Interplay of food and tobacco product descriptors and health claims

Timothy Dewhirst

Department of Marketing and Consumer Studies, Gordon S. Lang School of Business and Economics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to

Professor Timothy Dewhirst, Department of Marketing and Consumer Studies, Gordon S. Lang School of Business and Economics, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON N1G 2W1, Canada; dewhirst@uoquelph.ca

Published Online First 12 September 2021 The food and tobacco sectors commonly make use of the same product descriptors, including claims relating to health. In their *Tobacco Control* paper, Raskind and colleagues make a valuable contribution by highlighting Winston advertising that makes use of claims relating to 'plant-based' menthol cigarettes.¹ The authors call further attention to Winston's advertising as an example of health-related claims being made where familiar terminology in the food sector is also applied to cigarettes. Plant-based products are commonly positioned as alternatives from red meat or dairy consumption based on claims pertaining to health and environmental sustainability (figure 1A).²⁻⁴

'Light' serves as another example of a descriptor used for food and tobacco products that is indicative of a health claim. 'Light' signals a lowering of ingredients that are perceived as undesirable or unhealthy. For food products, 'light' often points to a reduction in sugar, fat or calories (figure 1B). For tobacco products, 'light' commonly signals a lower reported tar yield (figure 1C). According to Philip Morris' market research, as 'light' brands increasingly entered the marketplace, 'Almost all smokers agree that the primary reason for the increasing acceptance of low 'tar' brands is based on the health reassurance they seem to offer.'9

'Thins' and 'slims' serve as additional examples. 'Thin' or 'slim' suggests reduced thickness, where a moderated food size implies portion control, and less consumption of undesirable features such as fat, salt and calories (figure 1D). For tobacco products, 'thins' or 'slims' refers to a reduced circumference cigarette; such cigarettes are comparatively slender, being 100mm long and 23mm in circumference (figure 1E). The American Tobacco Company's Silva Thins cigarette brand was launched in 1967, whereas Virginia Slims from Philip Morris was introduced the following year. Advertising for Silva Thins commonly referred to the brand as offering 'less tar' than other cigarette types.

Raskind and colleagues also indicate that food and tobacco products are commonly labelled as 'natural'. Not surprisingly, consumers hold the term 'natural' in higher regard than 'artificial', with many perceiving 'natural' to be healthier, while 'artificial' or 'synthetic' means something unhealthy that is to be avoided. ^{13–22} The tobacco industry has a longstanding history of using 'natural' as a cigarette product descriptor, ^{6 23} with the marketing of Natural American Spirit cigarettes serving as a notable example. ²⁴ 'Simple' and 'real' are product descriptors commonly inter-related with 'natural' in marketing communication for both food and tobacco products. Synonyms for simple include 'trouble-free' and 'clean', with clean—in the



Figure 1 (A) This print advertisement for Silk plantbased products circulated in the March/April 2020 issue of Canada Convenience Store News. The ad copy states, 'Plant-based goodness. Whenever. Wherever. Good for you!' The statement attributed to the asterisk is 'As part of a balanced diet and a healthy lifestyle.' (B) Advertising for Kraft Light peanut butter indicates: 'For health nuts. With 25% less fat, who needs to work out?' Kraft was previously parent-owned by Philip Morris. (C) Produced by Philip Morris, Marlboro Lights cigarette advertising copy indicates that the brand variant offers 'The spirit of Marlboro in a low tar cigarette.' This print advertisement circulated in 1980, whereas Marlboro Lights were first introduced to the marketplace in 1971, Source: Stanford Ad Collection. (D) 'Thins' is a product descriptor for Ritz crackers, which were publicised by its producer as a 'better-for-you' option. Advertising copy indicates '50% less fat than the leading regular fried potato chips.' This print ad circulated during 2017 in Sports Illustrated. (E) Produced by the American Tobacco Company, Silva Thins cigarette advertising copy indicates 'Think thins... they have less 'tar' than most Kings, 100's, menthols, non-filters.' This print advertisement circulated in 1972, whereas Silva Thins were introduced to the marketplace in 1967. Source: Stanford Ad Collection.



► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ tobaccocontrol-2021-056534



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Dewhirst T. *Tob Control* 2023:**32**:e130–e131.

context of eating—being food that is natural and free of artificial ingredients and chemicals. According to medical doctor, Ian Smith, 'In its simplest form, clean eating is based on the basic premise that eating more natural, less-processed foods is not only good for one's health, but equally important for the environment.'²⁵

The interplay between the food and tobacco sectors reflects that both are agricultural products (ie, involving the growth and production of crops), and businesses operating within these sectors being responsive to observed consumer trends of heightened health consciousness. Winston marketing communication—from more than 20 years ago—courted controversy with its No Bull campaign, which included claims of 'real smokes', '100% tobacco' and 'no additives'. ²⁶ Raskind and colleagues make a valuable contribution by pointing out that comparable controversy persists today, with analogous health claims likely to prompt consumer misperceptions about the harmfulness of tobacco products. ^{27–37}

Contributors TD is the sole contributor to this editorial.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests TD is an Associate Editor of *Tobacco Control* with respect to Product Marketing and Promotion. He has served as an expert witness in tobacco litigation on behalf of plaintiff counsel in class action lawsuits as well as governments whose policies have undergone constitutional challenges.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

טוט פט

Timothy Dewhirst http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4725-3577

REFERENCES

- 1 Raskind IG, Prochaska JJ, Epperson AE, et al. Plant-based menthol cigarettes? Food industry trends and farm-to-pack cigarette advertising. Tob Control 2023;32:e132–3.
- 2 Boggs T. Hungry for healthy. Canada Convenience Store News, 2020. Available: https://ccentral.ca/latest-magazines
- 3 Evans P. Oatly's blockbuster IPO shows healthy appetite for plant-based living is growing, 2021. CBC News. Available: https://www.cbc.ca/news/business/oatly-ipovegan-1.6035705
- 4 Harris S. Beyond Meat says its burgers are healthier than beef, health experts aren't so sure, 2019. CBC News. Available: https://www.cbc.ca/news/business/beyond-meat-hurger-beef-health-risks-1 5220777
- 5 Hoek J, Dewhirst T. The meaning of "Light" and "Ultralight" cigarettes: a commentary on Smith, Stutts, and Zank. J Public Policy Mark 2012;31:223–31.
- 6 Pollay RW, Dewhirst T. The dark side of marketing seemingly "Light" cigarettes: successful images and failed fact. *Tob Control* 2002;11 Suppl 1:i18–31.
- 7 Paek H-J, Dewhirst T, Hove T. Can removing tar information from cigarette packages reduce smokers' misconceptions about low-tar cigarettes? An experiment from one of the world's lowest tar yield markets, South Korea. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2020;22:990–6.
- 8 Cummings KM, Hyland A, Bansal MA, et al. What do Marlboro Lights smokers know about low-tar cigarettes? *Nicotine Tob Res* 2004;6 Suppl 3:323–32.
- 9 Hawkins, McCain & Blumental, Inc. Low "tar" satisfaction. Step 1. Prepared for Philip Morris. 25 july 1977. Bates no. 3990443429. Available: https://www.casemine.com/ judgement/us/5914b4d6add7b0493476ecb2
- 10 Kluger R. Ashes to ashes: America's hundred-year cigarette war, the public health, and the unabashed triumph of Philip Morris. New York: Vintage Books, 1997.
- 11 Toll BA, Ling PM. The Virginia Slims identity crisis: an inside look at tobacco industry marketing to women. *Tob Control* 2005;14:172–80.

- 12 Dewhirst T, Lee WB, Fong GT, et al. Exporting an inherently harmful product: the marketing of Virginia Slims cigarettes in the United States, Japan, and Korea. J Bus Ethics 2016;139:161–81.
- 13 Takooshian H, Tashjian RH. The unnatural use of natural advertising. Business and Society Review 1991;76:43–7.
- 14 Dickson-Spillmann M, Siegrist M, Keller C. Attitudes toward chemicals are associated with preference for natural food. Food Qual Prefer 2011;22:149–56.
- 15 Rozin P, Fischler C, Shields-Argelès C. European and American perspectives on the meaning of natural. *Appetite* 2012;59:448–55.
- 16 Li M, Chapman GB. Why do people like natural? Instrumental and ideational bases for the naturalness preference. J Appl Soc Psychol 2012;42:2859–78.
- 17 Amos C, Pentina I, Hawkins TG, et al. "Natural" labeling and consumers' sentimental pastoral notion. J Prod Brand Manag 2014;23:268–81.
- 18 McFadden JR, Huffman WE. Willingness-to-pay for natural, organic, and conventional foods: the effects of information and meaningful labels. Food Policy 2017;68:214–32.
- 19 Skubisz C. Naturally good: front-of-package claims as message cues. Appetite 2017;108:506–11.
- 20 Berry C, Burton S, Howlett E. It's only natural: the mediating impact of consumers' attribute inferences on the relationships between product claims, perceived product healthfulness, and purchase intentions. J Acad Mark Sci 2017;45:698–719.
- 21 Scott SE, Rozin P, Small DA. Consumers prefer "natural" more for preventatives than for curatives. J Consum Res 2020;47:454–71.
- 22 Formanski K. Natural and organic food shopper: INCL impact of COVID-19. 2020. US: Market research conducted by the Mintel Group Ltd, 2020.
- 23 McDaniel PA, Malone RE. "I always thought they were all pure tobacco": American smokers' perceptions of "natural" cigarettes and tobacco industry advertising strategies. *Tob Control* 2007;16:e7.
- 24 Dewhirst T. Natural American Spirit cigarettes are marketed as 'made different': the role of brand positioning and differentiation. *Tob Control* 2022;31:679–82.
- 25 Smith IK. The clean 20. New York: St. Martin's Press, 2018. https://www.worldcat. org/title/clean-20-20-foods-20-days-total-transformation/oclc/1031092152/editions? referer=di&editionsView=true
- 26 Arnett JJ. Winston's "no additives" campaign: "straight up"? "no bull"? Public Health Rep. 1999:114:522–7.
- 27 Czoli CD, Hammond D. Cigarette packaging: youth perceptions of "natural" cigarettes, filter references, and contraband tobacco. J Adolesc Health 2014;54:33–9.
- 28 Kelly KJ, Manning K. The effects of natural cigarette claims on adolescents' brandrelated beliefs, attitudes, and intentions. J Health Commun 2014;19:1064–75.
- 29 Agaku IT, Omaduvie UT, Filippidis FT, et al. Cigarette design and marketing features are associated with increased smoking susceptibility and perception of reduced harm among smokers in 27 EU countries. Tob Control 2015;24:e233–40.
- 30 Pearson JL, Richardson A, Feirman SP, et al. American Spirit pack descriptors and perceptions of harm: a crowdsourced comparison of modified packs. Nicotine Tob Res 2016;18:1749–56.
- 31 Byron MJ, Baig SA, Moracco KE, et al. Adolescents' and adults' perceptions of 'natural', 'organic' and 'additive-free' cigarettes, and the required disclaimers. Tob Control 2016;25:517–20.
- 32 Pearson JL, Johnson A, Villanti A, et al. Misperceptions of harm among Natural American Spirit smokers: results from wave 1 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study (2013-2014). Tob Control 2017;26:e61–7.
- 33 O'Connor RJ, Lewis MJ, Adkison SE, et al. Perceptions of "natural" and "additive-free" cigarettes and intentions to purchase. Health Educ Behav 2017;44:222–6.
- 34 Gratale SK, Maloney EK, Sangalang A, et al. Influence of Natural American Spirit advertising on current and former smokers' perceptions and intentions. Tob Control 2018;27:498–504.
- 35 Epperson AE, Lambin EF, Henriksen L, et al. Natural American Spirit's pro-environment packaging and perceptions of reduced-harm cigarettes. Prev Med 2019;126:105782.
- 36 Baig SA, Byron MJ, Lazard AJ, et al. "Organic," "natural," and "additive-free" cigarettes: comparing the effects of advertising claims and disclaimers on perceptions of harm. Nicotine Tob Res 2019;21:933–9.
- 37 Epperson AE, Wong S, Lambin EF, et al. Adolescents' health perceptions of Natural American Spirit's on-the-pack eco-friendly campaign. J Adoles Health 2021;68:604–11.