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Impact of workplace smoking restrictions on smoking behaviour and attitudes toward quitting in Japan

EDITOR,—Smoke free workplaces have been found to reduce cigarette consumption among smokers14 and smoking prevalence.1 1 2 In countries with a high smoking prevalence such as Japan (men, 55%), a total ban is unusual but policies such as limiting smoking to designated smoking areas are common.3 However, the impact of such restrictions on smoking has been weak.1 1 2 3 Furthermore, evidence linking restrictive policies to smoker motivation to quit is limited.1 1 2 3 The present study therefore examined the relation between common workplace restrictions on smoking, and smoking behaviour and attitudes toward quitting in Japan.

A health survey using self administered questionnaires was conducted among a random sample of employees of a municipal office in Japan.4 A response rate of 89% was obtained. The present study analysed data from 1040 male indoor workers subject to one of three policies: a workroom ban; a workroom ban plus smoking only in limited areas;3 4 5 and a higher proportion intended to maintain their current smoking status, compared with individuals subject to minimum restrictions. The present finding of reduced cigarette consumption among smokers subject to a workroom ban is consistent with the results of studies of comprehensive restrictions allowing smoking only in limited areas.5 6 7 A low prevalence of heavy smoking associated with a workroom ban is also consistent with a previous report.6 7 Furthermore, a workroom ban was associated with less likelihood of becoming irritated when unable to smoke. These findings support the hypothesis that a workroom ban reduces cigarette consumption and levels of addiction to smoking, and thus helps smokers to quit. In contrast, our study suggests that a work area ban with designated smoking areas would be the workroom, another common policy in Japanese offices,3 has little influence on smoking behaviour. Such an incomplete work area ban may not constitute a physical and psychological barrier against quitting.8

Some studies have indicated that smoking restrictions increase motivation to quit.1 1 2 3 Our study, however, indicated that desire to quit among continuing smokers did not increase as smoking restrictions became tighter; conversely, a workroom ban was associated with somewhat lower motivation to change smoking status. Further study is required to confirm whether smoking restrictions enhance motivation to quit.

From a public health perspective, the favourable effects of workplace smoking behavior should be stressed because, if many businesses in Japan adopt such a stringent smoking policy, smoking intensity or prevalence in Japan, currently the highest among developed countries,9 will be reduced at an accelerated rate.
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Making the cigar news

After a long period of decline, cigar consumption in the USA began to increase in 1994.1 Between 1993 and 1997, consumption of large cigars rose 68%.2 In 1994, there were over 125 000 new cigar smokers in the USA, and there appears to be a trend toward younger consumers (ages 18–24 years).3 4 The US cigar trend was propelled by print media coverage largely favourable toward cigar use, framing cigars as a trend and failing to cover health effects.2 In the USA, daily newspapers provided considerable coverage. Although media advocacy is an increasingly important part of tobacco control efforts,5 and studies have analysed tobacco

Table 1 Smoking behaviour characteristics and desire to change smoking habit according to type of workplace smoking restriction, adjusted for age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th>Minimum restrictions</th>
<th>Work area ban</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
<th>Workroom ban</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit smoker</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.40†</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.23†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>n=249</td>
<td>n=64</td>
<td>n=117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette consumption (cigarettes/day)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>0.56‡</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–14</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.92§</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past quit attempt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>0.70†</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritated when unable to smoke for 30 minutes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.68‡</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to change</td>
<td>Yes, quite smoking</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, reduce consumption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, unable to quit</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>0.42§</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.54§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to answer</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Compared with minimum restrictions.
†Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel χ2 test.
‡Analysis of variance.
§Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel χ2 test for trend.
*Individuals unable to answer were excluded.
Table 1  Sources of information for articles on cigars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of mentions</th>
<th>Cigar industry*</th>
<th>Cigar smokers (individuals)</th>
<th>Health authorities†</th>
<th>Other media accounts</th>
<th>Survey results</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the Cigar Association of America, cigar manufacturers, cigar merchants, cigar smoking clubs, and individuals affiliated with the cigar industry.
†Includes volunteer health organisations, health researchers, and public health officials.

This research was supported by the National Cancer Institute grant CA67697. We also wish to thank the journalists who participated.
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Assessment of cigarette sales to minors in Mexico

Editor,—In Mexico approximately 9% of smokers are aged between 12–17 years, and from 1988 to 1998 the prevalence of current smoking among minors increased from 7.7% to 11.6%. Data from the National Addictions Survey (NAS-98) indicate that 61.4% of adult smokers in Mexico became regular smokers before the age of 18.1

We sought to assess compliance with the law forbidding sale of tobacco products to minors in two Mexican cities (Mexico City and Ciudad Juárez).1 Complete monitoring teams were formed, consisting of an adult and two minors. The minors were of both sexes, trained before the start of the study. The adult in each team served as an escort and observer.

Teams attempted to make one purchase per store, the adult entering the store 30 seconds before one of the minors and noting whether age-of-sale warning signs were posted and observing the transaction to purchase a pack of cigarettes between the retailer and the minor. An illegal sale was defined as a transaction in which a retailer sold a pack of cigarettes to a minor. The survey questionnaire was filled in by the adult in each team.

Stores were selected using a non-systematic sampling method by quota, with an unplanned route, in each of the 16 districts in Mexico City, from 23 March to 24 April 1997.

Five hundred and sixty one stores were visited. Data analysis used χ2 tests to calculate statistical differences in the sales rates associated with the variables.1 In February 1999 a similar study was conducted in Ciudad Juárez. The sample was selected by using a stratified cluster design since no lists of cigarette outlets exist. Twenty three clusters were randomly selected. All stores within the selected cluster were visited. Two hundred and forty stores were visited and sampling weights were calculated using SUDAAN.8 Of 561 tobacco outlets surveyed in Mexico City, cigarettes were successfully purchased by minors in 443 (79%) stores. In 439/443 occasions, the minors bought the cigarettes directly from the vendors, and not by self service. Warning signs prohibiting the sale of tobacco to minors were present in only 64 stores (11.8%) and were not associated with lower sales rates. Only four (0.7%) vendors requested the minors’ age; one requested identification; and 30 (5.3%) asked for whom the cigarettes were being purchased.7

In the Ciudad Juárez study 240 tobacco outlets was surveyed. The attempt to buy cigarettes was successful in 231 (98.1%) stores. Warning signs prohibiting the sale of tobacco to minors were present in three stores (1.5%). Few of the vendors requested the minors’ age (eight, 3.3%), or for whom the cigarettes were being purchased (eight, 80%).8 This study shows that almost eight out of 10 minors in Mexico City and almost all in Ciudad Juárez, Chiualacu, and Ciudad Juárez bought cigarettes directly from a vendor, despite the sale of tobacco products being prohibited by law.6 Most vendors did not ask the minors attempting to purchase cigarettes about their age, nor did they request identification. Even the few outlets with warning signs did not show a reduction in tobacco sales to minors. This shows a need to improve vendor compliance, particularly in the formal tobacco outlets, if a reduction in adolescent tobacco use and smoking initiation is to be achieved.9
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Long time tobacco control advocates and newcomers alike are likely to benefit from Dan Zegart’s book, Civil warriors: the legal siege on the tobacco industry. The tobacco industry's assault on mankind is arguably the biggest story of corporate greed and wrongdoing of all time, yet the number of books on it is astonishingly few.

Zegart spent five years personally shadowing the key figures for this comprehensive legal saga. He employs his considerable skills as an investigative journalist to weave the page turning saga about how the tobacco industry was finally dragged, kicking and screaming, into a long overdue era of megalobuck settlements and stunning courtroom losses.

The story opens with a compelling scene: product liability attorney Ron Motley is sitting by his mother’s hospital bed, watching her endure a slow, suffocating death from cigarette induced heart and lung deterioration. As he looks down upon her emaciated body, ensnared in tubes and plastic and hooked up to a ventilator, he vows vengeance against the cigarette makers: “I’m going to get ‘em, Mom. I swear, if it’s the last thing I’ll do, I’ll get ‘em.” This somewhat melodramatic but gripping scene makes the broad case for society’s general anger against the tobacco industry, and provides a meaningful start to the story of Civil warriors.

The publication of Zegart’s book comes on the heels of the largest punitive damage award ever levied against the tobacco industry and a wave of anticorporate protests at World Trade Organization gatherings in Seattle and Washington, DC. Both events set the stage for a book about the start of Big Tobacco’s downfall to be very timely if not downright appealing. Yet what is missing from the book is the paradoxical fact that the much lauded, multi billion dollar Master Settlement Agreement to which Motley’s work led depends almost wholly on the continued sale of cigarettes to perpetuate it. Absurdly, Motley’s greatest achievement actually made it far less likely that makers of the product that killed his mother would be reined in any time soon. Mentioning this fact would have brought the book full circle, but would also have tarnished its main character just a little too much for good storytelling.

Of particular interest was the book’s description of David Hardy, of Shook, Hardy and Bacon, the industry’s law firm until Hardy’s death in 1976. Portrayed as a tough, scrappy mid-westerner who made good, Hardy was described as the architect of the industry’s long successful courtroom strategies. The influential relationship Hardy had with Gary Huber, a Harvard researcher who conducted research on behalf of the tobacco control advocates, Huber is both cheered as a whistleblower and reviled as a turncoat. For those with less knowledge about Huber’s overall part in the industry’s schemes, the book describes why.

While the story centres primarily on Motley, most tobacco control advocates undoubtedly realise that it wasn’t the efforts of just one person, but of many passionate and driven people, that brought the industry to the legal threshold where it finds itself today. To his credit, Zegart brings many of these other important characters into the story. Keeping track of all of them, and the role each played, however, can be somewhat of a challenge for the reader, especially those new to this topic.

Civil warriors is a particularly enjoyable read for those obsessed with tobacco control, but I wondered if it would hold as much appeal for those with less interest in the subject? To find out, I introduced the book to two relatives, my sister and brother-in-law (she a 50-ish high school teacher from New York and he a retired dentist who claims to hold stock in Philip Morris). Both declared the book fascinating and one they might buy to fill a gap of their own time soon. Mentioning this fact would have brought the book full circle, but would also have tarnished its main character just a little too much for good storytelling.
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