

What types of e-liquid products were more likely to offer price promotions?

Qian Yang ,¹ Shaoying Ma ,¹ Yanun He ,¹ Zefeng Qiu,² Jian Chen,² Ce Shang ^{1,3}

ABSTRACT Introduction The present study empirically examined

e-liquid volume.

INTRODUCTION

the association between price discounts and product

Methods We analysed 14000 e-liquid products from

five major online e-cigarette retailers between April and

May 2021 to determine the association between price

discounts and product attributes such as nicotine level

analysis and discounts were calculated in US cents/mL of

Results Out of 14407 e-liquid products, 92.5% were

discount for the 13 324 products that had discounts was

16.84 cents/mL across the five stores. Among the three

forms of nicotine (salt, freebase and nicotine free), salt

Conclusion Our findings suggest that e-liquids with

salt nicotine have a higher average price discount when

measures to limit online price discounts for e-liquids as a

The tobacco industry spends about 86% of its

marketing and promotion budget on price promo-

tion each year.¹ The promotion strategy of offering

a discounted price compared with the regular retail price had been used by online e-cigarette retailers.²

Online e-cigarette retailers offer a large selection of

products with a variety of product attributes such

as nicotine concentration and form, flavour and

the ratio between vegetable glycerine (VG) and

propylene glycol (PG). The variety of flavoured

tobacco products makes smoking more attractive

to youth and adults.³⁻⁷ Moreover, e-cigarettes have

been the most commonly used tobacco product

young adults has raised concerns in respiratory and

public health.¹⁰ To address these issues, the USA has

begun to implement policies aimed at regulating

the sale and use of e-cigarettes, which included age

restrictions on the purchase of e-cigarettes, limits

on advertising and marketing and taxes on e-cig-

arettes. From 2020 to 2021, new federal policies

such as the flavour ban on vaping products and the

'Preventing Online Sales of E-Cigarettes to Chil-

dren Act' (S.1253) aimed to regulate the e-cigarette

The e-cigarettes epidemic among youth and

among youth and young adults.85

sold online, which may influence consumer purchasing

behaviour. Further research is needed to assess the potential impact of these discounts on youth and adult tobacco use. Policymakers may consider implementing

means of reducing sales among young people.

e-liquids had the highest average price discount.

offered at a discounted price. On average, the price

and form, flavour and vegetable glycerine/propylene

glvcol ratio. A fixed-effects model was used in the

attributes of e-liquids sold by online retailers.

¹Center for Tobacco Research, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA ²Computer Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA ³Internal Medicine, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Correspondence to Dr Ce Shang; ce.shang@osumc.edu

Received 29 September 2022 Accepted 3 May 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Yang Q, Ma S, He Y, et al. Tob Control Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ tc-2022-057781

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- ⇒ E-cigarette product attributes contribute to users' experience and product preference.
- ⇒ Many youth and young adults obtain their ecigarettes from online retailers.

WHAT IMPORTANT GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE EXIST ON THIS SUBJECT

⇒ There are very limited data on the product attributes and price discounts for e-cigarette products sold by online retailers.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ This is the first study to collect and investigate large-scale data on e-liquids sold online at the product level.
- ⇒ The higher price discount of e-liquids with salt nicotine sold online may encourage consumers to purchase these products, and its potential impact on youth and adult tobacco use behaviours warrants a future investigation.

markets including the online market.¹¹ However, there are still many policy gaps and a lack of regulations surrounding the e-cigarettes such as nicotine level and form, price and promotion. Therefore, it is crucial to monitor e-cigarette products sold online to better inform public health policymakers.

The purpose of this article is to empirically examine and monitor the association between price discounts and product attributes of e-liquids sold by online retailers. The e-liquid attributes examined in this study are crucial to consumers' vaping experience, which are often factors that are associated with vaping behaviours and price promotions. Nicotine is addictive and provides the main source of throat hit (smoke hit the back of the throat) and e-cigarette flavours impact vaping behaviour such as vaping pattern and nicotine intake.^{12 13} The VGPG ratio is crucial in delivering nicotine and flavour, and cloud production, which makes VGPG ratio a strong determinant of users' vaping experience.^{14 15}

METHODS

We conducted a study of over $14\,000$ e-liquid products sold by five popular online e-cigarette retailers in the USA from April to May 2021. The sampling and data collection processes were detailed in an article by Ma *et al.*^{2 16} We calculated the price discounts in terms of US cents off/mL of e-liquid volume. For products with discounts, we used panel techniques and fixed-effects model to investigate the association between price discounts and

Table 1 Summary statistics									
Price discounts observed (cents off/mL of e-liquid)	Observed	Frequency (%)	Mean	SD	Min	Max			
Yes	13324	92.5	16.84	8.96	0.07	120.00			
No	1083	7.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Total	14407								
Products with price discounts observed									
Independent variables:			Price discounts (cents off/mL of e-liquid)						
attributes	Observed	Frequency (%)	Mean	SD	Min	Max			
Nicotine concentration									
No nicotine	3112	23	13.61	5.32	0.07	45.00			
1–5 mg	3308	25	13.56	5.38	0.07	45.00			
6–11 mg	3306	25	13.58	5.39	0.07	45.00			
12–35 mg	1902	14	25.11	10.77	1.67	120.00			
35–60 mg	1696	13	26.26	10.45	3.33	67.76			
Total	13324	100	16.84	8.96					
Nicotine form									
None	3112	23	13.61	5.32	0.07	45.00			
Freebase	6942	52	13.64	5.42	0.07	45.00			
Salt	3270	25	26.71	10.43	2.67	120.00			
Total	13324	100	16.84	8.96					
VGPG ratio									
70/30	6664	50	13.76	6.05	0.07	63.33			
50/50	1656	12	22.23	8.62	3.33	56.67			
75/25	558	4	14.62	5.65	1.00	60.00			
80/20	647	5	12.77	4.65	3.00	45.00			
Other	1098	8	17.88	8.28	2.50	56.67			
Missing	2701	20	22.15	12.07	2.50	120.00			
Total	13324	100	16.84	8.96					
Flavour									
Tobacco/unflavoured	483	4	18.68	9.53	1.67	63.33			
Fruit, no other flavours	4615	35	16.51	8.80	1.00	93.33			
Sweets, not menthol or fruit	1083	8	14.42	6.62	2.50	46.67			
Any menthol	3642	27	17.15	8.64	1.00	60.00			
Nut/spice/alcohol/beverage, not menthol	3501	26	17.45	9.83	0.07	120.00			
Total	13324	100	16.84	8.96					
Bottle volume size (mL)									
1–30	3043	23	26.83	10.11	0.07	45.00			
31–60	4401	33	15.61	5.85	0.07	45.00			
61–90	206	2	17.22	12.27	0.07	45.00			
91–120	4891	37	11.73	4.40	1.67	120.00			
≥121	783	6	16.78	7.48	3.33	67.76			
Total	13324	100	16.84	8.96					

The price discount (cents off/mL) is calculated by using the following equation: Price_discount_per_mL_i=[(regular_retail_price_per_mL_i-discounted_price_per_mL_j)/regular_retail_price_per_mL_j) $\times 100$ (i denotes product and j denotes store).

VGPG, vegetable glycerine/propylene glycol.

e-liquid attributes such as nicotine level and form, flavour and VGPG ratio. The fixed-effects model accounts for the correlation among different products sold under the same brand. We treated brands similar to individuals in a panel survey and clustered the SEs at the store level. We also stratified our analysis by nicotine form (ie, nicotine free, salt and freebase), as nicotine form is a critical e-liquid attribute that impacts user experience and behaviour.

RESULTS

In table 1, we present the summary statistics of e-liquid price discounts (cents off/mL of e-liquid volume) by product attributes. Out of the 14407 e-liquid products, 13324 (about 92.5%) offered a price discount. For those e-liquid products with discounts, the mean price discount across five stores was

Table 2 The effects of product attributes on price discounts

	Dependable variable: price discounts (cents off/mL of e-liquid					
Product attributes	Full sample (Observed: 13 324)	Salt nicotine (Observed: 3270)	Freebase nicotine (Observed: 6942)	Nicotine free (Observed: 3112)		
Nicotine concentration	0.007 (0.017)	-0.006 (0.006)	0.011 (0.014)	-		
Nicotine form						
Nicotine free	Reference group					
Freebase	0.004 (0.083)					
Salt	15.030*** (1.131)					
VGPG ratio						
70/30	Reference group					
50/50	-4.267*** (0.768)	-2.947** (1.445)	-0.013 (0.544)	-0.123 (0.568)		
75/25	-0.426 (0.942)	6.673 (3.837)	0.498 (0.473)	0.575 (0.510)		
80/20	-0.143 (0.748)	1.811 (2.833)	-0.524 (0.558)	-0.475 (0.585)		
Other	0.329 (0.667)	-2.529 (1.593)	0.834 (0.711)	0.850 (0.727)		
Missing	1.356*** (0.457)	0.566 (1.515)	0.212 (0.326)	0.242 (0.334)		
Flavour						
Tobacco/unflavoured	Reference group					
Fruit, no other flavours	-1.071 (0.805)	1.060 (2.499)	-1.287 (0.668)	-1.684** (0.831)		
Sweets, not menthol or fruit	-1.359 (0.832)	-0.198 (2.837)	-1.379** (0.618)	-1.788** (0.800)		
Any menthol	-1.168 (0.781)	0.427 (2.592)	-0.911 (0.601)	-1.259 (0.778)		
Nut/spice/alcohol/beverage, not menthol	-0.965 (0.856)	1.487 (2.719)	-1.021 (0.655)	-1.342 (0.831)		

Nicotine form: products that were identified as nicotine salt by using keyword matching and with a nicotine

concentration > 11 mg/ml were coded as "Salt" ***P<0.01, **0.01<P<0.05

VGPG, vegetable glycerine/propylene glycol.

16.84 US cents off/mL. As the nicotine level went up, the price discount in general increased. Among three nicotine forms, salt e-liquids had the highest price discount, which was almost two times higher than freebase e-liquids and nicotine-free e-liquids. For VGPG ratio, e-liquids with a 50/50 VGPG ratio (22.23 cents off/mL) and missing VGPG ratio information (22.15 cents off/mL) had the highest price discount, followed by VGPG other (17.88 cents off/mL) and 70/25 (14.62 cents off/mL). For flavours, tobacco/unflavoured e-liquids had the highest price discount (18.68 cents off/mL) compared with other flavoured products. For bottle volume sizes, e-liquids with a bottle volume size of 1–30 mL offered the highest price discount (26.83 cents off/mL), followed by 61–90 mL (17.22 cents off/mL) and ≥121 mL (16.78 cents off/mL).

Table 2 presents the results of our fixed-effects linear model, which was used to examine the association between price discounts and e-liquid attributes among the 13324 e-liquid products that offered price discounts in our sample. Nicotine form was found to be a critical factor that impacts price promotion in our sample. Therefore, we also stratified our analysis by nicotine forms. Our results indicated that the price discounts for nicotine-free and freebase nicotine products are very similar. However, salt nicotine products had a significantly higher discount than nicotine-free and freebase nicotine products, that is, 15 cents/mL higher (p < 0.01).

In addition, when looking at the VGPG ratio, e-liquids with a 50/50 VGPG ratio offered a 4.267-cent lower (p<0.01) discount

than those with a common 70/30 VGPG ratio. When analysing the three nicotine forms separately, e-liquids with a 50/50 VGPG ratio offered a 2.947-cent lower (p<0.05) discount/mL respectively than a common 70/30 VGPG ratio. However, there was no significance in the VGPG ratio observed among nicotine-free and salt nicotine e-liquids.

Our analysis also examined the association between price discounts and flavour. In the full sample and in the salt nicotine subsample, we did not observe any significant differences in the discounts offered for different flavours. However, when looking at the freebase nicotine and nicotine-free subsamples, our results indicated that fruit and sweets flavoured e-liquids had a significantly lower discount than unflavoured tobacco e-liquids. This suggests that among freebase nicotine and nicotine-free products, unflavoured tobacco e-liquids may be more heavily discounted than other flavours.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Our study suggests that e-liquid products sold online are more likely to be discounted based on their nicotine form than on their flavours or VGPG ratio. Different forms of nicotine provide users with distinct sensory effects. Salt nicotine is correlated with providing smoother, less harsh, less bitter and more appealing sensory effects and a higher nicotine concentration compared with freebase nicotine.^{17–19} With the introduction of salt nicotine in the e-cigarette market, the popularity of e-cigarettes among youth and young adults has seen a significant increase.¹⁸ The study by Chen-Sankey et al has shown that receiving e-cigarette price promotions is associated with e-cigarette initiation and ever-regular use.¹ The higher price discount of e-liquids with salt nicotine may encourage consumers to purchase these products, and its potential impact on youth and adult tobacco use behaviours warrants a future investigation. Policies limiting online price discounting of e-liquids may have an impact on reducing sales among youth and young adults.

LIMITATIONS

Our study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the price mark-up may have played a role in the price discounting observed in our sample, which was not accounted for in our analysis. Additionally, we were not able to determine the market share of the five stores as sales data for these stores were not available. Lastly, the online stores sold a wide range of brands (over 200 in our data), and we were unable to report brands in the result tables due to the large number of brands included in our sample. However, we will share the brand list on request.

Twitter Shaoying Ma @ShaoyingMa and Jian Chen @jianchen0

Acknowledgements We thank the Center for Tobacco Research at The Ohio State University for the research funding.

Contributors Conceptualisation and study design: CS, SM JC. Data collection and management: SM, ZQ, JC. Data analysis: CS, SM, QY, YH. Writing the paper: QY, SM, CS, YH. Supervised the research: CS, JC, SM.

Funding This study is funded by The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center (OSUCCC) Center for Tobacco Research Pilot Grant (PI: CS; 1 January

2021 to 30 June 2021). CS and SM are funded by the National Cancer Institute (R21CA249757; PI: CS; 16 September 2021 to 30 August 2023).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Qian Yang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8797-1824 Shaoying Ma http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6086-0622 Yanun He http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6279-6102 Ce Shang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8838-4250

REFERENCES

- Chen-Sankey J, Unger JB, Bernat E, *et al*. Price promotion receipt and use progression of any tobacco, cigarettes, E-cigarettes and cigars among US youth between 2016 and 2018. *Tob Control* 2023;32:225–32.
- 2 Ma S, Jiang S, Ling M, *et al.* Price promotions of e-liquid products sold in online stores. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:8870.
 - Centers for disease control and Preventions. Youth and tobacco use; 2022.
- 4 Wang TW, Gentzke AS, Neff LJ, et al. Characteristics of e-cigarette use behaviors among US youth, 2020. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2111336.
- 5 Goldenson NI, Leventhal AM, Simpson KA, et al. A review of the use and appeal of flavored electronic cigarettes. Curr Addict Rep 2019;6:98–113.
- 6 Soneji SS, Knutzen KE, Villanti AC. Use of flavored e-cigarettes among adolescents, young adults, and older adults: findings from the population assessment for tobacco and health study. *Public Health Rep* 2019;134:282–92.
- 7 Landry RL, Groom AL, Vu T-HT, *et al*. The role of flavors in vaping initiation and satisfaction among U.S. adults. *Addict* Behav 2019;99:106077.
- 8 Walensky RP, Bunnell R, Layden J. Morbidity and mortality weekly report tobacco product use and associated factors among middle and high school students-national youth tobacco survey, United States, 2021 centers for disease control and prevention MMWR editorial and production staff (Serials) MMWR editorial board; 2021.
- 9 Chen JC, Green KM, Arria AM, et al. Prospective predictors of flavored e-cigarette use: a one-year longitudinal study of young adults in the U.S. Drug Alcohol Depend 2018;191:279–85.
- 10 Wills TA, Soneji SS, Choi K, et al. E-cigarette use and respiratory disorders: An integrative review of converging evidence from epidemiological and laboratory studies. *Eur Respir J* 2021;57:1901815.
- 11 The consumer advocates for smoke-free alternatives association. Historical timeline of vaping & electronic cigarettes; 2022.
- 12 Barbeau AM, Burda J, Siegel M. Perceived efficacy of E-cigarettes versus nicotine replacement therapy among successful E-cigarette users: a qualitative approach. *Addict Sci Clin Pract* 2013;8:5.
- 13 Litt MD, Duffy V, Oncken C. Cigarette smoking and electronic cigarette Vaping patterns as a function of E-cigarette Flavourings. *Tob Control* 2016;25:ii67–72.
- 14 Baassiri M, Talih S, Salman R, et al. Clouds and "throat hit": effects of liquid composition on nicotine emissions and physical characteristics of electronic cigarette aerosols. Aerosol Sci Technol 2017;51:1231–9.
- 15 Li Q, Zhan Y, Wang L, et al. Analysis of symptoms and their potential associations with E-liquids' components: a social media study. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:674.
- 16 Ma S, Jiang S, Ling M, et al. Excise taxes and pricing activities of e-liquid products sold in online vape shops. *Tob Control* 2022:tobaccocontrol-2021-057033.
- 17 Leventhal AM, Madden DR, Peraza N, et al. Effect of exposure to E-cigarettes with salt vs free-base nicotine on the appeal and sensory experience of vaping: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2032757.
- 18 Gholap VV, Kosmider L, Golshahi L, et al. Nicotine forms: why and how do they matter in nicotine delivery from electronic cigarettes? *Expert Opin Drug* Deliv 2020;17:1727–36.
- 19 Jackler RK, Ramamurthi D. Nicotine arms race: JUUL and the high-nicotine product market. *Tob Control* 2019;28:623–8.