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ABSTRACT
Background The Tea Party, which gained prominence in the USA in 2009, advocates limited government and low taxes. Tea Party organisations, particularly Americans for Prosperity and FreedomWorks, oppose smoke-free laws and tobacco taxes.
Methods We used the Legacy Tobacco Documents Library, the Wayback Machine, Google, LexisNexis, the Center for Media and Democracy and the Center for Responsive Politics (opensecrets.org) to examine the tobacco companies’ connections to the Tea Party.
Results Starting in the 1980s, tobacco companies worked to create the appearance of broad opposition to tobacco control policies by attempting to create a grassroots smokers’ rights movement. Simultaneously, they funded and worked through third-party groups, such as Citizens for a Sound Economy, the predecessor of AFP and FreedomWorks, to accomplish their economic and political agenda. There has been continuity of some key players, strategies and messages from these groups to Tea Party organisations. As of 2012, the Tea Party was beginning to spread internationally.
Conclusions Rather than being a purely grassroots movement that spontaneously developed in 2009, the Tea Party has developed over time, in part through decades of work by the tobacco industry and other corporate interests. It is important for tobacco control advocates in the USA and internationally, to anticipate and counter Tea Party opposition to tobacco control policies and ensure that policymakers, the media and the public understand the longstanding connection between the tobacco industry, the Tea Party and its associated organisations.

INTRODUCTION
The Tea Party, a loosely organised network of grassroots coalitions at local and state levels, is a complex social and political movement to the right of the traditional Republican Party that promotes less government regulation and lower taxes.1–6 It is often characterised as a grassroots movement that spontaneously arose in 2009.3–5 However, it has also been cited as an example of corporate ‘astroturfing’, as defined as a movement that ‘appears to be grassroots, but is either funded, created or conceived by a corporation or industry trade association, political interest group or public relations firm’.6–8 National organisations funded by corporations, particularly Americans for Prosperity (AFP) and FreedomWorks, played an important role in structuring and supporting the Tea Party in the initial stages.5 They provided training, communications and materials for the earliest Tea Party activities, including the first ‘Tea Party’ on 27 February 2009.1 5 FreedomWorks organised the nationwide Tea Party tax protests in April 2009,10 the town hall protests about the proposed healthcare reform in August 200911 and the Taxpayers’ March on Washington the following September 2009.11 They continued to facilitate and support many of the local chapters and leaders that arose from the early events in 2009.5 AFP and FreedomWorks continued to facilitate local Tea Party activities by co-sponsoring rallies,1 12 13 creating talking points and organisational tips for supporters,14 15 supplying literature for local Tea Party groups16 and providing training sessions.1 3 17 FreedomWorks was a founding partner of the 2010 Contract from America (recalling the Republican Party’s 1994 Contract with America).18

As of 2012, AFP and FreedomWorks were supporting the tobacco companies’ political agenda by mobilising local Tea Party opposition to tobacco taxes and smoke-free laws.19 20 This support for the tobacco companies’ agenda continues the tobacco industry use of AFP and FreedomWorks’ predecessor organisation, Citizens for a Sound Economy (CSE), as a third-party ally since at least 1991 (figure 1). Moreover, starting in the 1980s, major US tobacco companies attempted to manufacture an astroturf citizen ‘smokers’ rights movement’ to oppose local tobacco control policies. These smokers’ rights’ groups had grassroots membership in several localities, but were created, coordinated and funded by the cigarette companies.21

Although the Tea Party is widely considered to have started in 2009,6 this paper presents a historical study of some of the tobacco companies’ early activities and key players in the evolution of the Tea Party. Many people in the smokers’ rights effort or the tobacco companies went on to Tea Party organisations. Moreover, while the Tea Party started in the USA, it is beginning to spread internationally.22–26 In 2012 FreedomWorks expanded the movement internationally, training activists in 30 countries, including Israel, Georgia, Japan, Nigeria and Serbia.22 This international expansion makes it likely that Tea Party organisations will be mounting opposition to tobacco control (and other health) policies as they have done in the USA.

METHODS
We conducted a standard snowball search27 of the Legacy Tobacco Documents Library, an online archive of over 80 million pages of previously secret tobacco industry documents. Initial search terms included: CSE, tobacco tax, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and tobacco (1993–1996), Racketeer Corrupt and Influenced Organisations (RICO), Kessler (1999–2006), Department of Justice (DOJ) (1999–2006) and lawsuit (1999–2006). We
**Figure 1.** Connections between the tobacco industry, third-party allies and the Tea Party, from the 1980’s (top) through 2012 (bottom). The thick black line connects CSE with its direct successor organisations. Online supplementary tables S1 and S2 provide more details on the linkages depicted in this figure.

used the Wayback Machine (archive.org) to access old versions of the CSE, AFP and FreedomWorks’ websites (since 1997) and Google, LexisNexis, the Center for Media and Democracy (sourcewatch.org and PRwatch.org), Center for Responsive Politics (opensecrets.org) and AFP (americansforprosperity.org) and FreedomWorks’ (freedomworks.org) websites’ internal search engines. Internal Revenue Service Form 990s were obtained from 2002 to 2010 using Guidestar and Foundation Finder for CSE, CSE FreedomWorks, FreedomWorks and AFP. Searches were conducted from September 2011 to March 2012. We refer to CSE and Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation as ‘CSE,’ AFP and Americans for Prosperity Foundation as ‘AFP’ and FreedomWorks and FreedomWorks Foundation as ‘FreedomWorks.’

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 provides an overview of the connections the tobacco industry has with organisations and key players in the Tea Party. Online supplementary table S1 provides details of key organisations involved with the tobacco industry and the Tea Party and online supplementary table S2 provides histories of key individuals.

**Historical context for tobacco industry third-party efforts**

The tobacco industry historically worked through ‘third-party’ allies because of its low credibility with the public. By the late 1980s, confronted with increasing success of the local grassroots non-smokers’ rights movement, RJ Reynolds (RJR) and Philip Morris began creating and facilitating ‘smokers’ rights’ groups to oppose smoke-free laws. The smokers’ rights groups were an important component of the tobacco industry’s third-party advocacy efforts in the 1980s and early 1990s. A July 1993 Philip Morris draft plan to create what became the National Smokers Alliance (NSA) described the political environment:

Lobbying efforts are facing increasing difficulty. Even national representatives from tobacco states are losing heart for defending smokers’ rights and sustaining the tobacco industry. The power of the vested interest of the tobacco industry has not been fully brought to bear in sustaining smokers [sic] rights.

As of 2012, key personnel from the smokers’ rights groups had founded or worked at firms that consulted for Tea Party groups (figure 1).

In the 1990s, RJR’s smokers’ rights groups were organised through a network of field coordinators who recruited members, held meetings and provided meeting agendas, letters to editors and elected officials, a telephone script for contacting elected officials and petitions. By the mid-1990s, RJR was using public relations firms Ramhurst and Walt Klein & Associates to help coordinate their smokers’ rights groups. Ramhurst was formed in 1993 with support from RJR and run by former RJR smokers rights group coordinators, James Ellis and Doug Goodyear (past vice president of Walt Klein & Associates in North Carolina, see online supplementary table S2). By 1994 Ramhurst was coordinating RJR’s smokers’ rights groups, providing ‘the field personnel necessary to implement and execute various programmes and activities related to RJR’s national grassroots programme,’ with Walt Klein & Associates providing ‘ancillary services necessary to support the field force.’

Another smokers’ rights group, NSA, was created in 1993 by Philip Morris. Philip Morris worked with its PR firm, Burson-Marsteller to create and plan the implementation of the NSA. They positioned the NSA as independent of the industry, even though Philip Morris conceived the idea and provided almost all the funding (figure 1). NSA leadership was tied heavily to Philip Morris. NSA president Tom Humber (figure 1 and online supplementary table S2) had been a Burson-Marsteller senior vice president where he handled the Philip Morris account and, before that, Brown & Williamson.
director of government affairs. Gary Auxier, who also worked on the Philip Morris account at Burson-Marsteller, became NSA vice president.41 The NSA participated in promoting the ‘Enough is Enough’ campaign led by (Roger) Ailes Communication that advocated the full range of tobacco industry policy positions.42-44

The smokers’ rights groups’ publications disputed the health effects of second-hand smoke, promoted ‘choice’ and individual rights and encouraged smokers to defend their rights and freedoms.45 Some of these appeals made direct reference to the Boston Tea Party. For example, a 1989 issue of Philip Morris Magazine included a section on excise taxes that compared that kind of taxation with the taxes being opposed during the Boston Tea Party.46 In 1993, Massachusetts smokers’ rights groups distributed a mailing entitled ‘Protect your right to smoke!’ that included ‘Tea Party’ language to describe opposition to tobacco taxes: ‘New Englanders don’t like unfair taxes—remember the Boston Tea Party!—and they’re fighting mad over proposals in Washington to raise the federal tax on cigarettes from 24 cents a pack to $1.24 or maybe even $2.24 a pack.’47 The tobacco industry and their allied organisations have been using the ‘Tea Party’ metaphor to oppose taxation since at least the 1980s.

The smokers’ rights groups proved ineffectual at protecting tobacco industry interests, particularly at stopping local smoke-free laws and they were phased out in the late 1990s and early 2000s. In a parallel effort, the industry broadened its reach by funding and collaborating with existing third-party advocacy organisations and institutes under a unified theme of freedom, choice and less government. In 1990, Tim Hyde, RJR director of national field operations, outlined a strategy for RJR to create ‘a movement’ resembling what would later emerge as the Tea Party by

building[ing] broad coalitions around the issue-cluster of freedom, choice and privacy...

...coalition-building should proceed along two tracks: a) a grassroots, organizational and largely local track; b) and a national, intellectual track within the D.C.-New York corridor. Ultimately, we are talking about a “movement,” a national effort to change the way people think about government’s (and big business’) role in our lives. Any such effort requires an intellectual foundation—a set of theoretical and ideological arguments on its behalf.48

Another RJR field coordinator later described the company’s motivation for involving and organising third-party organisations: ‘In about the third year [of the RJR smokers’ rights groups], there was an emphasis on coalition building—anti-tax groups were a natural. You didn’t have to defend your position on tobacco because a tax is a tax is a tax to these guys.’49 In 1992, Auxier, then at Burson-Marsteller, submitted a public relations strategy proposal to the Coalition Against Regressive Taxation,49 an industry effort to fight tobacco and other excise taxes.50 It read, ‘Grounded in the theme of “The New American Tax Revolution” or “The New Boston Tea Party”, the campaign activity should take the form of citizens representing the widest constituency base mobilised with signage and other attention-drawing accoutrements such as lapel buttons, handouts, petitions and even costumes.’49

Citizens for a Sound Economy

CSE, one of the third-party ‘anti-tax’ tobacco industry partners, was a think tank dedicated to free market economics. CSE (which split into AFP and FreedomWorks in 2004) was co-founded in 1984 by David Koch, of Koch Industries, and Richard Fink, former professor of economics at George Mason University, who has worked for Koch Industries since 1990.51 CSE supported the agendas of the tobacco and other industries, including oil, chemical, pharmaceutical and telecommunications, and was funded by them.52 In 2002, before Tea Party politics were widely discussed in the mainstream media, CSE started its US Tea Party (http://www.usteaparty.com) project, the website of which stated ‘our US Tea Party is a national event, hosted continuously online and open to all Americans who feel our taxes are too high and the tax code is too complicated.’53 Between 1991 and 2002 the tobacco companies, mainly Philip Morris, provided CSE with at least US$5.3 million (see online supplementary table S3). Philip Morris gave CSE US$250 000 annually in the early 1990s to start six state chapters.41

Philip Morris (PM) designated CSE a ‘Category A’ public policy organisation for funding.54 ‘Category A’ organisations were ‘the largest and most important/sustained relationships’ that were assigned a ‘PM senior relationship manager’ to put them at the ‘centre of a network of information-sharing among PM people involved with the organisation’ and ‘[assure] systematic and ongoing relationship activities’.54 In response to an internal 1999 email asking whether CSE was worth its current level of funding, Philip Morris’ vice president of federal government affairs replied:

They are adding this level of value. They have provided significant grassroots assistance, in the nature of several thousand calls to the Hill on the lawsuit [likely the federal RICO lawsuit against the major cigarette companies discussed below] direct lobbying on the lawsuit, some media as well as continuing a very useful level of activity on FET [federal excise tax]/prescription drugs [a proposal to expand Medicare and fund prescription drugs with a tobacco tax]. Throughout the August [Congressional] Recess they have been very active on our behalf in the field in key states with key Members.55

During the 1990s, the tobacco industry was facing a multitude of threats. CSE helped the industry oppose these challenges (see online supplementary table S4), including the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) second-hand smoke risk assessment (1992), the Clinton healthcare reform plan which included a tobacco tax (1993–1994), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration’s (OSHA) proposal to regulate workplace smoking (1994–2001), FDA regulation of tobacco products (1994–1996) and the DOJ RICO case against the tobacco industry (filed in 1999), as well as tobacco taxes (throughout the 1990s).

Opposing the EPA report on second-hand smoke

In the early 1990s, the tobacco companies made a major effort to block the EPA risk assessment that designated second-hand smoke a Class A (human) carcinogen.29-30 56 One strategy was to advocate new risk assessment standards that would make it impossible to identify second-hand smoke as a carcinogen.30 57 In August 1992 CSE sponsored a conference with an ‘over-regulation’ message, with other industry allies and it featured Vice President Dan Quayle,30 who had previously expressed interest in the effort to change the risk assessment requirements.58 Humber wrote to Philip Morris vice president of corporate affairs ‘to outline ... unified and synergistic recommendations for dealing with the ongoing battle over ETS [environmental tobacco smoke, what the tobacco companies call second-hand smoke]’ reporting that ‘B-M was involved in both concept and execution of a strategy that made sure that media coverage of the [CSE conference’s] message regarding over-
regulation superseded the political noise surrounding the VP’s appearance.\textsuperscript{59} Despite the efforts of the industry and their allies, the EPA released the report in December 1992 identifying second-hand smoke as a Class A carcinogen.\textsuperscript{59}

Opposing healthcare reform

The tobacco industry waged a major campaign between 1993 and 1994 to oppose President Bill Clinton’s healthcare reform efforts, particularly the US$0.75 cigarette tax to help finance it.\textsuperscript{32} The tobacco industry worked with a broad coalition against the proposed reform, which included CSE and RJR’s smokers’ rights groups (coordinated by Ramhurst) and others. According to a document that appears to be a report to Philip Morris CEO Mike Miles,

To fight Clinton’s proposed $0.75 per pack excise tax increase, we are also working behind the scenes to oppose the Clinton package as a whole. The House Energy and Commerce Committee will be a key battleground over the Clinton health care plan and we are giving $400 000 to Citizens For A Sound Economy—a free market based grassroots organization—to run a grassroots program aimed at “swing” Democrats on the Committee.\textsuperscript{60}

CSE campaigned against healthcare reform between 1993 and 1994, including media appearances, organising community events and coordinating protests during town hall meetings (see online supplementary table S4).\textsuperscript{32, 61}

Opposing the OSHA regulation of smoking in workplaces

In the mid-1990s, RJR hired the public relations firm Mongoven, Biscoe & Duchin to run the ‘Get Government Off Our Back’ (GGGOOB) coalition primarily to oppose OSHA regulation of workplace second-hand smoke (as well as FDA regulation of tobacco products).\textsuperscript{31} CSE was one of 39 GGOOB members, 18 of which were tobacco industry-funded and three more that had split off from tobacco industry-funded groups. GGOOB promoted an October 1994 resolution calling for smaller government and fewer regulations and fought smoke-free laws (see online supplementary table S4).

Opposing the FDA

In February 1994, the FDA started investigating regulating nicotine as a drug and cigarettes and smokeless tobacco as drug-delivery devices.\textsuperscript{62} In March 1994 Philip Morris CEO Miles recognised that ‘The Administration has emerged as clearly anti-tobacco. … [including FDA Commissioner David] Kessler’s recent trial balloon on FDA regulation on the industry. This will also get worse…it seems to me that we need to seriously reconsider whether our current passive defence strategy is the right strategy, or whether we have ‘less to lose’ by being more ferocious’.\textsuperscript{63}

The political landscape changed after the November 1994 mid-term elections, when Republicans took control of Congress. A Philip Morris October 1995 draft action plan established the long-term goal of ‘create[a] political environment where “moderates” of both parties on the Hill can vote for legislation that divests FDA of any power to regulate tobacco because they are convinced that FDA is already failing miserably in accomplishing its “core mission.”’\textsuperscript{64} They partnered with CSE to ‘quart[erback behind the scenes, third-party efforts to launch, publicise and execute a broad non-tobacco-based attack] on the many failings of the FDA with respect to its currently authorised statutory activities [emphasis added].’\textsuperscript{64} CSE and the Washington Legal Foundation (another tobacco industry-funded think tank) were the primary third-party groups designated ‘to monitor and help direct multi-front action plan.’\textsuperscript{64}

Throughout 1995 CSE worked to discredit the FDA and push for major limitations on its authority. CSE published critical commentary about the FDA,\textsuperscript{65} and ran full page ads in Congressional Monitor and the Washington Times.\textsuperscript{66} Their ‘Death by Regulation’ radio ads accused the FDA of being slow to approve drugs, thus leading to unnecessary death\textsuperscript{67} (see online supplementary table S4). CSE also opposed funding a modernised FDA building, one of Kessler’s priorities.\textsuperscript{67} CSE chairman, C Boyden Gray, testified against the building in Congress, citing the FDA’s ‘overregulation’ and ‘growing bureaucracy,’ and attacked FDA’s slow approval of drugs.\textsuperscript{67} CSE also tried to reallocate FDA resources to ‘product approval process’ by partnering with former CSE fellow representative David McIntosh (R-IN) to freeze the Office of the Commissioner’s budget.\textsuperscript{68}

In 2000, after a tobacco industry lawsuit, the Supreme Court ruled that the FDA did not have authority to regulate tobacco products.\textsuperscript{69}

Opposing the federal RICO lawsuit against the tobacco industry

President Clinton announced in his 1999 State of the Union address that the DOJ was planning a case against the tobacco industry to recover smoking-induced Medicare funds under the RICO Act.\textsuperscript{70} In February 1999, Philip Morris’s vice president of federal government affairs outlined three strategic goals for fighting the lawsuit: (1) to fight the US$20 million dollar appropriation for the lawsuit; (2) ‘bar consideration or defeat any legislation that enhances the ability of the DOJ to successfully bring a cause of action against the tobacco industry;’ (3) exert ‘political pressure’ to block filing of the lawsuit.\textsuperscript{71}

CSE supported these goals during 1999 (see online supplementary table S4). CSE president Paul Beckner wrote to senate majority leader Trent Lott (R, MS) and house speaker Dennis Hastert (R, IL), ‘On behalf of our 250 000 grassroots members, I urge you to oppose the federal government’s proposed lawsuit as well as any legislation to facilitate this unprecedented action.’\textsuperscript{72} CSE members and staff contacted policymakers,\textsuperscript{73} drafted commentaries,\textsuperscript{73} 74 aired ads\textsuperscript{75} 76 and sent out action alerts against the case.\textsuperscript{73} (see online supplementary table S4)

On 22 July 1999 Congress rejected DOJ’s appropriation request.\textsuperscript{77} (The lawsuit was then funded by the Departments of Defense, Health and Human Services and Veterans Affairs.) The industry and its third-party allies failed to stop the lawsuit, which the DOJ filed on 22 September 1999.\textsuperscript{70} The next day, CSE’s Michele Iselle Mitola was quoted in the Washington Times: ‘We see this as a political ploy to find ways to raise more revenue to fund their [the government’s] tax-and-spend agenda.’\textsuperscript{77} CSE continued opposition until at least 2002, encouraging supporters to ask newly elected President George W Bush to end the lawsuit.\textsuperscript{77} These efforts failed, with federal judge Gladys Kessler ruling in 2006 that the major cigarette companies and their affiliated organisations constituted a continuing racketeering enterprise to defraud the public.\textsuperscript{79}

Opposing tobacco taxes

CSE opposed state tobacco taxes (see online supplementary table S4). For example, in 1996, the Tobacco Institute (then the tobacco companies’ political and lobbying arm) provided New Jersey CSE with US$40 000\textsuperscript{80} to fight a tobacco tax increase using mailings, radio advertisements and patch through calls.\textsuperscript{81} A Ramhurst representative recruited industry allies including the New Jersey CSE president, New Jersey smokers’ rights group president and Grover Norquist of Americans for Tax Reform, to write opinion editorials opposing the tax.\textsuperscript{82}
CSE opposed national-level tobacco taxes including a 1999 proposed US$0.55 increase.83 CSE’s Michele Isse Mitola sent a copy of CSE’s anti-tobacco tax mailer materials to Beverly McKittrick (Philip Morris’s director of federal policy, tobacco and legislative counsel and Washington relations) for review. The mailer contained CSE materials, including one-pagers entitled, ‘Big Government/Tobacco Tax’ and ‘Extinguishing Tobacco Taxes.”84

There was also crossover in employment between CSE and the tobacco companies (see online supplementary table S2). For example, Michele Isse Mitola left CSE, where she had held several positions throughout the 1990s, to work at Philip Morris.85 As of 2012, she was vice president, public affairs at Forum Strategies and Communications, a communication and outreach firm; all four leaders of Forum Strategies had worked at Altria/Philip Morris.86–89

CSE becomes Americans for Prosperity and FreedomWorks

Between 2003 and 2004, CSE (a 501(c)4) and CSE Foundation (a 501(c)3) reorganised and changed names. CSE Foundation became AFP CSE merged with Empower America to become FreedomWorks. Empower America was an organisation ‘devoted to ensuring that government actions foster growth, economic well-being, freedom and individual responsibility.’90 (see online supplementary table S1). According to the late former Senator Jack Kemp, the last chair of Empower America, the merger occurred because ‘by merging the policy expertise of Empower America with CSE’s grassroots machine, FreedomWorks provides the freedom movement with an organisation that has unprecedented scale, reach, experience and impact.’91

Both AFP and FreedomWorks included senior CSE leaders. Dick Armey, former Republican house majority leader, was the FreedomWorks chairman as of 2012. He had also been CSE chairman,92 and served as an AFP consultant in 2003.93 FreedomWorks president as of 2012, Matt Kibbe, was a CSE vice president for 8 years.94 AFP was first led by president Nancy Pfotenhauer,95 a CSE vice president,93 and since 2006, Tim Philips.96 Philips came from Century Strategies, a company he helped to form with Ralph Reed (of the Christian Coalition) (see online supplementary table S1).97 Pfotenhauer later led MediaSpeak Strategies,98 an AFP consultant group99 (figure 1). There was also staff continuity between CSE, AFP and FreedomWorks. For example, Peggy Venable and Slade O’Brien who led the Texas and Florida CSE chapters, became AFP state directors.76 100 101

AFP and FreedomWorks maintained policy continuity with CSE and were using ‘Tea Party’ rhetoric before 2009102. For example, in 2007, ‘FreedomWorks’ chairman Dick Armey and president Matt Kibbe, proposed ‘the Boston Tea Party as a model of grassroots pressure on an overbearing central government.’103 Tea Party rhetoric was also espoused by other libertarian-oriented groups including Ron Paul's Campaign for Liberty, which has state chapters, and the Sam Adams Alliance.104

Consultants to AFP and FreedomWorks

The public relations firms FLS Connect105 and DCI Group, co-founded in part by Tom Synhorst,106 consulted for AFP and FreedomWorks107 108 (figure 1 and online supplementary table S2). DCI Group’s leadership as of 2012 included Synhorst, Hyde and Goodyear,109 all of whom were with RJR smokers’ rights programme in the 1990s.110 Dan Combs, a DCI Group partner as of 2012, had been CSE’s director of grassroots and mobilisation.111 DCI Group also lobbied the New York City Council for Altria (Philip Morris) in 2011 and 2012.112

AFP and FreedomWorks oppose tobacco taxes and smoke-free laws

As of 2012, AFP and FreedomWorks were continuing to support the tobacco industry’s broad policy agenda (see online supplementary table S4), including opposing the EPA113 114 and healthcare reform.115 These organisations have been fighting state tobacco taxes and smoke-free laws since at least 2006 (see online supplementary table S4).

Both organisations mounted grassroots efforts in opposition to tobacco taxes in the states and in 2012 were participating in the campaign against a proposed tobacco tax initiative in California.116 AFP and FreedomWorks have advanced standard industry arguments against tobacco taxes,117 118 including tobacco taxes are regressive,119 120 adversely affect business20 121 122 and shift sales to surrounding states, the internet, or the black market.123 124 In 2009, FreedomWorks fought a proposed tobacco tax increase in Arkansas with an ‘Enough is Enough!’ advertisement, recalling the tobacco industry campaign from the late 1980s and 1990s.42 125 AFP used the same message to oppose a tobacco tax initiative (Proposition 29) in California in 2012.126

AFP and FreedomWorks have opposed smoke-free laws across the country since at least 2006 (see online supplementary table S4). AFP and FreedomWorks credited their grassroots members with defeating the 2007 North Carolina smoke-free law.19 127 Echoing well-established tobacco industry arguments and the patrician rhetoric of the smokers’ rights groups,43 they argued for private property rights,127 128 consumer choice129 and limited government.130–132

Other third-party groups: tobacco industry and Tea Party affiliations

In 2001, Humber announced that the NSA would be dissolved, with some of its funds being transferred to the Center for Individual Freedom (CFIF, figure 1),133 134 which Humber founded in 1998.134 Its mission is to ‘protect and defend individual freedoms and individual rights guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.’135 As of 2012, CFIF’s president was former NSA spokesperson Jeffrey Mazzella136 137 and CFIF’s corporate counsel and senior vice president was former NSA attorney Renee Giachino.138 140

The National Center for Public Policy Research (NCPPR, figure 1), which promotes ‘principles of a free market, individual liberty and personal responsibility [as] the greatest hope for meeting the challenges facing America in the 21st century,’141 has been a longstanding tobacco industry ally and employs or collaborates with individuals who worked for the tobacco industry. Philip Morris funded NCPPR in the 1990s,142 143 and NCPPR was a member of RJR’s GGOOB,31 NCPPR also opposed FDA regulation of tobacco,144 and the DOJ RICO lawsuit against the tobacco industry.146 In 2012 NCPPR was continuing efforts, such as its ‘Occupy Occupy D.C. Smoke-in’ to protest about taxes on smokeless tobacco and e-cigarettes.147

Thomas Borelli, also an NCPPR senior fellow and his spouse, Deneen Borelli, an NCPPR fellow (as well as a FreedomWorks’ fellow148) worked for Philip Morris for over 20 years and have spoken at Tea Party events (figure 1 and online supplementary table S2). While at Philip Morris, Thomas Borelli served on its public policy advisory council, which reviewed and prioritised public policy grants for funding and designated CSE a Category A public policy organisation for funding.84 Dana Joel Gattuso, a NCPPR senior fellow, had been CSE’s deputy director of regulatory affairs.149
Steve Milloy, who served as co-director of NCPPR’s Free Enterprise Project with Tom Borelli, helped the industry contest the link between second-hand smoke and disease. Milloy directed The Advancement of Sound Science Coalition (TASSC, figure 1), which was created for Philip Morris in 1993 by the public relations firm APCO Associates, as part of the effort to undermine the EPA’s second-hand smoke risk assessment. Though TASSC was eventually disbanded, Milloy maintained http://junkscience.com as of 2012 (see online supplementary table S2).

The Center for Consumer Freedom (CCF) is another example of a Tea Party-related organisation with strong roots in the tobacco industry. In 2002, Guest Choice Network became the CCF to oppose efforts by ‘the anti-consumer forces [to] expand their reach beyond the restaurants and taverns, going into your communities and even your homes,’ as Girlfriend Richard Berman created Guest Choice Network in 1995, with US$600 000 in startup funds, as well as continued funding from Philip Morris. It was meant to appear as ‘a restaurant-driven programme’ to oppose smoke-free restaurants that was not ‘owned’ by Philip Morris.

DISCUSSION

The tobacco companies have refined their astroturf tactics since at least the 1980s and leveraged their resources to support and sustain a network of organisations that have developed into some of the Tea Party organisations of 2012 (figure 1). In many ways, the Tea Party of the late 2000s has become the ‘movement’ envisioned by Tim Hyde, RJR director of national field operations in the 1990s, which was grounded in patriotic values of ‘freedom’ and ‘choice’ to change how people see the role of ‘government’ and ‘big business’ in their lives, particularly with regard to taxes and regulation.

While it is well known that corporations can influence policy, this case study demonstrates the extent to which a particular industry has leveraged its resources to indirectly affect public policy. The tobacco companies funded one of the main Tea Party predecessor organisations, CSE, as well as other conservative organisations, including the Cato Institute, American Enterprise Institute, Americans for Tax Reform, the Washington Legal Foundation and the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC) to support the companies’ broader economic and political agendas. In parallel to the Tea Party’s expansion outside the USA, in 2012, ALEC advanced tobacco industry arguments to campaign against cigarette plain packaging policies in Canada, Australia and the UK and the European Union’s ban on snus.

The tobacco companies amplified the benefit of funding these individual organisations by integrating them into coalitions to fight on behalf of favourable tobacco industry positions (ie, GGOOB, which included CSE), a prime example of astroturfing. In addition, this tactic has continued, as the Tea Party organisations, AFP and FreedomWorks (descendants of CSE; figure 1) were part of a coalition called Californians Against Out Of Control Spending, which received a majority of funding from tobacco companies. As such, they served as a public face for Philip Morris and Reynolds American’s campaign against the tobacco tax initiative in California (Proposition 29). The leadership of the California AFP chapter appeared on campaign materials and publicly represented the No on 29 campaign in the media.

The tobacco companies were not the only source of corporate support for CSE. Other corporate interests have funded and influenced the network of organisations that support the Tea Party. For example, David Koch was a co-founder of CSE and AFP Foundation, and Koch foundations have supported these groups. Koch Industries is a conglomerate, with multiple industries including chemical and refining. Both CSE and AFP have campaigned for fewer governmental restrictions on environmental policies.

Another example of broader corporate support for a Tea Party-related organisation is through the CCF (figure 1), which has received funding from the food, restaurant and agribusiness industries, including Coca-Cola, Monsanto and Wendy’s International. This organisation has opposed the Institute of Medicine’s strategies to prevent obesity, including taxing sweetened beverages, incentivising opening grocery stores in ‘food deserts’ and implementing restaurant zoning laws. In June 2012, the CCF ran a full-page advertisement in the New York Times opposing New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg’s proposal to end the sale of super-sized sugary drinks in New York City as a policy to fight childhood obesity. Echoing rhetoric used years earlier to oppose smoke-free restaurants, the headline proclaimed, ‘The nanny: you only thought you lived in the land of the free.’

It is important for policymakers to be aware of the corporate funding sources for organisations that work to influence public policy. AFP and FreedomWorks are registered as public charities and social welfare organisations under the US tax code sections 501(c)3 or 501(c)4, which, as of 2012, do not have to disclose their donors. Greater transparency of funding sources for these organisations would allow policymakers and the public to evaluate more critically messages and activities of these organisations. Requiring groups to disclose corporate funding sources before engaging in lobbying activities would be one way to improve transparency.

Because of the lack of transparency in funding for third-party advocacy groups and coalitions, members of the general public, the media and policymakers, may not know who funds and coordinates the coalitions and may unwittingly aid a corporate agenda. Although AFP and FreedomWorks oppose smoke-free laws, a 2011 survey on support for smoke-free laws found that the proportion of people who favour smoke-free laws was similar among those who identify with, and those who oppose, the Tea Party (72% and 75%, respectively, in states without smoke-free laws, p=0.145 by χ² and 77% and 87% in states with smoke-free laws, p=0.139). Tea Party supporters also favour preserving Medicare, which does not align with AFP and FreedomWorks’ opposition to government-run healthcare.

Many factors beyond the tobacco industry have contributed to the development of the Tea Party. Anti-tax sentiment has been linked to notions of patriotism since the inception of the USA when the colonies were protesting against taxation by the British. In addition, the Tea Party has origins in the ultra-right John Birch Society of the 1950s, of which Fred Koch (Charles and David Koch’s father) was a founding member. Often, social movements gain prominence from complicated connections with established political institutions. Although the Tea Party is a social movement, it has been affiliated closely with, and somewhat incorporated into, the Republican Party. This may be due in part to the increased conservatism of politically active Republicans since 1970s and the increased polarisation of American politics. Although AFP and FreedomWorks have campaigned for very conservative policies since the 1980s (as CSE), they capitalised on the changing political realities following President Barack Obama’s election in 2008. In particular, they harnessed anti-government sentiment arising from the confluece of the mortgage and banking bailout, President Barack Obama’s stimulus package and...
the Democratic push for healthcare reform, which provided them with the opportunity for more successful grassroots-level Tea Party organisations. In addition, the conservative media, including Fox News and the network of conservative talk radio hosts and bloggers, provided a unified forum to amplify these messages. The tobacco industry has played a part in building this network, both by working with Roger Ailes, who subsequently became Fox News CEO and funding the National Journalism Center which ‘train[s] budding journalists in free market political and economic principles.

Limitations

This paper focuses on only one of the multiple industries with connections to the Tea Party. In addition, it would be difficult to assess and record the full extent of corporate connections, because they reach beyond disclosed contributions and industry lobbyists. Another limitation is that a major source for this paper was the Legacy Tobacco Documents Library, which is not a complete collection and is limited to documents produced in litigation against the tobacco industry.

CONCLUSION

The tobacco companies have created third-party allies, front groups and used public relations firms to foment the appearance of popular public opposition to tobacco control policies for decades. Tea Party strategy and leadership has important roots in these tobacco industry efforts. AFP and FreedomWorks, national organisers of the Tea Party, grew out of CSE, an organisation with strong ties to the tobacco industry. AFP and FreedomWorks continue to mobilise grassroots opposition to tobacco control policies despite the evidence that the Tea Party supporters favour such policies. It is important for policy-makers, the health community and people who support the Tea Party to be aware of these complex and often hard-to-track linkages. Rather than being purely a grassroots movement, the Tea Party has been influenced by decades of astroturfing by tobacco and other corporate interests to develop a grassroots network to support their corporate agendas, even though their members may not support those agendas. Greater transparency of organisation funding is needed so that policymakers and the general public—including people who identify with the Tea Party—can evaluate claims of political support for, and opposition to, health and other public policies. It is important for tobacco control advocates, in the USA and internationally, to anticipate and counter Tea Party opposition to tobacco control policies and to ensure that policy makers, the media and the public understand the longstanding intersection between the tobacco industry and the Tea Party policy agenda.

What this paper adds

Rather than being a grassroots movement that spontaneously developed in 2009, the Tea Party organisations have had connections to the tobacco companies since the 1980s. The cigarette companies funded and worked through Citizens for a Sound Economy (CSE), the precursor of Tea Party organisations, Americans for Prosperity and FreedomWorks, to accomplish their economic and political agenda. There has been continuity of some key players, strategies and messages from these groups to Americans for Prosperity, FreedomWorks and other Tea Party-related organisations.
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## Table S1. Key Organizations Involved with the Tobacco Industry and the Tea Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Americans for Prosperity</td>
<td>National Tea Party organizing group, created from Citizens for a Sound Economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burson-Marsteller</td>
<td>A communication and public relations firm that worked with Philip Morris to implement the National Smokers’ Alliance to oppose the Environmental Protection Agency’s designation of secondhand smoke as a carcinogen and FDA regulation of tobacco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Consumer Freedom</td>
<td>An organization that originated from the Guest Choice Network. The Guest Choice Network was created with start-up funds from Philip Morris to oppose smokefree hospitality policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Individual Freedom</td>
<td>An organization whose mission is: to “protect and defend individual freedoms and individual rights guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.&quot; Tom Humber, past president of the National Smokers’ Alliance, founded the organization. Other NSA employees have leadership positions at CFIF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens for a Sound Economy</td>
<td>CSE was a think tank founded by David Koch and Richard Fink which received funding from corporations including tobacco, oil, and pharmaceuticals. Between 2003 and 2004, CSE (a 501(c)4) and CSE Foundation (a 501(c)3) reorganized and became Americans for Prosperity and FreedomWorks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCI Group</td>
<td>A public relations firm that “helps corporations navigate their most challenging political, legislative and regulatory problems anywhere in the world.” DCI Group consulted with AFP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLS Connect</td>
<td>A company that provides a variety services, including: “Fundraising, Voter &amp; Constituent Contact or… Data &amp; Communication Management” The predecessor organization of FLS Connect was FLS-DCI. FLS Connect consulted with FreedomWorks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FreedomWorks</td>
<td>A non-profit organization dedicated to “lower taxes, less government, more freedom” created when Citizens for a Sound Economy merged with Empower America in 2004.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MediaSpeak Strategies</td>
<td>A public relations firm that offers communication strategy (“We’re typically brought in to resolve a crisis in a short period of time”) and media/spokesperson appearances that contracted with AFP in 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Smokers’ Alliance</td>
<td>An organization Burson-Marsteller created for Philip Morris designed to appear as a grassroots movement of smokers that would advocate for the industry’s policy agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Public Policy Research</td>
<td>A Tea Party related communication and research foundation, advocating for “a strong national defense and dedicated to providing free market solutions to today's public policy problems”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramhurst</td>
<td>A public relations firm hired by RJR to coordinate their smokers’ rights groups. Two former RJR smokers’ rights group coordinators contributed to the creation of Ramhurst, which was established with the support of RJR. Ramhurst also assisted opposing FDA regulation and healthcare reform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJR Smokers’ Rights Groups</td>
<td>Groups created by and coordinated by RJR and associated PR firms designed to appear as a grassroots movement of smokers that would advocate for the industry’s policy agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Advancement of Sound</td>
<td>A group created for Philip Morris in 1993 by the public relations firm APCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Coalition (TASSC)</td>
<td>Associates, as part of the continuing effort to undermine the EPA’s secondhand smoke risk assessment. This group was disbanded, but its director, Steve Milloy, maintained its website (junkscience.com) as of 2012. 28, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt Klein &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Advertising and public relations firm that worked with RJR and their smokers’ rights groups 24, 30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deneen Moore Borelli</td>
<td>FreedomWorks fellow (current as of 2012) 36; National Center for Public Policy Research (approximately 2006-current as of 2012) 37; 20 years employment at <strong>Philip Morris USA</strong> 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Borelli</td>
<td>National Center for Public Policy Research (approximately 2006-current as of 2012) 37; 25 years at Philip Morris/Altria,38 last position: Director, Legislative Policy &amp; Issues, <strong>Philip Morris Management Corp</strong> (2001-approximately 2003) 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Joel Gattuso</td>
<td>National Center for Public Policy Research, Senior Fellow (current as of 2012); adjunct scholar, Competitive Enterprise Institute; Washington liaison, Property and Environment Research Center, Center for Free Market Environmentalism; director of projects and issue management for environmental and regulatory affairs, U.S Chamber of Commerce; director of research, Pacific Research Institute; deputy director of regulatory affairs, <strong>CSE</strong> 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Goodyear</td>
<td>CEO and Founding Partner, DCI Group (1996-current as of 2012) 41; Ramhurst Vice President and Treasurer (approximately mid-1990’s) 24; <strong>RJR</strong> Smokers Rights group (approximately early 1990’s) 24; Vice President of Walt Klein &amp; Associates, North Carolina; manager of Pete Dawkins’ Senate campaign (1987-1988); Colorado Republican Party political Director (1985-1987) 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Hyde</td>
<td>Founding Partner of DCI Group (current as of 2012); <strong>RJR</strong> Senior Director of Public Issues (1988-1997) 43 National Field Director of the RJR smokers’ rights groups (approximately 1989) 44; Coalitions Director, Dole/Kemp presidential campaign (1996); National Political Director, Pete DuPont presidential campaign (1987-1988); Deputy Director, National Republican Senatorial Committee (1983-1987); Executive Director, Iowa Republican Party (1979-1983) 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Kibbe</td>
<td>President and CEO of <strong>FreedomWorks</strong> (2004-current as of 2012) (^47); Austrian Economic Center, Vienna, Austria-Distinguished Senior Fellow (current as of 2012) (^47); Executive Vice President, CSE (1996-2004) (^48); Policy Analyst, CSE (1986-1988) (^49) Chief of Staff and House Budget Committee Associate, Representative Dan Miller (R-FL); Director of Federal Budget Policy, U.S Chamber of Commerce; Senior Economist, Republican National Committee (Chairman Lee Atwater); Market Process Managing Editor (^47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly McKittrick</td>
<td><strong>PMI Global Services</strong> (2009) (^50); Director Federal Government Affairs, <strong>Altria Corp Services, Inc.</strong> (2003-2008); Director Federal Government Affairs - Tobacco, <strong>Altria Corp Services, Inc.</strong> (2003); Director Federal Government Affairs - Tobacco, <strong>Philip Morris Management Corp</strong> (2001-2003); Director, Federal Policy, Tobacco, <strong>Philip Morris Management Corp</strong> (1999-2001); Legislative Counsel, Washington Relations, <strong>Philip Morris Management Corp</strong> (1995-1999) (^31); specialized in legal reform and telecommunications for CSE (^52); Federal Communications Commission for three years; seven years with Senator Paul Laxalt (R-NV) (four years as Senate Judiciary Committee Counsel and three years in private practice); Haley Barbour’s (R-MS) Senate campaign; intern, Rep Trent Lott (R-MS) (^32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Milloy</td>
<td>Maintains junkscience.com (current as of 2012) (^36); Co-director of NCPRR's Free Enterprise Project (2008); Director of The Advancement of Sound Science Coalition (note: funded by <strong>Philip Morris</strong>) (^34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Isele Mitola</td>
<td>Vice President, Public Affairs, Forum Strategies and Communications (current as 2012) (^35); Senior Manager Public Affairs, Philip Morris USA Inc. (2002-2003); Manager, Corporate Affairs Issues Management, Philip Morris USA Inc. (2001-2002); (^56) CSE (1988-2001) (^35); U.S Department of Labor and the Merit Systems Protection Board (^55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slade O’Brien</td>
<td>Florida State Director of <strong>AFP</strong> (2011-current as of 2012); (^67) Florida Strategies Group, President (^67) Florida Director of <strong>CSE</strong> (approximately 1998-2002); (^61) Florida Republican Party, Special Assistant to the Chairman for Victory (1994) (^60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Pfotenhauer</td>
<td>MediaSpeak Strategies (current as of 2012) (^62); CATO Board of Directors (2010-current as of 2012) (^62) Board of Visitors at George Mason University (2010-current as 2012) (^62); Economic Policy Advisor, McCain campaign (2008 campaign) (^64); <strong>AFP</strong> President (^33) Vice Chairman of Board of Directors and President, CEO, Independent Women’s Forum (2000-2007) (^62); Executive Vice President, <strong>CSE</strong> (^65); Chief Economist for President George H. W. Bush’s Council on Competitiveness (appointed 1990); Republican National Committee chief economist (1988) and senior economist (1987) (^65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Synhorst</td>
<td>Chairman and founding partner, DCI Group (1996-current as of 2012) (^66); Elizabeth Dole’s senate campaign (R, NC) (2002); Advisor to Bush/Cheney (2000); political floor operations at Republican conventions (1996 &amp; 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Venable</td>
<td>State Director, <strong>AFP</strong>-Texas (current as of 2012) (^{69}); Director, <strong>CSE</strong>-Texas (^{69}); White House Liaison for Cabinet Secretaries, including Department of Education and Department of the Interior; Director of the Republican National Convention (1984) (^{69})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Tom Synhorst co-founded FLS-DCI \(^{68}\), the predecessor to FLS-Connect \(^{16}\).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>$191,000&lt;sup&gt;71&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>- An Associated Press article noted that CSE received $191,000 to oppose regulatory powers of FDA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>$130,000&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A document titled, “1993 Corporate Contributions Report for PM USA/NYO” stated that CSEF received $130,000 in 1992.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1993 | $300,000<sup>73</sup> | - Document notes CSE was the Civic and Community organization recipient of “the largest charitable contribution grant”.  
- The $300,000 is listed as “renewal of general support”. |
| 1994 | $300,000<sup>74</sup> | - Document (dated 3/2/95) notes CSEF was one of the Civic and Community organization recipients of the “largest charitable contribution grant” to “strengthen and expand various state projects”. |
| 1995 | $985,000<sup>75</sup> | - On January 24, 1995, Paul Beckner wrote to Steve Parrish to request $150,000 and described CSE’s plans for the year including work on reforming the FDA: “CSE believes the FDA typifies the costs and dangers of overregulation, an issue for which the nation’s voters clearly have expressed their concern.”<sup>76</sup> A Philip Morris voucher for $150,000, prepared on January 31, 1995 for CSE was found in the documents.<sup>77</sup>  
- On May 9, 1995, correspondence between Philip Morris employees, David Nicoli, Marc Firestone and Steve Parrish stated, “CSE has completed the first phase of their FDA reform project. The attached is a proposal they are circulating to interested parties for their second phase. We should discuss this at your earliest convenience.”<sup>78</sup> The proposal was entitled: “The Food and Drug Administration: A Case Study in Excessive Regulatory Burdens” and requested $723,000 for CSE and $192,000 for CSEF.<sup>79</sup>  
| 1996 | $500,000<sup>80</sup> | A letter from Steve Parrish (dated 3/25/1996) referenced an enclosed check for renewed support, with $200,000 for CSE and $300,000 for CSEF. |
| 1996 | $40,000<sup>81</sup> | - Grant to New Jersey CSE  
- Appears to have been coordinated by Tobacco Institute<sup>82</sup>, including RJR<sup>83</sup>, Brown & Williamson<sup>84</sup> and Philip Morris<sup>85</sup> with each contributing $10,000 for their “share of New Jersey Citizens for a Sound Economy.” The Smokeless Tobacco Council contributed $5000<sup>86</sup>. |
| 1997 | $100,000<sup>87</sup> | A document titled, “Public Policy Recommendations for 1997 with Paid Status” states that CSE received $100,000 with “payment via WRO admin budget”. |
| 1998 | $500<sup>88</sup> | - Louisiana Citizens for a Sound Economy  
- An attached letter to Joe Murray with RJR from Louisiana CSE Director, Beverly Smiley, (dated April 8, 1998) indicates money was for CSE’s “no new tax” effort.  
- An RJR lobbying disclosure statement listed $500 in “grassroots/lobbying expenditures” to LA Citizens for a Sound Economy, 4/29/98. Legislation was described as: “Potential smoking restriction and excise tax increases”.<sup>89</sup> |
<p>| 1998 | $910,000&lt;sup&gt;90&lt;/sup&gt; | A document titled, Public Policy Review Committee Meeting Monday, March 22, 1999, under the subheading: Public Policy Grants Pending March 23, 1999 Approval, stated the 1998 support for CSE was $910,000&lt;sup&gt;90&lt;/sup&gt;. |
| 1999 | $1,375,000&lt;sup&gt;91&lt;/sup&gt; | A document titled “Public Policy Grants Proposed 2000 Budget” states the amount given in 1999 was: $1,090,000 to CSE and $285,000 to CSEF. |
| 2000 | $375,000&lt;sup&gt;92&lt;/sup&gt; | Document titled Public Policy Grants Proposed 2001 Budget lists the amount paid in 2000 to CSE as $175,000. Two documents list that $100,000 was prepaid in 1999 for 2000&lt;sup&gt;91,92&lt;/sup&gt;. A letter from Ronald S. Milstein (dated 2/4/2000) to Paul Beckner describes an enclosed check for $100,000 from Lorillard&lt;sup&gt;93&lt;/sup&gt;. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Requested Amount</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>An email from Joshua Slavitt, Director External Affairs for PMMC, to Ellen Merlo (among others), sent November 19, 2001 at 2:02PM, with the subject: PPRC Approvals. He requested $35,000 “for 2001 contingency requests”. He states, “with your approval of these requests, the PPAC will close out its 2001 budget. I would appreciate your email reply indicating that you agree/disagree with these requests. An email response from Merlo, November 19, 2001, 3:49PM, Re: PPRC Approvals, stated “okay”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>An email from Joshua Slavitt, Director External Affairs for PMMC, to Ellen Merlo (among others), sent November 19, 2001 at 2:02PM, with the subject: PPRC Approvals, requests $50,000, listed under “advancement of 2002 grants” for “general 2002 support.” He states, “with your approval of these requests, the PPAC will close out its 2001 budget. I would appreciate your email reply indicating that you agree/disagree with these requests”. An email response from Merlo, November 19, 2001, 3:49PM, Re: PPRC Approvals, stated “okay”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This information is based on documents identified in LTDL; it may not reflect all financial relationships.*
Table S4. Examples of Citizens for a Sound Economy, Americans for Prosperity and FreedomWorks’ pro-tobacco industry policy agenda advocacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Examples of Advocacy Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Opposing the Environmental Protection Agency Report on Secondhand Smoke | **1992**  
CSE and other industry allies held a conference highlighting Vice President Dan Quayle. He was chair of the Competitiveness Council, which had previously expressed interest in the effort to change the risk assessment requirements. Burson-Marsteller “was involved in both concept and execution of a strategy that made sure that media coverage of the discrete message regarding over-regulation superseded the political noise surrounding the VP’s appearance.”  
**1993-1994**  
CSE claims to have distributed 32,753 copies of Action Alert (10 issues), had 53 radio and talk show appearances, and hosted rallies and town hall meetings.  
CSE was a member of a tobacco industry coalition opposing healthcare reform and they coordinated protests at town hall meetings.  
**1994-1995**  
CSE was a member of Get Government Off Our Back (GGOOB), which opposed smoke-free laws (among other anti-regulation advocacy) |
| Opposing Healthcare Reform                  | **2006**  
**AFP:** Washington, D.C.  
**2007**  
**AFP:** North Carolina; Texas; Wisconsin  
**FreedomWorks:** North Carolina  
A 2007 letter from Kathy Hartkopf, FreedomWorks North Carolina’s Legislative Liaison posted on FreedomWorks’ blog, stated that the proposed smokefree law “deeply concerned our activists who spent many hours working in opposition to the ban. From literature drops and legislative visits to telephoning and e-mailing legislators, thank you for making your voices heard!”  
**2008**  
**AFP:** Kansas; Texas  
**FreedomWorks:** Virginia; North Carolina  
**2009**  
**AFP:** North Carolina  
Ben Marchi, Virginia AFP Director, was quoted in the *Washington Times* opposing a smokefree Virginia law: “The issues of concern to conservatives are whether our elected officials are going to stand up to this big-government juggernaut that’s coming at our state and our country right now”  
**2010**  
**AFP:** Kansas  |
| Opposing Smoke-free Laws                    | **1995**  
CSE was one of eight conservative think tanks working for major FDA reform. (The other seven think tanks received at least $3.5 million in industry funding, including from tobacco, medical device, pharmaceutical, and biotechnology industries. Very little funding information was available for CSE.) These groups took advantage of the opportunity provided by the 1994 midterm elections, which led to a Republican majority in Congress, to aggressively push for a broad reform to limit the FDA’s authority.  
March 1995  
CSE ran full ads in *Congressional Monitor* and *Washington Times* criticizing the FDA.  
Jeffrey Pierce, CSE Regulatory Analyst published commentary in a CSE publication entitled, “FDA Review Times: The Other Half of the Story.”  
CSE published an Action Alert Newsletter about the FDA, entitled “More Money is Not the Answer.”  
April 1995  
CSE reports that they “provided a rapid response to David Kessler’s proposed agency reforms. The Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources used this analysis...
### Opposing RICO lawsuit

**February 1999**

CSE President Beckner wrote Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (R, MS) and House Speaker Dennis Hastert (R, IL): “On behalf of our 250,000 grassroots members, I urge you to oppose the federal government’s proposed lawsuit as well as any legislation to facilitate this unprecedented action.”

**April 1999**

CSE radio campaign against lawsuit abuse in four markets in Texas.

**May 1999**

Alabama CSE Director Toby Roth wrote and distributed an opinion piece, “Please Don’t Feed the Trial Lawyers, Stop the Clinton-Gore Taxation Through Litigation Scheme” to Alabama media outlets.

**June 1999**

Cathy Epley, with CSE Portland office, distributed an action alert to CSE members asking them to express their opposition to the federal lawsuit to Senator Gordon Smith (R, OR).

Mell Konier (Alabama CSE) and other CSE members called Senator Jeff Sessions (R, AL) to encourage him to oppose reinserting the $20 million appropriation for the lawsuit (which had been removed by the Appropriations Committee).


**July 1999**

Florida CSE Grassroots Manager Joyce Malone wrote Senator Connie Mack (R, FL) thanking him for meeting with her and urging that he vote to “against any effort to fund or facilitate the Justice Department’s dangerous and unprecedented lawsuit.”

**September 1999**

CSE’s Michele Isele Mitola quoted in the *Washington Times*: “We see this as a political ploy to find ways to raise more revenue to fund their [the government’s] tax-and-spend agenda.”

**2000**

CSE organized a rally against “frivolous lawsuits” at the Iowa statehouse.

The Coalition for Legal Reform (which included CSE) wrote Majority Leader Trent Lott opposing a $23 million appropriation to fund the RICO lawsuit.

**2002**

CSE Economic Policy Analyst Jason Thomas wrote a commentary entitled, “Time for a Priority Check at the Department of Justice.”

CSE website included a call to action encouraging members to contact President George Bush to oppose the RICO lawsuit.

### Opposing Tobacco Taxes

CSE opposed tobacco taxes in:

- **1995**: New Jersey
- **1997 (est.)**: Louisiana
- **1998**: California
- **2002**: CSE
2002
CSE: Maryland
2003
CSE: Oregon
2006
AFP: Illinois, Missouri, South Dakota, Texas
FreedomWorks: California, Hawaii
2007
AFP: Wisconsin, Illinois
Joe Calomino (AFP Illinois State Director), stated, “Over the past month and a half Americans for Prosperity-Illinois and our 4,000-plus grassroots members have been writing letters to the editor, and making phone calls to our lawmakers to stop this sneaky tobacco tax grab.”
FreedomWorks: Maine, Oregon, South Carolina
2008
AFP: Illinois
FreedomWorks: Florida
Letter from Matt Kibbe of FreedomWorks to Florida members stated: “With your help, we were able to flood the state capitol with letters and phone calls in opposition to the tobacco tax being proposed to increase the government’s coffers.”
Utah
2009
FreedomWorks: Arkansas
Dick Armey of FreedomWorks spoke at an Arkansas rally opposing a tobacco tax increase.
In 2009, FreedomWorks fought a proposed tobacco tax increase in Arkansas with an "Enough is Enough!" advertisement recalling the tobacco industry campaign from the late 1980s and 1990s.
Hawaii
FreedomWorks Chairman Dick Armey of FreedomWorks wrote to Governor Lingle of Hawaii: “Raising taxes on cigarettes hurts small businesses like convenience stores who receive over 34% of their in-store sales from purchases of these products. And the expected revenue will most likely never be realized as smokers go to the black market and internet to avoid skyrocketing taxes.”
Mississippi
2012
AFP: California
In 2012, as part of the No on 29 coalition (which received funding from Philip Morris USA and RJR), AFP sent a mailer opposing a California tax increase with “Enough is Enough!” language.
FreedomWorks: California
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