no need to moisten, shape, and dry the tobacco before use, as with other tobacco forms, such as Ajami. Ajami was once the main tobacco used in waterpipes in Syria, but now accounts for less than 3% of waterpipe tobacco used by students.¹⁰ Thus, like flavoured and pre-packaged smokeless tobacco products in the USA that are recruiting new smokeless tobacco users,³⁷ flavoured and convenient Maassel in the EMR appears to be recruiting new waterpipe users. Clearly this tobacco form is popular: revenues from Maassel sales in Bahrain reached \$12 million in 1996 with a 36% increase in demand over previous years.²⁶ In a survey of 300 Egyptian waterpipe café patrons,⁷ 74% used Maassel.




Figure 2 Period of initiation of waterpipe use (A) and cigarette smoking (B) for different birth cohorts in Aleppo, Syria. These unpublished data come from a cross sectional survey done in 2003 among a random sample of café customers in Aleppo ($n = 268$; 61.1% men; mean (SD) age 30.1 (10.2); age range 18–68 years; response rate 95.3%). Participants were asked about their waterpipe use frequency, cigarette smoking status, current age, age of initiation of waterpipe smoking, and age of initiation of daily cigarette smoking. Asterisk indicates a significant difference between initiation time periods for each birth cohort ($p < 0.05$).

Attitudes and beliefs regarding waterpipe use

Attitudes and beliefs about tobacco use may shape behaviour.^{24 38 39} To date, very few studies have investigated attitudes and beliefs toward waterpipe use, although national and international studies of beliefs and attitudes regarding cigarette smoking abound.^{39–42} Perceived health effects may be of particular interest, as the experience in developed countries like the USA and Canada has been that tobacco products that are identified as “less risky” dominate the market.⁴ Anecdotal reports suggest that waterpipe use is perceived to have fewer health risks than cigarette smoking,⁴³ and these reports may help to drive its current popularity. Regrettably, few empirical surveys have addressed this issue. In one, 90% of Israeli schoolchildren endorsed the notion that waterpipe use is not healthy, though 50% agreed that it was less harmful than cigarettes.⁹ Among university students in Syria,⁴⁴ 30% of those sampled thought waterpipes were less harmful than cigarettes, while, in Egypt, 21% of 206 adult male waterpipe users reported that they preferred waterpipe use over cigarette smoking because it was less harmful.²⁵ However, 81–92% of these Egyptian users reported that they knew that waterpipe use was associated with lung cancer, asthma, heart disease, and infection transmission.²⁵ These results highlight the uncertainty regarding the relation between perceived health effects and waterpipe use.

In some societies, gender may play an important role in maintaining low rates of women’s cigarette smoking, but may not have the same magnitude of effect on waterpipe use.^{24 45} One recent study in Syria examined perceptions for waterpipe use and cigarette smoking by sex of the respondent and sex of the user/smoker.²⁷ Waterpipe use was generally more positively perceived than cigarette smoking, especially for women. Waterpipe users, especially women, were particularly enthusiastic about waterpipe’s positive aspects, including that it looks traditional, familiar, social, and attractive. Overall, waterpipe smoking may be becoming a behavioural norm in the EMR, especially for women^{24 27 46} and girls.⁹ This notion is supported by data from 13–15 year olds in the EMR: on average, the ratio of boys to girls reporting past 30 day cigarette smoking was 4.3:1.0, while the same ratio for past 30 day use of other tobacco products (most likely waterpipe) was 1.9:1.0.¹

Health effects

Some of the health effects of waterpipe smoking may be predictable based on the components of waterpipe smoke. In addition, some research has examined directly the short and long term health effects to the user, as well as the impact of waterpipe use on a developing fetus and on non-smokers.

Components of smoke

Tobacco cigarette smokers are exposed to hundreds of potentially dangerous smoke constituents, including carbon monoxide (CO),⁴⁷ carcinogens like tobacco specific nitrosamines,⁴⁸ and the dependence producing drug, nicotine.⁴⁹ This exposure leads to a variety of adverse health effects, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and addiction.⁴⁹ Waterpipe users may also be exposed to these smoke constituents, though little research addresses this issue.

The amount of CO in waterpipe smoke may depend upon waterpipe size, variety of tobacco, and charcoal type: relative to a cigarette (0.41% CO by volume) some combinations produced smoke with substantially greater CO levels (small waterpipe 1.36–1.40%) though others produced smoke with similar CO levels (large waterpipe 0.38–0.41%).⁵⁰ Overall, the data support the contention that, at best, the CO yield of waterpipe smoke is similar to that of cigarettes.⁵⁰ With regard to other constituents, waterpipe smoke produced by a machine contains significant amounts of dangerous constituents, including nicotine and heavy metals such as arsenic, cobalt, chromium, and lead.^{11 51 52} Under normal waterpipe use conditions, the smoke produced from a single waterpipe use contains approximately the same amount of nicotine-free, dry particulate matter (“tar”) as 20 cigarettes.¹¹ However, the tar produced by a waterpipe may differ from that produced by a cigarette,⁵³ because tobacco in a waterpipe is heated to about half the temperature (that is, 450°C) of tobacco in a cigarette. Temperature may be positively related to tar related tumorigenicity and mutagenicity.^{11 54} Of course, studies involving machine produced smoke may not predict constituent delivery to the smoker,⁴ thus research examining actual exposure to these constituents is an essential complement to this work.

Short term health effects

Smoke constituent delivery can be determined by examining smokers under controlled conditions, where CO and nicotine delivery, as well as cardiovascular and other effects can be assessed.⁵⁵ Initial work reveals that, after 45 minutes of waterpipe use, expired air CO, plasma nicotine, and heart rate are significantly elevated.^{14 56} Cross study comparisons suggest that, relative to a single cigarette, 45 minutes of waterpipe use approximately doubles CO and triples nicotine exposure, with near equivalent effects on cardiovascular




Figure 3 Effects of waterpipe use (light bars) and cigarette smoking (dark bars) on expired air carbon monoxide (CO, parts/million), plasma nicotine (ng/ml), and heart rate (beats/minute). For waterpipe, data are from 18 (CO and heart rate¹⁴) or 14 (plasma nicotine⁵⁶) men from Jordan who were frequent waterpipe users and who abstained from smoking before their participation in a study in which they used a waterpipe ad libitum over a 45 minute period. Difference scores for CO, heart rate, and nicotine were calculated from data before and after the 45 minute smoking period. For cigarette, data are from 20 men (n = 10) and women from the USA who were daily cigarette smokers and who abstained from smoking before their participation in a study in which they completed four, 8-puff smoking bouts from one of their own brand of cigarettes over a 2.5 hour period (that is, one 8-puff bout every 30 minutes⁵⁵). Difference scores for CO were calculated from data before and after the first bout, and for heart rate were calculated before and during the first bout. For nicotine, difference scores were calculated based on blood drawn before the session began and after it ended (that is, after the final puff of the fourth smoking bout).

response (fig 3; acute tolerance may mediate cardiovascular effects over 45 minutes of use⁵⁷). Of course, caution must be used when comparing the effects of waterpipes and cigarettes, because the average exposure time for a single waterpipe use (~50 minutes¹¹) differs from that for a single cigarette (~5 minutes⁵⁸). Nonetheless, with respect to CO, this cross study comparison is consistent with results of a field study in which blood samples from cigarette smokers (n = 601) and waterpipe users (n = 975) were analysed for carboxyhaemoglobin (COHb): relative to smokers' average (SD) COHb concentration (6.47 (2.73)%), waterpipe users' were significantly higher (10.06 (2.50)%; $p < 0.001$).^{6 59} As might be expected, the number of waterpipe uses/day was highly correlated ($r = 0.84$, $p < 0.001$) with COHb.⁶ Similar fieldwork suggests that waterpipe users are also exposed to some heavy metals (for example, cadmium).¹⁸ Clearly waterpipe users' exposure to toxic smoke constituents has not yet been determined definitively, and may require an assessment of the effects of varying sized waterpipes, tobacco types, and smoking parameters, as well as within subject comparison with cigarettes. Current data^{14 53 56 60} do not support the notion that waterpipe use is benign with respect to CO and nicotine exposure, and/or cardiovascular effects.

Nicotine dependence may also result from repeated inhalation of tobacco smoke from a waterpipe. Nicotine dependence is common among cigarette smokers,⁴⁹ and likely underlies their continued tobacco use despite health risks, financial costs, and repeated attempts to quit. Relative to cigarette smokers, waterpipe users may receive equal or higher doses of this psychomotor stimulant (fig 3).^{56 61} thus they, too, are at risk for physical dependence. In cigarette smokers, nicotine's dependence producing effects interact with learned behavioural and social components to exacerbate nicotine withdrawal and make quitting smoking very difficult.⁴⁹ Until recently, no studies have characterised a waterpipe with-

drawal syndrome, though some of the hallmarks of dependence are apparent: continued use despite potential health risks, financial cost, and reported inability/difficulty quitting.⁶² Preliminary results suggest that one marker of waterpipe dependence may be the transition from smoking as primarily a social phenomenon (that is, while relaxing with family or friends, often in restaurants or cafes) to a more solitary experience (that is, alone, at home).⁶²

Aside from the direct effect of smoke constituents, the social dimension associated with waterpipe use may help spread infectious agents because, in many cultures, sharing a waterpipe is a common custom. For example, in Aleppo, Syria, the majority of waterpipe smokers among university students share the same waterpipe with their friends,¹⁰ in Beirut, Lebanon, 89.8% share the waterpipe,³⁵ while, in Israel, 100% of children who use waterpipe reported that they pass the mouthpiece from mouth-to-mouth.⁹ In India, not offering to share a waterpipe with a guest is an insult.⁶³ This practice can spread tuberculosis^{53 64} and viruses (herpes, hepatitis), particularly given that the temperature of smoke coming out of the waterpipe mouthpiece is likely similar to that of the ambient air.¹¹ A report of a cluster of tuberculosis cases associated with waterpipe sharing demonstrates the risk,^{53 65} and the recent development and current use of disposable mouthpieces may help to reduce it.

Long term health effects

There is preliminary evidence linking waterpipe use to disease, though this research is made difficult by the fact that many waterpipe users also smoke cigarettes^{24 27 66} and/or engage in other behaviours that may increase cancer risk.⁶⁷ Waterpipe use likely increases the risk of bronchogenic carcinoma,⁶⁸ as well as lung,^{16 20 69} oral,⁸ and bladder^{21 70} cancers. The notion that waterpipe use is associated with cancer is not surprising, given that, like cigarette tobacco, the tobacco used in waterpipes contains tobacco specific nitrosamines,⁷¹ and that waterpipe extract produces degeneration and hyperkeratosis in rat mucosa.⁷² Moreover, in a study comparing 35 healthy waterpipe users with 35 healthy, non-exposed controls, waterpipe use was associated with a significant increase in frequency of chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges.⁶³ While the sample size was low, there were obvious trends toward a dose dependent relation on these outcome measures when the data were analysed based upon years of smoking and amount of tobacco smoked each day.⁶³ Also, the frequency of satellite associations and the mitotic index was significantly higher in waterpipe users, relative to controls.⁶³

Waterpipe use is associated with markers of atherosclerosis⁷³ and with coronary heart disease,⁷⁴ and several studies have examined its pulmonary effects.^{19 53 64 75-79} In one, elevated levels of free radicals were found in peripheral blood neutrophils of waterpipe smokers.¹⁹ These free radicals are known to mediate lung tissue injury.⁸⁰ In addition, two studies assessed pulmonary function of waterpipe smokers compared to cigarette and non-smokers. Although the magnitude of effect of waterpipe use on lung function differed in the two studies, they both demonstrated worse mean forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second of waterpipe users compared to non-users.^{74 75} Additionally, airflow at 25-75% vital capacity was lower in waterpipe users relative to cigarette smokers,⁷⁸ and peak flow rate was less than 200 l/min in 37% of waterpipe users, but only 3.8% of smokers,⁵³ perhaps due to waterpipe induced changes in lung biochemistry, as indexed by differences in bronchoalveolar lavage fluids.^{52 81 82} Also, in a laboratory study of seven waterpipe using men, daily use was associated with increased plasma concentration of 8-epi-PGF2a, a

marker for in vivo oxidation injury that is also elevated in cigarette smokers.³

As well as risking cancer, decreased pulmonary function, and cardiovascular disease, waterpipe users may also be vulnerable to other ailments. Separate case study reports suggest that waterpipe use can lead to eczema of the hand⁸³ and to aspergillosis when immunocompromised patients use contaminated tobacco⁸⁴; higher rates of tuberculosis in waterpipe users relative to smokers have been reported.⁵³ Also, relative to non-smokers, tobacco users are at increased risk for “dry socket” following tooth extraction (postextraction alveolitis) and this risk may be heightened further for waterpipe users.⁸⁵ Overall, the extant data are consistent with a link between waterpipe use and addiction, disease, and death.⁸⁶ Nonetheless, these preliminary studies generally involved small samples and other limitations, and more work is required to establish these links definitively.

Impact of fetal exposure

CO exposure during pregnancy can harm the fetus, and is thought to underlie the low birthweight and low Apgar scores observed in neonates born to smoking mothers (that is, fetal tobacco syndrome).⁸⁷ Clearly, fetal tobacco syndrome is a risk for babies born to women who use waterpipes during their pregnancy—these women face increased risk of having babies with low birth weight, low Apgar scores, and respiratory distress.⁸⁸ In Beirut and its suburbs, a quarter of the 576 pregnant women who were sampled reported that they smoked waterpipe during their pregnancies.⁴⁶

Impact of environmental tobacco smoke exposure on non-waterpipe users

Children are vulnerable to the harmful effects of cigarette related environmental tobacco smoke (ETS).⁸⁹ Because children spend a majority of their time in the home, they may also be at risk for exposure to waterpipe ETS in homes where waterpipes are used. In a survey of waterpipe use patterns in Aleppo, Syria, 19% of waterpipe users reported primarily home use, and nearly half (48.4%) of heavy waterpipe users (those who smoked at least once per day) did so mainly in the home.⁶² Children’s exposure to ETS from waterpipe users is also high in Lebanon, where it has been linked to increased frequency of respiratory disease.⁹⁰ Of course, the health effects of ETS exposure from waterpipe on children have not yet been evaluated comprehensively, but they are likely to include many of those that result from exposure to cigarette smoke, including increased risk of ear and upper respiratory infection, asthma, and sudden infant death syndrome.⁹¹ The higher content of heavy metals in waterpipe smoke compared to cigarettes¹¹ may also have adverse health effects on exposed non-smokers. Because many waterpipe users also smoke cigarettes, the potential for an interactive effect of waterpipe and cigarette ETS on non-smokers must be considered.

PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES

Policy change signifies an important milestone in the control of public health threats, and tobacco control policies decrease cigarette smoking in many countries, including some in the EMR.^{92–93} Pro-health policy related to waterpipe use has lagged behind. Policy change (in the direction of tobacco prevention and control) is predicated on the presence of three key processes: documentation, analysis, and prescription.⁹⁴ Documentation includes the gathering of statistical facts and the verification of statistical correlations, while analysis involves using documentation to gain an in-depth understanding of the behaviour in question. As demonstrated here, documentation of the increasing prevalence and health damaging effects of waterpipe use is progressing steadily,

but more documentation and analytic research is needed. Nonetheless, given growing evidence of the harmful effects of waterpipe use, along with strong evidence of the adverse health consequences of other forms of tobacco such as cigarettes, cigars, and smokeless tobacco, waterpipe use should be considered dangerous unless proven otherwise. For many years the tobacco industry argued that evidence linking tobacco use to health damage was “inconsistent” and “incomplete”. This deceptive tactic too often delayed implementation of effective tobacco control policy. Policymakers should not fall into this same trap regarding waterpipes.

Careful documentation and thorough analysis lay the groundwork for prescription, resulting in a strategic model to implement pro-health policy changes.⁹⁴ The prescriptive process may be the most important policymaking role that science plays, and is challenged if documentation or analysis are inadequate. For example, policymakers can complicate the process by eschewing documentation and analysis in favour of a preconceived notion of the “prescription”. In Egypt, some Islamic leaders have forbidden smoking on religious grounds, with the result that many current waterpipe users and cigarette smokers consider their behaviour sinful, though this idea has not altered behaviour appreciably.^{95–96} Some policy makers in Egypt,⁹⁷ Iran,⁹⁸ Oman,⁹⁹ and Thailand¹⁰⁰ have proposed bans on waterpipe usage, without consideration of the cultural implications of this action. Prescriptions may be most effective, and receive the greatest support, when they are based on careful documentation and thorough analysis.

Clearly, any waterpipe use policy must be based on sound scientific research. Data on use patterns, attitudes and perceptions, and health risks associated with waterpipe use and ETS exposure are especially crucial. Subsequently, interventions for the prevention and control of waterpipe use must be tested. With this knowledge and analysis, researchers can recommend a strategic model for change. This model will likely benefit from strategies that have reduced the adverse health effects of cigarettes: marketing restrictions, warning labels, minimisation of children’s access, and support of health education programmes and cessation efforts.^{101–102} Indeed, the relatively limited advertising and promotion of waterpipe tobacco observed currently suggests an immediate opportunity to address waterpipe use proactively, by preventing initiation of these marketing approaches.

CONCLUSIONS

This review makes clear that there is a lack of knowledge and policy concerning waterpipe use. We were unable to find a single publication addressing treatment methods to help waterpipe users quit. Because waterpipe use is a global issue and potential global health threat, the concerted efforts of different research, public health, policy, and political institutions will be necessary to fill the knowledge, policy, and treatment gaps. Thankfully, some infrastructure is being put in place, and research efforts have begun (for example, the Fogarty International Center-funded Syrian Center for Tobacco Studies and Egyptian Smoking Prevention Research Institute,¹⁰³ as well as the Research for International Tobacco Control-funded Tobacco Prevention and Control Research Group at the American University of Beirut, Lebanon). These efforts will need to be complemented with global efforts to:

- address similarities and differences among the different types of waterpipes and waterpipe tobacco
- explore issues related to social, health, and dependence aspects of waterpipe use, with a focus on guiding

What this paper adds

The global tobacco epidemic may kill 10 million people annually in the next 20–30 years, with 70% of these deaths occurring in developing countries. Current research, treatment, and policy efforts focus on cigarettes, while many people in developing regions (Asia, Indian subcontinent, Eastern Mediterranean) smoke tobacco using waterpipes. Waterpipes are increasing in popularity, and more must be learned about them so that we can understand their effects on public health, curtail their spread, and help their users quit.

This integrative review paper suggests that perceptions regarding health effects and traditional values may facilitate waterpipe use among women and children. Waterpipe smoke contains harmful constituents and there is preliminary evidence linking waterpipe smoking to a variety of life threatening conditions, including cancer, pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, and pregnancy related complications. More scientific documentation and careful analysis is required before the spread of waterpipe use and its health effects can be understood, and the necessary empirically guided treatment and public policy strategies can be implemented.

interventions that prevent new users and help current users quit

- implement policies and regulations that help curtail this form of tobacco use worldwide (for example, sale to minors, smoking restriction, taxation)
- develop evidence based counter-advertising about the health and economic hazards of this form of smoking, especially for women and younger children.

There is a growing recognition, with a minimal research base, that tobacco smoking using a waterpipe is increasingly common and potentially lethal. Awareness of the health threat associated with waterpipe use may be early enough that a comprehensive and coordinated global research programme, implemented now, may help guide the policy and treatment efforts that prevent a new wave of tobacco associated addiction, disease, and death.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by US Public Health Service grants R01 TW005962, R21 TW006545, R01 CA103827, and R01 DA011082 and a grant provided by Research for International Tobacco Control.

Authors' affiliations

W Maziak*, **K D Ward†**, **T Eissenberg‡**, Syrian Center for Tobacco Studies, Aleppo, Syria

R A Afifi Soweid, Department of Health Behavior and Education, Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

*Also Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Muenster, Germany

†Also Department of Health and Sport Sciences, and Center for Community Health, University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

‡ Also Department of Psychology and Institute for Drug and Alcohol Studies, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, USA

REFERENCES

- 1 **Global Youth Tobacco Survey Collaborating Group**. Differences in worldwide tobacco use by gender: findings from the global youth tobacco survey. *J Sch Health* 2003;**73**:207–15.
- 2 **Lopez AD**. Epidemiologic surveillance of the tobacco epidemic. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1992;**41**(suppl):157–66.
- 3 **Wolfram RM**, Chehne F, Oguogho A, et al. Narghile (water pipe) smoking influences platelet function and (iso-) eicosanoids. *Life Sci* 2003;**74**:47–53.

- 4 **Stratton K**, Shetty P, Wallace R, et al. *Clearing the smoke: the science base for tobacco harm reduction*, Committee to assess the science base for tobacco harm reduction, Board on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, 2001.
- 5 **Kozlowski LT**, Strasser AA, Giovino GA, et al. Applying the risk/use equilibrium: use medicinal nicotine now for harm reduction. *Tobacco Control* 2001;**10**:201–9.
- 6 **Zahran FM**, Ardawi MSM, Al-Fayez SF. Carboxyhaemoglobin concentrations in smokers of sheesha and cigarettes in Saudi Arabia. *BMJ* 1985;**291**:1768–70.
- 7 **Radwan GN**, Mohamed MK, El-Setouhy M, et al. Review on water pipe smoking. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1051–71.
- 8 **El-Hakim IE**, Uthman MAE. Squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma of the lower lip associated with "Goza" and "Shisha" smoking. *Int J Dermatol* 1999;**38**:108–10.
- 9 **Varsano S**, Ganz I, Eldor N, et al. Water-pipe tobacco smoking among school children in Israel: frequencies, habits, and attitudes. *Harefuah* 2003;**142**:736–41.
- 10 **Maziak W**, Fouad M F, Hammal F, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of narghile smoking among university students in Syria. *Int J Tub & Lung Dis* 2004;**8**:882–9.
- 11 **Shihadeh A**. Investigation of mainstream smoke aerosol of the argileh water pipe. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2003;**41**:143–52.
- 12 **Jaleel MA**, Noreen R, Hameed A, et al. An epidemiological study of smoking at Abbottabad. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2001;**13**:33–5.
- 13 **Taha A**, Ball K. Smoking in Africa: the coming epidemic. *World Smoking Health* 1982;**7**:25–30.
- 14 **Shafagoj YA**, Mohammed FI. Levels of maximum end-expiratory carbon monoxide and certain cardiovascular parameters following hubble-bubble smoking. *Saudi Med J* 2002;**23**:953–8.
- 15 **Morsy MA**, Khaled MM. Direct electron paramagnetic resonance study of tobacco. 1. Manganese(II) as a marker. *J Agric Food Chem* 2001;**49**:683–6.
- 16 **Lubin JH**, Qiao YL, Taylor PR, et al. Quantitative evaluation of the radon and lung cancer association in a case control study of Chinese tin miners. *Cancer Res* 1990;**50**:174–80.
- 17 **Lubin JH**, Li JY, Xuan XZ, et al. Risk of lung cancer among cigarette and pipe smokers in southern China. *Int J Cancer* 1992;**51**:390–5.
- 18 **Sukumar A**, Subramanian R. Elements in hair and nails of residents from a village adjacent to New Delhi. Influence of place of occupation and smoking habits. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 1992;**34**:99–105.
- 19 **Sharma RN**, Deva C, Behera D, et al. Reactive oxygen species formation in peripheral blood neutrophils in different types of smokers. *Indian J Med Res* 1997;**106**:475–80.
- 20 **Gupta D**, Boffetta P, Gaborieau V, et al. Risk factors of lung cancer in Chandigarh, India. *Indian J Med Res* 2001;**113**:142–50.
- 21 **Roohullah, Nusrat J, Hamdani SR, et al**. Cancer urinary bladder – 5 year experience at Cenar, Quetta. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2001;**13**:14–6.
- 22 **Jabbour S**. Water-pipe (Hubble-Bubble) smoking: a research update and call to action. Helsinki: 12th World Conference on Tobacco or Health, 2003:287.
- 23 **Al-Ghaitani J**. *Al-Arabi* 1980;**258**:96–9.
- 24 **Tamim H**, Terro A, Kassem H, et al. Tobacco use by university students, Lebanon, 2001. *Addiction* 2003;**98**:933–9.
- 25 **Israel E**, El-Setouhy M, Gadalla S, et al. Water pipe (Sisha [sic]) smoking in cafés in Egypt. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1073–85.
- 26 **Kandela P**. Signs of trouble for hubble-bubble. *Lancet* 1997;**349**:9063.
- 27 **Maziak W**, Rastam S, Eissenberg T, et al. Gender and smoking status-based analysis of views regarding waterpipe and cigarette smoking in Aleppo, Syria. *Prev Med* 2004;**38**:479–484.
- 28 **Maziak W**, Eissenberg T, Klesges RC, et al. Adapting smoking cessation interventions for developing countries: a model for the Middle East. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2004;**8**:403–413.
- 29 **Memon A**, Moody PM, Sugathan TN, et al. Epidemiology of smoking among Kuwaiti adults: prevalence, characteristics, and attitudes. *Bull World Health Org* 2000;**78**:1306–15.
- 30 **Mohamed MK**, Gadalla S, Kato E, et al. Water-pipe (Goza) smoking among males in rural Egypt. New Orleans, Louisiana: Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco, 2003.
- 31 **Global Youth Tobacco Survey Collaborative Group**. Tobacco use among youth: a cross-country comparison. *Tobacco Control* 2002;**11**:252–70.
- 32 **Fikri M**, Abi Saab BH. Global Youth Tobacco Survey: United Arab Emirates Report 2002. The Central Health Education Department, Preventive Medicine Sector, Ministry of Health, Abu Dhabi, U.A.R. <http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/global/gyts/reports/uae.pdf> [Accessed 17 Dec 2003], [GYTS].
- 33 **Gadall S**, Aboul-Fotouh A, El-Setouhy M, et al. Prevalence of smoking among rural secondary school students in Qalyobia Governorate. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1031–50.
- 34 **Hill-Rice V**, Weglicki L, Kulwicki A, et al. Arab American adolescent tobacco use [abstract]. New Orleans, Louisiana: Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco, 2003.
- 35 **Chaaya M**, El Roueiheb Z, Chemaitelly H, et al. Argileh smoking among university students: a new tobacco epidemic. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2004;**6**:457–63.
- 36 **Shediak-Rizkallah M**, Afifi-Soweid R, Farhat TM, et al. Adolescent health-related behaviors in postwar Lebanon: findings among students at the American University of Beirut. *Int Q Community Health Educ* 2001;**20**:115–31.
- 37 **Kessler DA**, Natanblut SL, Wilkenfeld JP, et al. Nicotine addiction: a pediatric disease. *J Pediatr* 1997;**130**:518–24.
- 38 **Fishbein M**, Triandis HC, Kanfer FH, et al. Factors influencing behavior and behavior Change. In: Baum A, Revenson TA, Singer, JE, eds. *Handbook of health psychology*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2001:3–17.

- 39 **Stepoe A**, Wardle J, Cui W, *et al.* An international comparison of tobacco smoking, beliefs and risk awareness in university students from 23 countries. *Addiction* 2002;**97**:1561–71.
- 40 **Richmond RL**, Kehoe L. Smoking behavior and attitudes among Australian medical students. *Med Educ* 1997;**31**:169–76.
- 41 **Rigotti NA**, Lee JE, Wechsler H. US College students' use of tobacco products: results of a national survey. *JAMA* 2000;**284**:699–705.
- 42 **Fagerstrom K**, Boyle P, Kunze M, *et al.* The anti-smoking climate in EU countries and Poland. *Lung Cancer* 2001;**32**:1–5.
- 43 **Kandela P**. Nargile smoking keeps Arabs in wonderland. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1175.
- 44 **Maziak W**, Eissenberg T, Rastam S, *et al.* Beliefs and attitudes related to narghile (waterpipe) smoking among university students in Syria. *Ann Epidemiol* 2004;**14**:646–54.
- 45 **Fakhfakh R**, Hsairi M, Maalej M, *et al.* Tobacco use in Tunisia: behaviour and awareness. *Bull World Health Org* 2002;**80**:350–6.
- 46 **Chaaya M**, Awwad J, Campbell OM, *et al.* Demographic and psychosocial profile of smoking among pregnant women in Lebanon: public health implications. *Matern Child Health J* 2003;**7**:179–86.
- 47 **Lakier JB**. Smoking and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Med* 1992;**93**(suppl 1):8–12.
- 48 **Hecht S**. Tobacco smoke carcinogens and lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;**91**:1194–210.
- 49 **US Department of Health and Human Services**. *The health consequences of smoking: nicotine addiction, A report of the Surgeon General, 1988*. Rockville, Maryland: Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Office on Smoking and Health, 1988, (DHHS Publication No (CDC) 88-8406).
- 50 **Sajid KM**, Akhter M, Malik GQ. Carbon monoxide fractions in cigarette and hookah (hubble-bubble) smoke. *J Pak Med Assoc* 1993;**43**:179–82.
- 51 **Galal A**, Youssef A, Salem ES. Nicotine levels in relation to pulmonary manifestations of Goza and cigarette smoking. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1973;**16**:5 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 52 **Salem ES**, Mesrega SM, Shallouf MA, *et al.* Determination of lead levels in cigarette and "Goza" smoking components with a special reference to its blood values in human smokers. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1990;**37**:2.
- 53 **Salem ES**, Sami A. Studies on pulmonary manifestations of goza smokers. *Chest* 1974;**65**:599.
- 54 **White JL**, Conner BT, Perfetti TA, *et al.* Effect of pyrolysis temperature on the mutagenicity of tobacco smoke condensate. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2001;**39**:499–505.
- 55 **Breland AB**, Buchhalter AR, Evans SE, *et al.* Evaluating acute effects of potential reduced exposure products for smokers: clinical laboratory methodology. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2002;**4**(suppl 2):S131–40.
- 56 **Shafagaj YA**, Mohammed FI, Hadidi KA. Hubble-bubble (water pipe) smoking: levels of nicotine and cotinine in plasma, saliva and urine. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2002;**40**:249–55.
- 57 **Gire JT**, Eissenberg T. Placebo control study of acute smokeless tobacco abstinence in young adult men. *Psych Addict Behav* 2000;**14**:356–66.
- 58 **Eissenberg T**, Adams C, Riggins ECR III, *et al.* Smokers' sex and the effects of tobacco cigarettes: subject-rated and physiological measures. *Nicotine Tob Res* 1999;**1**:317–24.
- 59 **Zahran F**, Youssef AA, Baig MHA. A study of carboxyhaemoglobin levels in cigarette and sheesha smokers in Saudi Arabia. *Am J Public Health* 1982;**72**:722–4.
- 60 **Abou-Shamaa MN**, Authman HA, Kamel MR. Some chemical and biological effects of cigarette and hubble bubble smoking. *Bull Alexandria Fac Med* 1990;**26**:175–85 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 61 **Macaron C**, Macaron Z, Maalouf MT, *et al.* Urinary cotinine in narghila or chicha tobacco smokers. *J Med Liban* 1997;**45**:19–20.
- 62 **Maziak W**, Eissenberg TE, Ward KD. Factors related to level of narghile use: the first insights on tobacco dependence in narghile users. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2004;**76**:101–6.
- 63 **Yadav JS**, Thakur S. Genetic risk assessment in hookah smokers. *Cytobios* 2000;**101**:101–13.
- 64 **Salem ES**, Abdel Hakim M, Hanafi A. "Goza" versus cigarette smoking among patients with pulmonary disease. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1973;**16**:9 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 65 **Munckhof WJ**, Konstantinos A, Wamsley M, *et al.* A cluster of tuberculosis associated with use of a marijuana water pipe. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2003;**7**:860–5.
- 66 **Gad RR**, El-Setouhy M, Haroun A, *et al.* Nicotine dependence among adult male smokers in rural Egypt. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1019–30.
- 67 **Gunaid AA**, Sumairi AA, Shidrawi RG, *et al.* Oesophageal and gastric carcinoma in the Republic of Yemen. *Br J Cancer* 1995;**71**:409–10.
- 68 **Nafae A**, Misra SP, Dhar SN, *et al.* Bronchogenic carcinoma in Kashmir Valley. *Indian J Chest Dis* 1973;**15**:285–95.
- 69 **Rakower J**, Fatal B. Study of narghile smoking in relation to cancer of the lung. *Br J Cancer* 1962;**16**:1–6.
- 70 **Bedwani R**, el-Khwsky F, Renganathan E, *et al.* Epidemiology of bladder cancer in Alexandria, Egypt: tobacco smoking. *Int J Cancer* 1997;**73**:64–7.
- 71 **Gupta PC**, Murti PR, Bhonsle RB. Epidemiology of cancer by tobacco products and the significance of TSNA. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 1996;**26**:183–98.
- 72 **Abbas E**, Abou-Azma N, Bulus S. Effect of Goza smoking on rat's oral mucosa. *Egyptian J Histol* 1987;**10**:156 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 73 **Ashmawi M**. Some predictive markers of arteriosclerosis among smokers. *Ain Shams Med J* 1993;**44**:633–9 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 74 **Jabbour S**, El-Roueiheb, Z, Sibai AM. Narghile (water-pipe) smoking and incident coronary heart disease: a case-control study [abstract]. *Ann Epidemiol* 2003;**13**:570.
- 75 **Al-Fayez SF**, Salleh M, Ardawi M, *et al.* Effects of sheesha and cigarette smoking on pulmonary function of Saudi males and females. *Trop Geogr Med* 1988;**40**:115–23.
- 76 **Kiter G**, Ucan ES, Ceylan E, *et al.* Water-pipe smoking and pulmonary functions. *Respir Med* 2000;**94**:891–4.
- 77 **Salem ES**, Abdel-Latif A. Physical and physiologic studies [sic] the etiogenesis of chronic airway of chronic air way [sic] obstruction in Goza smokers. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1974;**17**:7 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 78 **El-Zahaby MM**, Salem ES, Galeh H, *et al.* Studies on the effect of Goza smoking sublimates on the tonicity of the bronchial tree. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1980;**23**:23 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 79 **Salem ES**, El-Soroughi MK, Sharaf El Din M, *et al.* Small airways function among "Goza" versus cigarette smokers. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1986;**32** (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 80 **MacNee W**, Donaldson K. Mechanism of lung injury caused by PM10 and ultrafine particles with special reference to COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2003;**40**:47s–51s.
- 81 **Abdel Hakim A**, Gemei S, Salem ES. Study of bronchoalveolar lavage cellularity among non smokers and cigarette and Goza smokers. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1985;**29**:32 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 82 **Hakim A**, Salem E, Abbas H, *et al.* Effect of smoking on alpha one trypsin in serum and bronchoalveolar fluid. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tubercul* 1987;**33**:23 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 83 **Onder M**, Oztas M, Arnavut O. Nargile (Hubble-Bubble) smoking-induced hand eczema. *Int J Dermatol* 2002;**41**:771–2.
- 84 **Szyper-Kravitz M**, Lang R, Manor Y, *et al.* Early invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in a leukemia patient linked to aspergillus contaminated marijuana smoking. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2001;**42**:1433–7.
- 85 **Al-Belasy FA**. The relationship of "shisha" (water pipe) smoking to postextraction dry socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;**62**:10–4.
- 86 **Mazen A**, Aurabia S. The effect of Maassel water-pipe smoking versus cigarette smoking on pulmonary arterial pressure and left ventricular and right ventricular function indices in COPD patients: an echodoppler. *Sci J Al Azhar Med Fac (Girls)* 2002;**649**–86 (as cited in Israel *et al.*, 2003).
- 87 **Nieburg P**, Marks JS, McLaren NM, *et al.* The fetal tobacco syndrome. *JAMA* 1985;**253**:2998–9.
- 88 **Nuwayhid IA**, Yamout B, Azar G, *et al.* Narghile (hubble-bubble) smoking, low birth weight, and other pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;**148**:375–83.
- 89 **Ashley MJ**, Ferrence R. Reducing children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in homes: issues and strategies. *Tobacco Control* 1998;**7**:61–5.
- 90 **Tamim H**, Musharrafieh U, El Roueiheb Z, *et al.* Exposure of children to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) and its association with respiratory ailments. *J Asthma* 2003;**40**:571–6.
- 91 **DiFranza JR**, Lew RA. Morbidity and mortality in children associated with the use of tobacco products by other people. *Pediatrics* 1996;**97**:560–8.
- 92 **The World Bank**. *Curbing the epidemic: governments and the economics of tobacco control*, Washington DC, 1999.
- 93 **DeBeyer J**, Brigden LW. *Tobacco control policy: strategies, successes, & setbacks*. Washington DC: The World Bank and Research for International Tobacco Control, 2003.
- 94 **Brown LD**. Knowledge and power: health services research as a political tool. In: Ginzberg E, eds. *Health services research: key to health policy*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1991:21–45.
- 95 **Radwan GN**, Israel E, El-Setouhy M, *et al.* Impact of religious rulings (Fatwa) on smoking. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1087–101.
- 96 **Israel E**, El-Setouhy M, Mohamed MK. Smoking prevention and treatment in Egypt. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1111–20.
- 97 **Reuters**. 2002. October 14. Egyptian pipe ban targets truant workers.
- 98 **Agence France Presse**. 2002. August 8. Iran bans women, youth from smoking water-pipe.
- 99 **Agence France Presse**. 2001. November 25. Hullabaloo over hubbly bubbly.
- 100 **Business Day**. 2003. July 16. Thailand to ban fashionable hookahs.
- 101 **Bierer MF**, Rigotti NA. Public policy for the control of tobacco-related disease. *Med Clin North Am* 1992;**76**:515–39.
- 102 **Wewers ME**, Uno M. Clinical interventions and smoking ban methods to reduce infants' and children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2002;**31**:592–8.
- 103 **Israel E**, Loffredo C, El-Setouhy M, *et al.* Egyptian smoking prevention research institute (ESPRI). *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2003;**33**:1009–17.