Civil disobedience and tobacco control: the case of BUGA UP

Simon Chapman

One evening in 1978, three colleagues and I took what then seemed the provocative step of convening a public meeting in the lecture theatre of Sydney's city morgue. We each worked for the New South Wales (NSW) state government in community health education and had become increasingly disillusioned with the prevailing official view about smoking: that it was essentially a problem requiring individual behaviour change, instead of “upstream” policy solutions. Educational programmes directed at helping children to resist smoking were said to be the answer, although all the while tobacco advertising ran riot in all media except radio and television, where it had been banned since 1976.

Our plan was to form a public interest group that would provide an uncompromised alternative media voice to the staid and cautious equivocations of government officials. That night MOP UP (Movement Opposed to the Promotion of Unhealthy Products) was born. The group, which was to never have more than a dozen active members, went on to notch two notable achievements—pursuing a complaint that led to comedian Paul Hogan being removed from advertising Winfield cigarettes because of his popularity with children† and pressuring the Australian Lawn Tennis Association to drop its 12-year sponsorship deal with Marlboro for the Australian Open championship, following a series of annual shaming demonstrations outside the tennis courts.

However, the history of tobacco control will mostly record that night’s meeting for another reason. During the discussion about the sort of activities in which MOP UP might become involved (deluging regulatory agencies with complaints, demonstrations, and criticism of the government), two in the audience became impatient and said they had not come along just to help write letters. They urged those present to join them in taking more direct action against tobacco advertising by graffitising the billboard advertising that at the time was the most common form of outdoor advertising in Australia. At the end of the meeting they announced that they would be forming a splinter group—BUGA UP (Billboard Utilising Graffitists Against Unhealthy Promotions).

As a name, "BUGA UP" had the virtue of being phonetically identical to a common slang term and pidgin English expression: to “bugger up”, meaning to make a mess of, or to destroy.‡ Being mildly risqué, the expression and so the group’s name took on added appeal to those who delighted in the Australian penchant for irreverence. Schoolchildren, traditionally a hard-to-reach group for health educators, found the very sound of BUGA UP highly appealing.

The two founding “members” of BUGA UP were Bill Snow, a printer and environmentalist, and Rick Bolzan, an artist whose principal concern was the way that outdoor advertising visually blighted communities. Both had been “re-facing” billboards for several months but at a rate that was quickly to prove tame. They first signed a billboard with BUGA UP in October 1978. Over the next decade, a growing body of people, signing their work with the BUGA UP acronym, graffitised tens of thousands of billboards across Australia. In the last week of September 1994, billboards advertising tobacco were finally banned in Australia. Figure 1 shows the last known BUGA UP signed billboard, near the author’s home in Sydney.

BUGA UP’s activities and reputation spread rapidly around Australia and then internationally—thanks largely to Dr Arthur Chesterfield-Evans (figure 2), who gave a vivid presentation on BUGA UP at the Fifth World Conference on Tobacco and Health in Winnipeg in 1983 where he received extensive international press coverage. The legacy of BUGA UP’s actions is considered by many who have both participated in and observed tobacco control policy to have been profound. Its activities are widely regarded as being pivotal to the process by which Australian tobacco control evolved from being the somewhat eccentric preoccupation of a few pioneering doctors and neo-puritan temperament types into an issue that now rarely fails to gain anything under 70% to 80% support in public opinion polls, no matter what the particular focus. BUGA UP is credited by many to have played an outstanding role in politicising tobacco control. The group’s direct messages helped move the focus of tobacco control beyond individual models of tobacco use, and firmly onto a set of objectives that required governments to act to control the tobacco industry’s marketing activities.†

---

*For example, Prince Charles broke into pidgin at the end of a speech in Papua New Guinea in 1975 saying “Af de ren I bagarup mi nau ara”, which translates as “Unfortunately rain caused me some inconvenience yesterday, but now everything is alright.” Cited in reference 2 on page 69.

† Only point-of-sale tobacco advertising now remains.
What was BUGA UP?

BUGA UP can perhaps best be described as a "movement" of like-minded individuals, often quite unknown to each other, who could reliably be said to share only one characteristic: that they signed their billboard graffiti with the acronym BUGA UP. It had no phone number, no office bearers, and no official identity. Interestingly, despite being a group avowedly involved with civil disobedience, the government post office allowed it to maintain post box addresses in four cities, through which BUGA UP would receive letters of support and donations from community members. It also was allowed to operate a bank account.

Its members would generally come to know of each other by word of mouth, and in Sydney, Melbourne, and Perth, tight-knit groups would often organise well-planned, night-long raids in which hundreds of boards were regularly sprayed. Those involved were from many walks of life, including doctors, health workers, teachers, and parents. Although health issues were at the forefront of BUGA UP's concerns, the movement was decidedly community based and in no way controlled by public health activists.

Graffiti

BUGA UP was best known for its spray-painted graffiti on outdoor advertising. Its main targets were tobacco advertisements, although some in the movement also altered alcohol, sexist, gambling, and "conspicuous consumption" advertising ("Consume. Be Silent. Die."). This diversification caused some debate, mainly among those who did not themselves spray, about the way it might cause loss of community support. If this ever occurred, BUGA UP activists were undeterred.

The cover of this issue of Tobacco Control and figures 3–7 show examples of BUGA UP graffiti. In most cases, sprayers tried to change advertising slogans by the careful alteration of words or lettering. Whenever possible, they would attempt to do this using several differently coloured sprays, blending in with...
Mild and Marlboro—New, Vile and a Bore.) Blunt messages to smokers, tobacco companies, and the government were also added. Whenever possible, humour was used. This was sometimes scatological (“The taste of Marlboro became “The taste of stale farts”); sexual (“Anyhow... have a Winfield” became “Anyhow... have a Wank”); and topical (when the UK's heavily smoking Princess Margaret was hospitalised for a lung complaint, graffiti appeared on an advertisement adding “...for lungs like Princess Margaret's”). Messages naming tobacco and advertising industry executives were also written.

In BUGA UP's peak years, sprayers were active in all states of Australia. As BUGA UP's ranks swelled and its activities became more widespread, the outdoor advertising industry began to site more tobacco advertising high out of reach of handheld spray cans. BUGA UP responded by inventing extension devices with wired spray button triggers, which allowed them to reach these sites. When boards moved still higher, they began to use paint-filled small balloons and blown eggshells. These could be projected many metres, producing a paint-bombed effect (figure 8). Occasionally, BUGA UP activists cut down billboards in isolated locations.

**Arrests**

Nearly all BUGA UP activists were able to cite many instances of being cheered on by passers-by who saw them in action. Over ten years, BUGA UP veterans recall about thirty people being arrested and charged with various offences concerned with wilful damage to property. Some of those charged pleaded guilty and took the opportunity to make often lengthy and passionate dock statements explaining their motives. Others, such as Chesterfield-Evans, elected to plead not guilty, citing the defence of necessity. This defence argues that breaking laws can be justified if the defendant can show intent to prevent some higher order of wrongdoing. Chesterfield-Evans, for example, sought to argue, "If I saw someone about to tip cholera germs into the water supply, I would try to stop him, even if he meant stealing the flask," and he asserted that he would be exonerated by a court for doing so.

He went on to argue that, as a doctor, he found the intent and consequences of tobacco advertising equally reprehensible and felt justified in breaking what he argued was a trivial law in the hope of preventing the diseases that would result from the success of tobacco advertising. This defence never succeeded, but it allowed lawyers and defendants to argue for many hours of court time.

The arrests and subsequent court cases provided huge publicity opportunities. The media would invariably report such incidents and include comments from those arrested about BUGA UP's objectives. In 1982 Judge Loveday of the NSW district court said, in his

---

**"To wank" is a common slang expression for masturbation.**
products to children?" Those arrested included an elderly professor of education, a radiologist, and several other doctors and medical students. BUGA UP was a patent manifestation of the tradition of non-violent civil disobe-
dience, which has existed since antiquity, and whose prominent exponents have included Ghandi, the suffragette movement, and more recently, Greenpeace.

Other BUGA UP activities
Members of the group, particularly in Sydney, became very active in other forms of action against tobacco advertising. The following are examples.

- In 1982 Rick Bolzan chained himself to a Marlboro-sponsored Formula One racing car that was parked in the foyer of the Art Gallery of NSW, where an Edward Hopper exhibition sponsored by Philip Morris was on view. His supporters emptied thousands of collected butts all over the car, while alerted television crews taped the event.
- Various "roll-on" adhesive messages were printed, which could be rapidly and discreetly glued across shop-front, in-store, and bus-side advertising. Examples included "Who put the car in carcinogen?" (figure 9)
- BUGA UP staged alternative advertising "award" evenings, where they parodied the advertising industry's annual self-congratulatory awards. The award, the "Advertising Bogie", was a gold spray can mounted on an engraved plinth. In 1982 BUGA UP's award went to an advertisement for Sterling cigarettes that showed a hand taking a cigarette from an extended...
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pack in the foreground of a photograph of a mass crowd running in Sydney's annual city-to-surf race. The caption read "Let's take the car for a run instead—what a Sterling idea!" BUGA UP reproduced the advertisement in a poster superimposed with a legless smoker suffering from Buerger's disease.

- When cash awards were given to retailers by Rothmans to reward tobacco advertising window displays, BUGA UP wrote to the retailers offering to double their money if they removed the advertising. There were no takers, but plenty of publicity.

- A 1982 search by Philip Morris for a new Australian Marlboro Man, resulted in BUGA UP entering an elderly man in a wheelchair who still smoked through his tracheostomy. A poster was produced (figure 10) and placed on hundreds of street lamps throughout Sydney. Dr Alan Blum, then editor of the Medical Journal of Australia, placed the poster on the front cover of the journal. Fearing BUGA UP would bring the man to the announcement, Philip Morris held the muted award at a secret location under tight security.

- Sydney BUGA UP developed a marketing arm, selling printed teeshirts and souvenir spray cans, and printing high-quality posters showing dozens of colour photographs of their "greatest hits". These were in high demand and often seen on health centre noticeboards and children's bedroom walls. One of the teeshirts, featuring the "Burnson and Stenches" logo, mimicked the real thing so closely that people wearing them occasionally received rude comments from the public, until the spoof was pointed out.

- Just as the men's singles final at the 1984 Marlboro Australian Open tennis champi-
costume competition staged by socialite supporters of the Australian ballet, which was sponsored by Benson and Hedges. The BUGA UP entrants wore tutus emblazoned with "Smokers are dying to bring you the ballet".

As well as the very public shaming campaigns against the advertising industry, BUGA UP waged a more private war against advertising agencies that handled tobacco accounts and also against the industry's system of self-regulation, which it routinely referred to as "shelf" regulation to ridicule the inevitable destiny of almost all submitted complaints. In 1985, BUGA UP established a mock organisation called the Advertising Double Standards Council (ADSC), which mercilessly satirised the industry's own ASC. The ADSC published a booklet explaining its functions, modelled closely on the ASC's literature. The ASC publication was called Self-regulation of Australian advertising, whereas the ADSC publication was Self-preservation of Australian advertising. The ADSC publication highlighted the absurdity of self-regulation, with statements such as: "If advertising standards are a good thing, then double standards are twice as good."

BUGA UP placed an advertisement in the advertising industry journal B&T, promoting the booklet. Requests for copies were sometimes accompanied by donations and notes to the effect of "Keep up the good work". At the same time, BUGA UP sent letters to advertising companies, satirising the ASC. These letters contained requests such as "Before Council can determine the outcome of this complaint, I need more information about your organisation (in particular how much money you have) so that I can know which organisation to favour."

The outdoor advertising industry was understandably angered by BUGA UP and in 1985 launched a major outdoor advertising campaign which, although never naming the group, sought to forge an association between the vandalism of trains and phone booths with BUGA UP's graffiti. The campaign was soon abandoned after substantial criticism from within the advertising industry and with no discernible change in the enormous folk-hero status BUGA UP enjoyed.

Legacy of BUGA UP
Elsewhere I have commented on the way that the allocation of resources for the evaluation of influences on smoking in communities tends to be driven by concerns to assess the impact of circumscribed, controllable interventions. This preoccupation with planned, official "interventions" rather than an attitude that seeks to explain the changing culture of smoking in communities, often results in the research neglect of what commonsense would suggest are very significant influences.

Although Australia in the early 1980s saw the conduct of several large, expensive evaluation studies in the smoking area,\textsuperscript{15,16} no formal evaluations were ever undertaken of the social and political impact of BUGA UP—of the way that its activities and the content of its messages entered into the conversations and imaginations of both ordinary people and political decision makers. For perhaps eight years, BUGA UP's changed billboards were arguably the most common anti-smoking message presented to the average Australian. BUGA UP's messages were unsanitised by the anxieties about taste for which committees of health bureaucrats are renowned, nor laden down with the earnest directives of social learning theorists. Instead they were spontaneous, forthright, sarcastic, humorous, and above all, arresting—in both senses of the word. They became a common topic of conversation in countless settings. It became commonplace for otherwise conservative senior medical leaders to include slides of BUGA UP's work in their otherwise staid lectures and public presentations.

Chesterfield-Evans' own analysis of BUGA UP's contribution was that its radicalism allowed hitherto conservative medical and health groups to venture, often for the first time, into the debate on tobacco advertising. By providing a "no prisoners" discourse on tobacco advertising that admitted no shirking, BUGA UP forced these groups to declare their hands or risk being seen as wholly irrelevant to a debate that had captured the interest of a huge section of the community. The ethical line that BUGA UP had drawn in the sand demanded that health groups either side with them, or with those groups in the community that condemned BUGA UP—the tobacco and advertising industries. The conservative health groups seldom gave open endorsement to BUGA UP, but began to support the debate against tobacco advertising through lobbying, submission writing, networking, and the funding of groups such as Action on Smoking and Health and the Australian Council on Smoking and Health.

Nigel Gray, for 27 years the head of the Anti Cancer Council of Victoria and current president of the International Union Against Cancer, believes that any history of tobacco control in Australia that neglected to acknowledge the decisive role of BUGA UP would be written in ignorance. The irony is that if this history were to be captured from the collected writings of medical journals, and government and non-government agency reports, BUGA UP would barely rate a mention.

International initiatives
BUGA UP inspired several similar movements in the UK and the USA. Between 1982 and 1985 in Great Britain, a heavy bout of acronymic disease broke out with graffiti groups forming in Bristol (COUGHUP—Citizens' Organisation Using Graffiti to Halt Unhealthy Promotions; and COUGHIN—Citizens' Organisation Using Graffiti for Health in Neighbourhoods) and London (TREES—Those Resisting an Early End from Smoking). Several activists were apprehended by the police, with news of a Bristol arrest reported completely fallaciously in purple
prose by the *Sunday Times* ("Subversives from an anarchist organisation in Australia have been sent to Britain. They have set up a cell in Bristol, and are now moving to London").

In the United States, several groups around the country are altering tobacco billboards. A social protest group called Cicada, made up of New Jersey artists, was recently reported as replacing a tanned, happy smoker on a Kool billboard in New York City with a sheet-covered corpse. Another group, the Philadelphia Troublemakers and Anarchists (PTA), adds graffiti to billboards advertising Basic cigarettes. Under the slogan "Your Basic Message", PTA painted: "You give them money, they give you cancer."

In 1991, "re-facers" were active in at least three American cities. In Chicago, the Reverend Michael Pfleger was arrested and subsequently acquitted of charges that he defaced tobacco and alcohol billboards in his African American and Hispanic neighborhood. The Reverend Calvin Butts was also whiting-out billboards in Harlem, New York, and ex-smoker Donald House was arrested after defacing the giant Marlboro billboard in a San Diego stadium.

In 1990 Smokefree Educational Services, a New York City-based organisation founded by Joe Cherner, began printing and selling stickers ($10 for 50) with messages such as: "Don't ad insult to injury; Camels aren't dumb enough to smoke!" and "Cancer is a communicable disease. You get it from tobacco companies!" Another sticker was in the shape of a medal, carrying the words "Tobacco Ads Lie—Award Winner". Soon health advocates were pasting thousands of stickers on cigarette advertisements throughout the city. "Although Smokefree Educational Services would never recommend obliterating cigarette ads with these stickers," noted the group in its newsletter, "we understand why health advocates feel compelled to do so." One such advocate was quoted as follows in the same article: "These stickers will educate subway riders. As I put a 'Hospitalized with Cancer' sticker over a smiling Newport model's face, a group of young subway riders shouted, 'All Right!' It made me feel good." The company that leased the advertising space from the city (Gannett Transit) complained that "It's graffiti and it's illegal." Cherner said he would stop selling the stickers when Gannett provides permanent, free space on the subways for pro-health advertising.

In Canada, Vancouver-based Media Foundation's journal *Adbusters* has recently published spoof cigarette advertising in two issues (Spring and Winter 1996) and has run an article on how to graffiti advertising, including an explicit instruction manual on making BUGA UP-style sprayer's extension.

Ron Davis, Arthur Chesterfield-Evans, Cecilia Parren, Nigel Gray, and Peter Vogel each provided information for this essay.


One of these counter-advertisements was published in *Tobacco Control* 1996;5:109.

Note to readers

We hereby solicit your ideas and contributions for future covers of *Tobacco Control*. As with previous covers, we would like future covers to be colourful and creative, with a tobacco control theme. Original artwork, anti-tobacco posters, photographs, and cartoons may all be considered. Material with an international flavour would be particularly desirable. A cover essay will generally appear in each issue to provide appropriate background information and commentary on the cover.

Please send ideas and submissions (original or high-quality, camera-ready photographs) to the editor at the address on the inside front cover. —ED