Closing the gaps in tobacco endgame evidence: a scoping review
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ABSTRACT

Objective Tobacco endgame policies aim to rapidly and permanently reduce smoking to minimal levels. We reviewed evidence syntheses for: (1) endgame policies, (2) evidence gaps, and (3) future research priorities.

Data sources Guided by JBI scoping review methodology, we searched five databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Embase and Web of Science) for evidence syntheses published in English since 1990 on 12 policies, and Google for publications from key national and international organisations. Reference lists of included publications were hand searched.

Study selection Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts. Inclusion criteria were broad to capture policy impacts (including unintended), feasibility, public and stakeholder acceptability and other aspects of policy implementation.

Data extraction We report the results according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews checklist.

Data synthesis Eight policies have progressed to evidence synthesis stage (49 publications): mandatory very low nicotine content (VLNC) standard (n=26); product standards to substantially reduce consumer appeal or remove the most toxic products from the market (n=1); moving consumers to reduced risk products (n=8); tobacco-free generation (n=4); ending sales (n=2); sinking lid (n=2); tax increases (n=7); and restrictions on tobacco retailers (n=10). Based on published evidence syntheses, the evidence base was most developed for a VLNC standard, with a wide range of evidence synthesised.

Conclusions VLNC cigarettes have attracted the most attention, in terms of synthesised evidence. Additional focus on policies that reduce the availability of tobacco is warranted given these measures are being implemented in some jurisdictions.

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco endgame policies aim to permanently and rapidly reduce smoking prevalence to minimal levels when fully implemented. 1–3 Tobacco endgame targets are specific, measurable outcomes that would indicate an end to the tobacco epidemic in a defined geographic area (eg, a country). Examples include reducing smoking prevalence to less than 5%, or less than 1%. 6, 7 Countries with endgame goals include: New Zealand (NZ; <5% daily smoking for all population groups by 20258), the UK (‘smoke-free’ by 20309), Scotland (<5% by 203410), Ireland (<5% by 202511), USA (5% by 203012), Canada (<5% by 203513), Australia (<5% by 203014), Sweden (<5% by 202515), Finland (<5% by 203016 and 2% by 204017) and Bangladesh (‘tobacco free’ by 204018). Hence, there is increasing interest in endgame policies to achieve these targets.

Evidence synthesis (second generation knowledge) refers to any method of identifying, selecting and combining results from multiple empirical studies (first generation knowledge) to draw conclusions beyond a single study findings, 19 and can be one indicator of progression towards translation of research evidence into policy. 20–23 For example, the process of aggregating knowledge from individual studies is the second step of the ‘Knowledge Creation’ funnel in the ‘Knowledge-to-Action’ framework which posits that as ‘knowledge’ moves through the funnel, it becomes more distilled and refined and presumably more useful to stakeholders. 21 Similarly, Christopher Whitty, the chief medical officer for England and chief medical adviser to the UK government since 2019, has described the ‘accurate synthesis of existing information’ as ‘the most important single offering by academics to the policy process’. 25

A 2016 qualitative synthesis identified 16 endgame policies focused on the product, user, market/supply or larger institutional structures, and highlighted a lack of evidence on their practicality or legality. 1 Given the extant tobacco endgame literature has increased in recent years, it is timely to re-examine the synthesised evidence across policies with the potential to achieve a tobacco endgame.

METHODS

We compiled an initial a priori list of policies that are generally considered to be able to permanently and rapidly reduce smoking to minimal levels, if fully implemented, based on the expert opinion of the authorship team. These were considered to be inherently endgame policies (eg, policies that would end tobacco retail sales or make tobacco products non-addictive). Additional policies that could be endgame policies but were less clearly so, such as conventional approaches, identified through the
literature search or discussion among the authorship team, were added to the review if they were examined in the evidence synthesis as a tobacco endgame policy (eg, product standards for palatability or toxicity, retailing restrictions, action against the tobacco industry, moving consumers to reduced risk products or tobacco tax increases). Evidence syntheses for these topics that did not specifically include consideration of the policy as part of an endgame strategy were excluded. Similar policies were grouped using the same broad categories of the 2016 endgame synthesis7 (table 1).

We followed the JBI methodology for scoping reviews34 modified to restrict the sources to evidence syntheses, and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews checklist (online supplemental table 1).25 The protocol was pre-registered in Open Science Framework.26

Review questions
We addressed the following questions:
1. Which endgame policies have had an evidence synthesis performed?
2. Which types of evidence syntheses have been completed for tobacco endgame policies?
3. Which study designs contributed to the synthesised evidence?
4. Which countries and populations were included?
5. Were equity impacts addressed?
6. What research questions were addressed, and what gaps remain?
7. Which policy actors (government and non-government organisations, charitable and industry representatives) were involved in authoring, funding or publishing the evidence syntheses?

Inclusion/exclusion criteria
We included peer-reviewed journal articles and key reports from national and international health authorities such as the WHO, including systematic reviews, meta-analyses, rapid reviews, scoping reviews, narrative reviews, qualitative syntheses, simulation modelling studies and expert consensus studies. Simulation modelling studies were included because these studies combine data from multiple sources to estimate the effect of interventions on a simulated population in order to draw conclusions about the intervention. Expert consensus studies were also included because these use experts to synthesise and draw conclusions from the available evidence. Commentaries or editorials that included a substantive narrative review of the research evidence were included; opinion pieces or proposals without evidence directly related to the endgame policies were excluded. We included syntheses describing any evidence relevant to policy implementation, including unintended consequences (eg, adverse health effects) or tobacco industry responses (ie, not just evidence on the effectiveness of the policy). Only English language publications were included (due to unavailability of translation resources) published from 1 January 1990 to the date of the search. Syntheses were not excluded based on funding source, but information about the funder was extracted and reported.

Search strategy
A pilot search in PubMed identified title and abstract keywords from relevant articles and index terms, from which a search strategy was developed and finalised by CP and CEG (see online supplemental table 2). We searched five databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Embase and Web of Science) using the search terms, and conducted targeted online searches for relevant reports, between 22 and 25 March 2021. Finally, we hand searched the reference lists of included evidence syntheses for additional relevant records.

Evidence selection
Figure 1 details the publication search and retrieval process. Each title and abstract was independently screened twice against the inclusion criteria in Rayyan software26 by two of 14 reviewers. The full text of publications that were not excluded at this title and abstract screening stage was then screened by the team of two reviewers. Conflicts were resolved through discussion with the senior author (CEG), who also conducted a final check of all included publications to ensure they met the criteria of being an evidence synthesis.

Data extraction
Data extraction was independently completed using an online form by one of 14 reviewers. Review articles were categorised into the review types listed by Sutton et al.27 As a consistency check, three team members (CEG and KM) independently...
extracted data from the first three studies coded by each reviewer to ensure a consistent approach to data extraction. Any conflicts in data extraction approach were resolved through discussion with the senior author (CEG) and clarifying advice relayed to the reviewers performing the data extraction.

RESULTS
We included 49 publications, including 45 journal articles identified through database searches, 3 journal articles identified through backward snowballing and 4 reports or report chapters identified by internet searching (see figure 1) published by the WHO Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation (n=2),28 29 the National Academies of Science (n=1)30 and the New Zealand Tobacco Control Research Tūranga (n=1).31

Table 2 summarises the characteristics of the publications by endgame policy. Online supplemental table 3 summarises the research questions addressed and remaining evidence gaps noted by authors of the evidence syntheses, by endgame policy. Online supplemental tables 4–11 provide summaries of each evidence synthesis by endgame policy.

Mandatory very low nicotine content standard
Most syntheses that examined a very low nicotine content (VLNC) standard broadly summarised the existing evidence base and outlined evidence gaps,5 28–30 32–37 rather than answering specific research questions. All evidence syntheses on this topic concluded that the policy is likely to result in a notable reduction in cigarette smoking. The modelling studies also concluded that mandating a VLNC standard for cigarettes would likely significantly reduce smoking prevalence and related harm.38–41 One traditional review reported the majority of smoking participants supported a VLNC standard in three surveys (in USA and NZ), while two surveys in the USA reported fewer than half supported the policy.5 Other topics explored by syntheses on this topic included policy implementation feasibility,42 43 the impact on use of other nicotine products or other drugs28 including alcohol44 and the potential impacts on people experiencing mental illness,28 45–47 socioeconomic disadvantage,47 pregnant women,28 women of childbearing age48 and Indigenous peoples,49 with authors of all these syntheses recommending a VLNC standard to achieve a tobacco endgame.

Potential limitations of the policy include some people reducing cigarettes smoked per day but not achieving cessation, or continuing to use cigarettes for a multitude of reasons other than nicotine addiction,35 public misperceptions that VLNC cigarettes are harmful50 and potential (short-term) negative impacts on behavioural and cognitive performance following a rapid reduction in nicotine.36 31

Figure 1  Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram.
### Table 2  Summary of included evidence syntheses characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endgame topic</th>
<th>Syntheses (n)</th>
<th>Types of evidence syntheses</th>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Addressed equity impacts</th>
<th>Policy actors involved in authoring, funding or publishing evidence syntheses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product focused</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandate VLNC for smoked tobacco products to make them non-addictive or minimally addictive.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18 traditional reviews (narrative)&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Adolescents (n=3)&lt;sup&gt;49–50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>General population&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set product standards for nicotine products that make combustible tobacco products unappealing or removed from the market for exceeding toxicity thresholds.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 traditional review (narrative)&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>General population&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cancer Research UK&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move consumers from combustible tobacco products to non-smoked reduced risk nicotine products (when implemented as a tobacco endgame policy).</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 traditional reviews (narrative)&lt;sup&gt;18–58&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>General population (n=3)&lt;sup&gt;24–58&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>US Truth Initiative Schroeder Institute&lt;sup&gt;53&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>User focused</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require consumers to obtain a purchaser’s licence or medical prescription to purchase tobacco.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict tobacco sales by year born (tobacco-free generation).</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 simulation modelling studies&lt;sup&gt;55&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Modelling study populations (n=3): Singapore&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;, Solomon Islands&lt;sup&gt;58&lt;/sup&gt;, New Zealand&lt;sup&gt;61&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Singapore’s Ministry of Health&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market/Supply focused</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End commercial retail sale of combustible tobacco (abolition).</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 simulation modelling study&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Modelling study population: Solomon Islands (n=1)&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cancer Research UK&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set a regularly reducing quota on the volume of tobacco products manufactured or imported into a country (binking lid).</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 simulation modelling studies&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Modelling study populations (n=2): New Zealand&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Health Research Council of New Zealand&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
## Endgame topic Syntheses (n) Types of evidence syntheses Populations Addressed equity impacts Policy actors involved in authoring, funding or publishing evidence syntheses

### Actions that reduce the commercial viability of tobacco companies, such as a ‘corporate death penalty’, or criminal charges (eg, ‘corporate manslaughter’), requiring compensation for full impacts of tobacco use, or limiting profitability.

0

### Increases in tobacco tax that make tobacco products generally unaffordable.

7  ► 7 simulation modelling studies

Modelling study populations (n=7): New Zealand, Canada, Singapore, Solomon Islands

Health Research Council of New Zealand, National Health and Medical Research Council, Health Canada Substance Use and Addiction Program, Singapore’s Ministry of Health, National Medical Research Council, Singapore’s National Research Foundation

### Restrictions on tobacco retailer density/location/type/licensing that substantially reduce tobacco availability.

10  ► 6 simulation modelling studies  ► 2 traditional reviews (narrative)  ► 2 systematic reviews

Modelling study populations (n=6): New Zealand, Canada, Australia

General population (n=3)


### Institutional structure focused

Transfer management of tobacco supply to an agency with a mandate to phase out tobacco sales.

0

Performance-based regulation whereby tobacco companies are required to meet smoking prevalence targets or be fined; or manufacturers pay a levy based on sales volume similar to ‘polluter pays’ schemes.

0

VLNC, very low nicotine content.
Most authors of evidence syntheses on this topic called for more research on the potential individual-level and population-level impacts of the policy, including on mental and physical health outcomes and/or on priority populations. Several noted that the interaction of smoking VLNC cigarettes with alternative nicotine product use remains an evidence gap. Some authors concluded that the remaining gaps in the evidence base should not prevent regulators from progressing policy making on a VLNC standard.

Set product standards that would reduce smoking or remove cigarettes from the market

One narrative review covered product standards that would make combustible tobacco products substantially unappealing or that could lead to them being removed from the market due to the toxicity of their emissions. The review summarised various proposals to redesign cigarettes to make them unappealing, including raising their pH to 8 or more, making their smoke more acrid or banning all non-tobacco ingredients, menthol or filters. The authors also noted that banning certain constituents may result in the industry adding other potentially harmful ingredients as substitutes and identified the need for more research on the practicality and legality of this policy. The synthesis did not include evidence regarding the policy’s potential effectiveness to achieve an endgame, only evidence of public support for banning menthol in cigarettes. Two public opinion polls offered conflicting results: one reported majority support for the policy and the other reported that the majority of participants held a neutral opinion.

Reduced risk products

Five syntheses, including three simulation modelling studies predicting the impacts of e-cigarette use, and two narrative reviews focusing on e-cigarettes, concluded that these products have the potential to substantially reduce combustible tobacco use, and thus potentially achieve a tobacco endgame. Three syntheses also summarised evidence on the harms of reduced risk products, all concluding that they are less harmful than combustible tobacco. One synthesis concluded that these products serve as promising harm reduction tools, with two noting that tobacco harm reduction should be complemented with other evidence-based interventions, such as phasing out cigarette sales. Some authors also noted the potential for reduced risk products to renormalise smoking, maintain smoking through dual use with tobacco cigarettes, and youth uptake of tobacco cigarettes.

Authors called for more research on the impacts of reduced risk product use on health, smoking cessation, subsequent tobacco smoking, particularly among youth, and effects of secondhand vapour. Evidence gaps related to the constituents and impacts of reduced risk products are likely to be topics that will require ongoing research and monitoring due to continuing changes in these products and the regulations governing them.

Restrict tobacco sales by year born (tobacco-free generation)

Evidence syntheses of the tobacco-free generation policy proposal included three simulation modelling studies and one traditional narrative review. The modelling studies concluded that the policy has potential to achieve an endgame and substantial population-level health improvements. However, the NZ modelling study also indicated the policy alone would not be sufficient to achieve the NZ government’s endgame goal of minimal smoking prevalence by 2025. One traditional narrative review concluded that the proposal is ethical and legally defensible on human rights principles. The authors of one synthesis identified a need for more evidence on the policy’s effectiveness.

End commercial retail tobacco sales

A simulation modelling study found that the hypothetical eradication of cigarettes would achieve 1510 undiscounted health-adjusted life-years per 1000 people alive in the Solomon Islands in 2016. A narrative review outlined a variety of potential implementation models, presented arguments for and against the policy and summarised the results of surveys of public support for ending tobacco sales. Opponents suggest that like 1920s alcohol prohibition in the USA, it would be unpopular and ineffective, or that it would adversely impact people with lower education and incomes. Arguments in favour include that nicotine is not a recreational drug (unlike alcohol), that smoking is largely to satisfy addiction rather than for pleasure and targeted cessation assistance could mitigate adverse impacts on priority populations. The narrative review noted that surveys in Canada, USA, Australia, NZ, England, Hong Kong and Bhutan have measured public support for ending tobacco sales, with support ranging from 12% (Ontario, Canada and NZ adolescents) to 88% (Bhutan) among people who smoke and from 24% (Ontario, Canada) to 68% (Hong Kong) among people who do not smoke. In two adult population surveys and one adolescent survey in NZ, support for ending tobacco sales in 10 years’ time ranged from 50% to 72%. Neither publication summarised empirical evidence on the effectiveness of the proposal in achieving a tobacco endgame. Evidence gaps noted by the authors of the modelling study included a lack of disease-costing studies for low-income and middle-income countries or a disease cost database to provide disease-specific healthcare expenditures for each country.

Sinking lid

Two simulation modelling studies from NZ examined the sinking lid policy. The first modelling study simulated the impact of tobacco companies bidding for tobacco supply quotas to the NZ market, and concluded that in a country with no domestic cigarette manufacturing, the policy is equivalent to an import quota restriction that sets a maximum limit on the amount of tobacco that can be imported. The second estimated that the policy would result in a gain of 282 000 quality-adjusted life-years, proequity impacts (3.3 times more health gain per capita for NZ Māori than non-Māori people) and cost savings of $NZ5430 million.

Increases in tobacco tax

Seven simulation modelling studies examined the potential impacts of tobacco tax increases within the context of an endgame strategy. The four modelling studies that estimated health outcomes all concluded that tax increases would result in notable population health improvements, and the four that reported changes in smoked tobacco use all estimated significant decreases in prevalence, thus demonstrating the policy could contribute to an endgame. However, the only synthesis (a modelling study) that demonstrated how a tobacco endgame could be achieved solely through raising taxes showed they would need to increase by more than 20% (above inflation) annually, a figure notably higher than Australia’s 12.5% annual tax increases between 2017 and 2020. One synthesis concluded the policy would result in sizeable decreases in health outcomes.
inequalities between Māori and non-Māori people in NZ,62 and two noted decreased health system costs.60 62 One estimated that the policy would result in substantial increases in tax revenue,63 while another projected minimal impact on taxation revenue.64 Another study modelled the impacts of lower taxes for VLNC cigarettes, thereby examining the use of tax measures as part of implementing another endgame policy.65 The authors concluded this policy would reduce nicotine exposure and smoking-related healthcare costs.66 Potential limitations of the policy discussed in the syntheses included loss of government revenue,64 or exacerbation of financial hardship among socioeconomically disadvantaged smokers.65 Authors of other syntheses called for more evidence on the policy’s cost-effectiveness,66 effectiveness in reducing smoking prevalence60 and impact in conjunction with other policies (eg, mass media campaigns).52

Retailer restrictions
Two traditional narrative reviews provided broad evidence overviews of restricting tobacco retailer density, location, type or licensing,66 67 concluding that these are likely effective strategies for reducing population-level tobacco use.66 and would be most effective when complementing multiple WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control approaches.67 Two systematic reviews examined the association between adolescent smoking and density or proximity of retailers to schools and homes,68 69 finding adolescent smoking was positively correlated with retailer density and proximity. Six simulation modelling studies concluded that restricting tobacco retailer density or location would reduce smoking prevalence60 64 70–72 and health system costs,60 61 71 73 and increase health gains60 71 73 and tobacco prices.70 One modelling study concluded that restricting tobacco sales to only 50% of liquor stores would result in a greater decrease in smoking prevalence than a 95% reduction in the number of tobacco retail outlets,70 while another concluded that restricting tobacco sales to pharmacies only (combined with cessation advice) would substantially decrease smoking prevalence and increase population health.71 Potential policy limitations include opposition from the retail sector,69 and smokers68 69 and perpetuating health inequalities in socioeconomically disadvantaged communities.69 Authors called for more research on the policy’s effectiveness in reducing tobacco use,69 71 causal associations between rates of tobacco use, changes in the density or proximity of retailers,68 71 72 73 cost-effectiveness,69 burden on individuals,69 intended and unintended consequences66 and impacts in rural areas.68

Equity impacts of endgame strategies
Eighteen (37%) publications included at least one mention of the equity impact of the policy. Very few syntheses substantively addressed equity impacts (eg, ref 45–47 49); the remainder that did mention equity impacts only briefly acknowledged potential equity impacts that were reported in one or two empirical studies in their review (eg, ref 28 44). Identified equity considerations related to the impact of endgame policies on people who experience low income,23 low education,23 mental illness28 45–47 and socioeconomic disadvantage,49 or identify as Indigenous.49 72 Several simulation modelling studies from NZ investigated the potential impact of various endgame policies on Māori versus non-Māori populations.60 62 63 71 73 Only one study focused on the impact of endgame policies on low-income country populations.59

Most of these syntheses concluded that endgame policies are likely to result in greater health benefits for priority populations compared with the general population, with these policies including a VLNC standard,46–48 reduced risk products,53 tobacco-free generation,50 a smoking lid,60 62 tax increases,62 and retail restrictions.73 Conversely, two syntheses noted that the impact of endgame policies is unlikely to differ among these populations compared with the general population,48 49 and four modelling studies (reported in three publications) concluded that tax increases,60 63 restricting tobacco sales to only pharmacies,71 or reducing the number of tobacco retailers69 are likely to result in greater reductions in smoking prevalence among non-Māori people compared with Māori people. Other syntheses highlighted a need to be aware of increased risk of adverse outcomes associated with endgame policies among these populations,69 including increased risk of withdrawal symptoms49 or mood impacts52 when quitting smoking. Some syntheses simply highlighted a need for higher prioritisation of tobacco control measures among these populations.40 46 49 while others mentioned that the equity impacts of endgame policies are a worthy future research focus (eg, ref 28).

DISCUSSION
We identified 49 evidence syntheses describing eight tobacco endgame policies. About half (53%) of these related to the product-focused policy of mandating a VLNC standard for cigarettes. The majority of syntheses on this topic were traditional narrative reviews or simulation modelling studies, and covered a broad range of questions relevant to policy implementation such as effectiveness in facilitating smoking cessation, health impacts and potential unintended consequences. While a VLNC standard is yet to be implemented in any country, the NZ government has announced that all smoked tobacco products sold in NZ must comply with a VLNC standard by 2025.79 The US FDA also issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking for a tobacco product standard for nicotine level of combusted cigarettes in March 2018.74 Our scoping review shows that there is a substantial supportive evidence base available to guide policy makers regarding the implementation of a VLNC standard. The other product-focused policy for which we found multiple evidence syntheses was moving consumers to reduced risk products as part of an endgame strategy. Most of these evidence syntheses supported these products’ potential role in achieving endgame goals, although with uncertainty concerning potential unintended impacts, such as long-term health effects.34 35 38 39 Measures supporting a move from smoked tobacco to reduced risk products have been mentioned in some countries’ tobacco endgame policy documents (eg, England,73 Canada13 and NZ5), suggesting that this endgame policy has been implemented in some form.

Only two of the eight evidence syntheses describing reduced risk products examined substitute nicotine products other than e-cigarettes (smokeless tobacco3 and heated tobacco products34), reflecting the much greater focus on e-cigarettes since their development. However, despite the rapid expansion of e-cigarettes in some markets, particularly the UK, USA and NZ, the rate of decline in smoking in these countries has not been at a rate that would achieve an endgame,76 78 suggesting additional policies to encourage smoking cessation are needed. Interestingly, the two countries that have proposed implementing a VLNC standard for cigarettes—the USA and NZ—have both linked this policy to the availability of reduced risk nicotine products to satisfy the consumer demand for nicotine and to reduce demand for illicit tobacco products, which could increase if a mandatory VLNC standard was implemented.9 79 Hence, encouraging consumers...
to move to reduced risk products may have most potential to contribute to an endgame as a complementary intervention to other policies that focus on combustible tobacco products.

In addition to a VLNC standard, the Aotearoa New Zealand Action Plan also proposes restricting cigarette design features that increase consumer appeal, and consideration of how to restrict filters to decrease the impact of discarded cigarette filters on the environment. It did not specify a ban on filtered cigarettes. We identified only one evidence synthesis related to product standards targeting consumer appeal,2 showing the greater research focus on reducing the addictiveness of cigarettes as a policy target rather than reducing attractiveness. No evidence was reported in the synthesis on the feasibility, acceptability or potential impacts of banning filters,2 indicating a substantial gap, and making it difficult to draw conclusions on the potential for this policy to contribute to achieving an endgame goal.

We identified relatively fewer evidence syntheses related to user-focused, market/supply-focused and institutional structure-focused policies, apart from increasing tobacco taxes, which may be politically difficult to raise to the level required to achieve endgame targets. However, given there are an increasing number of examples of successful and unsuccessful attempts to implement supply restrictions, the research evidence in this area is likely to be increasing. Some of these supply-focused policies should be prioritised for future empirical research and evidence syntheses, particularly those that have reached an advanced stage in the policy-making process. For example, there have been both successful and unsuccessful attempts to introduce a tobacco-free generation policy in various subnational jurisdictions, including the introduction of an unsuccessful bill in the state of Tasmania (Australia),86 implementation in Balanga City Council (Philippines)81 and Brookline City Council (USA).82 Furthermore, NZ is set to become the first country to introduce a national law to ban supplying smoked tobacco products to anyone born after a certain date.8 The evidence syntheses we reviewed provided support for the ethicality and legality of this proposed law based on human rights principles,51 and the modelling studies demonstrated substantial health benefits to the population.55 59 60

Consistent with the findings of the NZ simulation modelling, which demonstrated that this policy would be insufficient to achieve the country’s endgame goal,60 the proposed law will be introduced as part of a comprehensive plan that also addresses adults who currently smoke.8

Ending commercial tobacco sales has also been implemented in Bhutan (although recently reversed)83 and two local government areas in the USA (Beverly Hills and Manhattan Beach).84 In Hungary, legislation introduced in 2013 forced a reduction in the number of tobacco retailers from more than 40 000 to 7000 retail outlets,85 and reducing the number of tobacco retailers or the availability of tobacco are government policy goals in NZ and Australia.1 14 Hence, there are increasing opportunities to conduct research evaluating the intended and unintended consequences of these supply-focused endgame policies as they are being implemented. Such research would help inform policy making in jurisdictions yet to implement these policies. While we identified only two evidence syntheses on ending commercial tobacco sales,5 59 we identified 10 that considered a policy of restricting tobacco retailing as part of an endgame strategy.60 64 66–72 These evidence syntheses suggested that reducing tobacco retailer density could reduce both adolescent and adult smoking rates, although the studies cited were generally cross-sectional studies of smoking behaviour and retailer density. Hence, as noted by multiple authors of these syntheses, longitudinal studies are an important evidence gap to fill with research from jurisdictions that have rapidly reduced the number of tobacco retailers. The modelling studies that estimated the potential impact of reducing tobacco retailer density indicated that very substantial reductions will be needed in combination with additional policies to reach endgame goals.

We did not identify any evidence syntheses for the policies of: (1) requiring a medical prescription or licence to purchase tobacco; (2) transferring management of tobacco supply to an agency, such as a government or non-profit entity; (3) performance-based regulation, whereby tobacco companies are required to meet smoking prevalence targets or be penalised; or (4) action that would reduce the commercial viability of tobacco companies, such as governments imposing a corporate death penalty, implementing price caps (or maximum wholesale price) for cigarettes that would limit the tobacco manufacturers’ profitability to levels that would be commercially unacceptable or taking legal action that could make cigarette companies commercially unviable, such as charging executives with corporate manslaughter for tobacco-related deaths. A traditional narrative review discussed these policies, but did not synthesise any empirical studies related to them.3

General recommendations for future research directions

This scoping review provides a broad overview of the evidence syntheses related to tobacco endgame policies. The results can help researchers and policy makers identify relevant existing literature reviews, consensus studies and simulation modelling studies for tobacco endgame policies, and prioritise empirical research and evidence syntheses for currently under-represented policies.

We recommend more focus on equity impacts; only 37% of evidence syntheses mentioned equity, despite multiple authors calling for more research on the impact of endgame policies among priority populations in recognition of the disproportionate health, financial and social impacts of smoking on these populations.86 87 Similarly, with only one evidence synthesis focused on low-income countries,59 there is a need for more research on the feasibility and effectiveness of tobacco endgame policies in these regions, especially considering that these countries are experiencing an increasing proportion of the global burden of tobacco-related disease.88 While the focus in low-income countries has been on implementing strategies that have a strong evidence base, implementing endgame policies at an earlier stage in the tobacco epidemic (at increasing or peak prevalence stages), as is the case in these countries, might avoid the enormous death toll that will occur if these countries follow the same slow incremental approach to introducing tobacco control policies that was followed in high-income countries. However, there is little research on the feasibility of implementing endgame strategies at an earlier stage of the tobacco epidemic.

As approximately half (n=25; 51%) of included syntheses were traditional narrative reviews, and 24 (49%) did not contain a methods section, we recommend that future evidence syntheses on tobacco endgame policies employ more rigorous, detailed and systematic approaches to synthesising relevant evidence. Because empirical evidence on the effectiveness of most endgame policies is currently unavailable due to a lack of implementation, additional robust studies are needed to improve estimation of effect sizes, such as examining examples of implementation (or partial implementation) or using experimental approaches to measure consumer preferences. Other under-researched areas include public and policy-maker preferences regarding which endgame policies to prioritise, features of policy implementation (eg,
prioritising those policies that are already being implemented. Syntheses on potential endgame policies are needed, particularly in regard to regulations that affect how tobacco products are supplied (user-focused, supply-focused or institutional structure-focused policies). Further research and evidence syntheses on potential endgame policies are needed, particularly prioritising those policies that are already being implemented such as tobacco retailer reductions, commercial tobacco retail sales bans and restricting sales by birth year. A greater focus on equity impacts is also needed. Finally, future evidence syntheses should employ rigorous and systematic approaches.

CONCLUSION

The majority of evidence syntheses of tobacco endgame policies reviewed product-focused policies (mandating a VLNC standard, moving consumers to reduced risk products, and other product standards to reduce palatability or toxicity), with fewer syntheses published on policies that target how tobacco products are supplied (user-focused, supply-focused or institutional structure-focused policies). Further research and evidence syntheses on potential endgame policies are needed, particularly prioritising those policies that are already being implemented.

What this paper adds

- Tobacco endgame policies aim to permanently and rapidly reduce tobacco smoking prevalence to minimal levels.
- Following a 2016 qualitative description of tobacco endgame policies, it is timely to re-examine the synthesised evidence on policies with the potential to achieve a tobacco endgame.
- We identified 49 evidence syntheses describing eight tobacco endgame policies.
- The endgame strategies that have received the most attention in terms of synthesised evidence were mandatory very low nicotine content (VLNC) cigarettes and moving consumers to reduced risk products.
- Future evidence syntheses should employ rigorous and systematic approaches, and focus on policies already being implemented (eg, retailer restrictions, commercial tobacco retail sales bans and restricting sales by birth year).
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